Introduction

Venous malformation (VM) is the most common type of congenital vascular malformation (CVM) with an incidence of 1 to 2 in 10,000 and a prevalence of 1% (1,2). They can cause significant morbidity, pain and discomfort to patients as they can lead to serious local and systemic complications. Although present at birth, they are not always clinically evident until later in life and tend to grow in concert with the child and without spontaneous regression (3). VMs are composed of ectatic venous channels found usually in the head, neck, limbs, and trunk and are thought to be sporadic in most cases, though familial inheritance patterns exist (4). Accurate diagnosis has been a limiting factor in VM management (5). An increased emphasis has been placed on creating comprehensive classification systems for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes of this chronic condition. Doppler ultrasound (US) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are key imaging methods used to characterize and diagnose VMs. Treatment options include surgery, sclerotherapy, and ablative therapies. Here, we will review imaging approaches to diagnosing VMs and common strategies used today in their treatment.

Classification systems

The International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies (ISSVA) Classification System places an emphasis on the pathologic and hemodynamic features of vascular anomalies and was recently updated in 2014 to incorporate newly identified anomalies and genes (6). The ISSVA system first distinguishes CVMs between vascular tumors and vascular malformations. This difference is especially important as vascular malformations are frequently misdiagnosed as hemangiomas, the most common vascular tumor (7). Up to 71.3% of hemangioma cases are misclassified, resulting in a suboptimal treatment in up to 20.6% of these patients (8,9). Terms such as “rectal hemangioma”, “vertebral body hemangioma” and “hepatic hemangioma” that are rife in the world’s literature may not
be hemangioma but VMs in the rectal wall, intraosseous within a vertebral body, and within the liver, the most common benign tumor of the liver routinely seen on US.

The Hamburg Classification, developed by Prof. Stefan Belov MD, can also be used to classify congenital vascular anomalies and was most recently updated in 2013 (5,10). This system classifies vascular malformations into five main categories: arterial, venous, arterio-venous, lymphatic, and combined vascular malformations. The Hamburg system’s key feature is that it further divides these main categories into two embryological based subcategories: extratruncular or truncular lesions. Extratruncular malformations occur in earlier embryonic stages, while truncular anomalies form during the later stages of embryonic development. The Hamburg Classification is based primarily at the macroscopic level while the ISSVA System can be utilized to classify lesions at the cellular level distinguishing vascular malformations from vascular tumors.

The ISSVA system establishes criteria to differentiate between hemangiomas (and other vascular tumors). It is especially useful in diagnosis as it characterizes hemodynamic discrepancies, which can easily be distinguished using imaging techniques that provide high specificity and sensitivity for this purpose. The ISSVA Classification System has recently included the useful elements of the Hamburg Classification into its classification system.

Description of vascular malformations

The type of flow can be used to group vascular malformations into low-flow and high-flow malformations according to their vascular hemodynamics (11,12). High-flow malformations are most commonly arteriovenous malformations. Low-flow malformations include lymphatic malformations (LMs), capillary-venulous malformations, and VMs, glomovenous malformations, and non-shunting mixed-lesions (3,13).

VMs

VMs are the most common type of low-flow vascular lesions and comprise up to two-thirds of CVMs. VMs are typically subdivided into sporadic VMs (94%), dominantly inherited cutaneousmucosal VMs (1%) and, dominantly inherited and non-inherited glomuvenous malformations (5%) first described by Vikkula et al. (1,14-16).

Clinical findings and characteristics of VMs are shown in Table 1. VM features predispose to stagnant blood flow, which can spontaneously thrombose, and clinically present as pain and swelling (phlebitic syndrome), overlying skin changes, and tissue and limb overgrowth, though the exact symptomatic clinical presentation tends to be location-dependent. Patients with large VMs rarely present with increased D-dimer levels, low platelets, and low fibrinogen levels; an indicator for low-grade intravascular coagulopathy. In large VMs, spontaneous thrombosis within the static venous lake is observed in around 40%
of patients (14). With over 40% of VMs occurring in the head and neck region, airway compromise can also be of particular concern if involvement of the aerodigestive system is prominent (21).

Recently, various inherited forms of VMs have been shown to have a strong association with a loss-of-function mutation on the angiopoietin receptor gene TIE2/TEK (22). Chromosome 9p (23) or the upregulation of factors such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) and basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-beta) (24) have also been identified as alternative pathways. Furthermore, progesterone receptors have been identified in VMs, which could potentially explain their likelihood to grow during hormonal changes (25). Other factors that can exacerbate them include thrombosis, infection, trauma, or even incomplete treatment (14).

**Imaging of vascular malformations**

Although imaging is not critical for the clinical diagnosis of cutaneous VMs, it is necessary to detect and evaluate deeper lesions. X-rays can image calcified phleboliths and the degree of dystrophic calcification in VMs, which can be useful in suggesting the presence of VMs, as it has been shown that over 1/3 of VMs have bony changes (26). CT does not have significant use in discerning VMs, unless there is intraosseous involvement and may be superior to MR imaging of bone. The imaging evaluation predominantly involves Doppler US and MRI. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)/MRV is useful in mapping the venous system and has supplanted the diagnostic role of conventional angiography in many cases (27). Angiography remains an adjunct imaging modality and important for cases requiring therapeutic intervention of VMs. Direct percutaneous puncture of the VM with contrast injection (discussed in ‘Treatment Strategies’) is a key initial imaging technique carried out prior to sclerotherapy.

**US**

Duplex US is a useful, non-invasive imaging technique and should be used as the first modality when investigating the presence of a vascular malformation, especially for superficial lesions or those in the extremities (5). Other benefits are its ubiquity in clinical settings, low-cost and its lack of ionizing radiation, which is an important aspect in young patients (26). Conversely depth and spatial resolution is low, resulting in a narrow field of vision. Limitations in discerning the involvement of nearby structures including nerves and bone are inherent with the use of US (26,28).

Priority should be given to identify the nature of an anomaly and if a vascular malformation is detected, to ascertain the type of malformation. To this end, 2D (B-mode) US is particularly useful in being able to discern tumors/hemangiomas from vascular malformations. B-mode ultrasonography reveals the mass, borders, and size of a lesion. Soft tissue solid mass indicates a tumor while, vascular channels with minimal soft tissue points to a VM. The presence of heterogenous and hypo- or anechoic vascular spaces typically represents compressible subcutaneous or intra-muscular vascular spaces which are the hallmarks of VMs on B mode or high-resolution grey-scale US (5,29). Range in appearance includes purely solid to multicystic, localized and well-defined to infiltrative, or cavitary (most common) to dysplastic lesions. MR is superior in this regard as it readily images blood-fluid levels. Doppler mode, conversely, is better used to discern hemodynamics, identifying high or low flow lesions (5). Flow has been demonstrated in 84% of lesions, with monophasic and biphasic flow seen in 78% and 6%, respectively. In particular flow is apparent upon augmentation when using spectral analysis and power Doppler. Up to 16% reveal no detectable flow, largely due to the low-flow and static state within VMs (30). Tubular, tortuous, anechoic formations are sometimes observed in the surrounding subcutaneous fat, muscles, tendons and other tissues. The presence of acoustically shadowing phleboliths post application of compression is a strong diagnostic clue for venous lesions (31). Valsalva or manual compression can be required at times to induce visible flow (17,18). US findings should be corroborated by MRI, and in the case of deep intramuscular lesions, MRI should be the first choice imaging modality to ensure that the lesion is accurately localized.

**MRI**

The advent of MRI has led to huge advances in the noninvasive investigation of vascular malformations. Lesions and soft tissue depiction is vastly enhanced in particular when compared to CT. Non-ionizing radiation exposure is also avoided and 3D reconstruction is superior to CT. Contrast-enhanced MRI and MRA are therefore the preferred imaging modalities for pre-procedure diagnosis and interventional planning as well as post-procedure evaluation of the vascular malformation.
Conventional MRI has 100% sensitivity and 24% to 33% specificity in differentiating VMs from non-VMs (32). Dynamic contrast MR angiography increases specificity to 95%. The high spatial and temporal resolution of MRI allows for an improved visualization of the lesion’s extent, especially with the use of newer blood pool contrast agents such as Ablavar® (gadofosveset trisodium, Lantheus Medical Imaging, North Billerica, MA, USA) which have an extended vascular half-life. Ablavar chelates gadolinium intravenously and binds reversibly to serum albumin thereby enabling a prolonged optimal intravascular simultaneous enhancement of both the arterial and venous system as the flow transitions from the arterial phase to the venous phase (33-35). In particular the lesion’s anatomic relationship to adjacent structures can be defined and is consequently a vital aide in planning of therapy. Intraoperative MRI has also been used to facilitate accurate needle placement and image guidance during percutaneous therapy of VMs is well-established (28).

Images should be acquired in at least two orthogonal planes (18). VMs typically display as septated lobulated masses without mass effect. They appear hypo- or isointense on T1-weighted (W) images, although they can appear more hyperintense if the lesion contains fat. Thrombi can also appear hyperintense while, small low signal intensity points can denote phleboliths; a hallmark of VMs. In cases of hemorrhage or thrombosis, a heterogeneous signal can be observed on T1-sequences. On T1-W fluid sensitive sequences VMs can be hyperintense and indeed similar to fluid and the extension of the malformation can be ascertained using this sequence setting.

VMs, LMs, capillary VMs, and pediatric hemangioma are best imaged using short T1 inversion recovery (STIR) sequences and T2-W imaging with fat suppression (FS) (Figure 1) (16,18,26,28,30).

The STIR and T2-W FS examination protocol captures sequences for defining the lesion itself and its relationship to the involved anatomic architecture. Hemosiderin, dystrophic calcification, or phleboliths can then be demonstrated with the use of gradient echo T2-W sequences. VMs consistently display a high signal on T2-W FS, no flow voids in spin-echo images and infiltrate across tissue planes. There may be surrounding edema if a spontaneous thrombosis is present, no arterial or early venous enhancement, slow gradual peripheral enhancement, and diffuse enhancement on delayed images (18,26,28,30). Pre-gadolinium and post-gadolinium fat saturated T1-W imaging can be carried out to evaluate the perfusion of the malformation (16,18,26,28).

Several MR imaging-based morphology classification schemes correlate imaging observations to treatment pathways by providing a structure to link lesion morphology with the ideal treatment and outcome. Lesion size and lesion definition are key criteria utilized to differentiate lesions into a grading linked to outcomes (see Table 2) (14,19,36).

Post therapy follow up assessment is vital in a clinical setting. A significant percentage of patients will suffer from their VMs again after treatment. In particular female patients who undergo pregnancy or younger patients undergoing puberty as hormonal changes trigger recurrence or proliferation. MRI is most suited to evaluate clinical outcomes after successful sclerotherapy. The
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading scheme</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Anatomic features and hallmarks</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Implications for treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goyal et al.</strong></td>
<td>MRI based</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Well defined, ≤5 cm diameter</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy (as monotherapy)</td>
<td>71% excellent results, 29% good results, 0% poor results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 2A</td>
<td>Well defined, &gt;5 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>22% excellent results, 44% good results, 33% poor results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 2B</td>
<td>Ill defined, ≤5 cm diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td>26% excellent results, 15% good results, 60% poor results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>Ill defined, &gt;5 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>0% excellent results, 43% good results, 57% poor results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fayad et al.</strong></td>
<td>MRI based</td>
<td>Extent</td>
<td>Focal, multifocal, or diffuse</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy</td>
<td>Multifocal treatments and multiple sessions are required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tissue layer involvement</td>
<td>Skin/subcutaneous/muscle/tendon/bony cortex/marrow</td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk of skin or nerve injury is considered; muscular involvement; risk of contracture; bone or marrow involvement; risk of fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Connection</td>
<td>Deep venous system</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased risk of deep venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dubois</strong></td>
<td>DPP based</td>
<td>Cavitary</td>
<td>Cavities with late venous drainage without abnormal veins</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy</td>
<td>Improved results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dubois/ Puig</strong></td>
<td>DPP based</td>
<td>Spongy</td>
<td>Small, ‘honeycomb’ cavities and venous drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficult to treat, particularly when the VM is intramuscular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dysmorphic</td>
<td>Rapid opacification of dysmorphic veins</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved results, however recurrence is likely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dubois/ Puig</strong></td>
<td>DPP based</td>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Isolated, well circumscribed without visible venous drainage</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy (multiple therapy sessions, 1–10)</td>
<td>Highest rate of cure or satisfactory result, 92.3% complication-free predictive value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Malformation draining into normal veins</td>
<td></td>
<td>High rate of cure or satisfactory result, 93.8% complication-free predictive value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Malformation draining into ectatic dysplastic veins</td>
<td></td>
<td>50% exclusion rate, higher risk of complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Venous ectasia</td>
<td></td>
<td>60% exclusion rate &amp; higher risk of complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Berenguer et al.</strong></td>
<td>Direct contrast venogram</td>
<td>Lobulated</td>
<td>Rounded clusters of vascular spaces</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy</td>
<td>27% in all three categories rated outcome as near normal, 38% reported marked improvement, 13% reported slight improvement, and 4% reported no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Based on imaging and clinical features</td>
<td>Varicose</td>
<td>Irregular dilated channels</td>
<td></td>
<td>No significant difference in outcome could be shown between categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>Combination of the above</td>
<td></td>
<td>Most common type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boston Children’s</strong></td>
<td>Based on imaging and clinical features</td>
<td>Spongiform</td>
<td>Large sponge-like singular lesion</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Increased risk of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phlebectatic</td>
<td>Large diameter combined vascular malformation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased risk of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aneurysmatic</td>
<td>Involves large veins such as inferior vena cava</td>
<td></td>
<td>50% have spontaneous Tie-2 mutations (unlike the autosomal dominant inheritance pattern found in familial mucocutaneous VMs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reticular</td>
<td>Small veins, spider-like appearance, combined vascular syndromes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VM, venous malformation.
reduced size of the lesion is noted and treated segments have an elevated heterogeneity, diminished STIR and T2 signal intensity and decreased contrast enhancement. This information allows planning a future procedure for the untreated sections (37,39).

**Treatment strategies**

Prior to commencing treatment, it is pertinent to review the lesion’s pathophysiology, etiology, and consequences of a procedure. There may be instances when it is prudent to delay intervention in favor of observation, or to avoid intervention if there are no significant symptoms and risks. Interventionalists are advised to take a multi-disciplinary approach consulting dermatologists, surgeons as well as other specialists to determine if treatment is warranted, and to construct the method of intervention. Generally, lesions with severe symptoms and potential complications should be treated. In particular, extratruncular malformations usually display significantly worse symptoms and higher recurrence than truncular forms, and thus this subtype is more likely to require treatment (5).

When treatment is not required or there is an interim period before definitive treatment can be given, associated and secondary complications, such as pain or anemia caused by bleeding, should be treated. Patients with VMs in the extremities should be given compression stockings to minimize symptoms like swelling and thrombophlebitis forcing venous blood from the stagnant VMs into the deep venous system. Low dose aspirin may also be beneficial in reducing painful VM related blood clots, though reports of its efficacy in the literature are still sparse (40). Some institutions include severe pain, joint involvement, severe craniofacial implications (seeing, hearing, eating, breathing) and hemorrhage as absolute indications for moving forward with the development of a therapeutic regimen (see Table 3) (41).

When additional treatment is necessary, a number of modalities can be used, including surgery, various forms of sclerotherapy, and laser therapy. Surgical intervention was traditionally considered to be the initial form of treatment if the lesion could be completely resected and had minimal anatomic and functional consequences. However, the emergence of sclerotherapy as a viable yet cost-effective and minimally invasive technique has spurred its use as monotherapy or in conjunction with surgery (5,26). As excision of complex lesions remains difficult due to secondary intraoperative bleeding, the favored approach is now sclerotherapy. Those lesions classified as extratruncular malformations, are diffusely infiltrative and involve multiple muscles or layers of fascia, making them less amenable to any surgical intervention. Truncular VMs typically involve large, localized venous formations and aneurysms, and have minimal chances of recurrence post-resection as a result of their embryonic nature. Thus these types of lesions are suited for surgical therapy with or without adjunct sclerotherapy. Pre-operative sclerosant therapy or N-butyl cyanoacrylate (nBCA) injections can often be carried out 24–48 hours prior to excision (3).

**Table 3** Indications for the treatment of venous malformations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding from below skin to intramuscular or retroperitoneal hematoma, hematuria, rectal bleeding, hematemesis, hemoptysis, or intracerebral or intraspinal bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions are in close proximity to important structures or obstruct inflow and outflow of important structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions are in life-threatening areas or in areas with high probabilities of complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions cause excessively adverse hemodynamic effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabling pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive cosmetic implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent thrombosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Direct percutaneous puncture with contrast injection**

Direct percutaneous puncture with contrast injection or phlebography (DPP) is the fine-needle puncture of the VM with subsequent contrast injection under fluoroscopy. It is the gold standard diagnostic tool for specificity when
confirmation of a VM is required after alternative imaging approaches have not yielded definitive results, in cases when treatment planning is required or when a neoplasm must be ruled out. DPP is a component of the initial work-up of a VM prior to sclerotherapy. The patient usually requires sedation with a possible regional block or alternatively general anesthesia. Prior to injecting the sclerosant, VM architecture, nearby anatomy, flow rate, type of venous drainage, and volume of contrast distribution relative to the known appearance of the VM image is assessed (see Figures 2 and 3) (26). DPP morphologic classifications have been designed based on the venous drainage pattern to assist in real-time decision making during a sclerotherapeutic procedure, post-procedure management, and prediction of outcomes (see Table 4) (14,17,42). Real-time MRI image guidance for sclerotherapy of VMs is an alternative new approach. For instance, fast imaging steady-state procession (FISP) MR imaging fluoroscopy has shown good results for patients treated with ethanolamine or sodium tetradecyl sulfate (STS) sclerotherapy (26).

**Sclerotherapy**

**Ethanol sclerotherapy**

Ethanol sclerotherapy offers a low-cost, convenient, and effective modality of treatment. It has shown curative potential for several types of vascular malformations and is the most common and most potent sclerosant used to treat

---

**Figure 2** A 4-year-old female with right ear venous malformation. (A) Pre-treatment image demonstrates a soft and compressible focal disfiguring lesion with bluish/purple appearance and significant enlargement of the ear; (B) post-treatment image with improved cosmesis of the ear; (C) post-contrast digital subtraction angiography (DSA) image demonstrates the extent of the venous malformation; (D,E) DSA images during the intervention demonstrate segmental obliteration of the venous malformation.
VMs (5,43). The exact mechanism by which ethanol acts as an *in vivo* sclerosant is to denude the endothelial cell from the vascular wall and precipitate its protoplasm then cause fractures to the level of the internal elastic lamina. Platelet aggregation on the denuded surface then embolizes the vessel from the periphery to the center (43,44). Ethanol is believed to preferentially displace water molecules at the membrane surface, thereby penetrating the lipid bilayer and compromising the structural integrity of the cell membrane (44). This triggers necrosis and apoptosis of the treated tissue, inducing intravascular thrombosis and intense inflammatory response (45).

VMs are best treated early, with DPP of the malformation under US or fluoroscopic guidance (46). Ethanol injection can be painful; thereby requiring general anesthesia. Prior to the procedure, contrast should be used to gauge the size of the lesion in its dilated state, identify connections and drainage into physiologically important veins, and to determine the appropriate ethanol volume to inject. Ethanol exposure should last for approximately 10–20 minutes depending on the extent of the lesion, with subsequent aspiration of excess ethanol to reduce collateral damage. The volume of ethanol that can be injected safely is generally suggested to be 0.15–1 mL/kg every 10 minutes and no Swan-Ganz monitoring is necessary (47-50). Physicians are advised to use minimum amounts within
the suggested range that will achieve the desired outcome and to ensure that the delivery point does not affect normal tissue. Accidental collateral tissue or skin necrosis, nerve damage, paresthesias, vascular spasms, ischemia, acidosis, hypoglycemia, and secondary intravascular hemolysis are some complications that can arise as a result of treatment. Other complications that can arise include allergic responses, skin pigment change, cardiovascular collapse, hypotension and cardiac arrest (51,52). However, cardiovascular collapse has been obviated by limiting ethanol injected volumes to 0.15 mL/kg ideal body weight (49,53,54).

Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate the effectiveness of treatment with absolute ethanol sclerotherapy. If required optimal spacing between treatments of the same area ranges between 3–4 weeks. Follow-up imaging is necessary to evaluate treatment outcomes. US can be performed in between sessions and after 1 month of the last ethanol therapy (55). MRI evaluation should occur annually after the final ethanol treatment in order to allow inflammation to subside and provide an accurate assessment of the treatment’s effectiveness and growth of any remaining VMs, although some advocate MRI follow-up as early as 1 to 3 months (37). Difficult lesions may benefit from intermittent ethanol sclerotherapy and medication in lieu of surgical intervention. Post-sclerotherapy, NSAIDs or stronger pain medications if appropriate, can be used to treat local pain and swelling. Vigilant treatment planning and practitioner-technique remains crucial to procedural success. Accordingly, clinical outcomes may vary greatly based on institutional expertise and approach. Often serial sclerotherapies may be necessary due to high recurrence rates as the untreated veins can dilate over time (28,38,51,56).

Other sclerosants and therapies
Surfactant agents are viable alternatives that act with a similar mechanism to ethanol but have lower toxicities. Polidocanol is a popular surfactant agent that is relatively effective, painless, and incites minimal endothelial damage. New research has investigated the use of its foam variant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging modality</th>
<th>Differential imaging characteristics categories</th>
<th>Pre-treatment features</th>
<th>Post-treatment features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>Heterogeneous, tubular, tortuous, acoustically shadowed phleboliths</td>
<td>Decreased size, involution of VM, absence of phleboliths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Echogenicity</td>
<td>Hypo- or anechoic vascular space and formations</td>
<td>Hypo- or anechoic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>Low rate monophasic flow, though some can appear without any flow</td>
<td>Decreased flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>Can appear septated with lobulated margins, infiltrates tissue planes and reveals full extent of infiltration at margins of lesion, surrounding edema possible, lower SI or signal voids represent dystrophic calcification or phleboliths, thrombi are hyperintense</td>
<td>Evaluation post 6 months shows decreased lesion size</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VM, venous malformation; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
in conjunction with iopromide, a radiopaque contrast agent, which allows for continuous detection of sclerosant flow, better measurements, and decreased removal from the target site via blood flow (57). While foam has shown superior results compared to liquid sclerosants, sclerosant leakage from the target site can still cause complications. Thus additional research on radiopaque foam is warranted. STS is another surfactant agent commonly used as a sclerotherapeutic for varicose veins. It is best suited for treating smaller lesions with lower resistance. Bleomycin is an antibiotic drug with chemotherapeutic properties that disrupts the tight junctions in the endothelium which is also frequently used (58). It rarely causes inflammation and thrombosis, and has few other complications, making it well suited to treating the air passages or orbit (59).

Research on novel ablative techniques has shown promise. Endovenous thermal ablation may have an adjunctive role in the therapy of large truncular VMs, while radiofrequency ablation has demonstrated good results in limited cases for patients unable to undergo sclerotherapy or have failed prior interventions (5,60). van Breugel et al. have described the efficacy of non-invasive magnetic resonance-guided high intensity focused US ablation in the treatment of vascular malformations (61). This technique has been successfully used to treat uterine fibroids and breast cancer (62,63). Preliminary results in its application towards vascular malformations are encouraging, however further clinical testing is necessary. A lesser explored modality is irreversible electroporation which has been used for tumor, nerve, and smooth muscle cell ablation (64). This technique may hold promise for VM treatment.

Neodymium yttrium-aluminium-garnet (Nd:YAG) laser therapy has been increasingly used when venous lesions are small, located in difficult anatomical situations, and have not responded to other treatments with good control of VMs (5,65). It is usually administered via a flexible optic cable and causes the photocoagulation of blood vessel tissue (66). Its effects are highly localized which can cause adverse effects, especially if important structures, such as nerves are too close to the area of action. Nonetheless, with appropriate precautions, it is a fast, safe, and easily administered modality (65).

**Treatment effectiveness**

Sclerotherapy is the established gold standard, first-line treatment for VMs (67). The reported effectiveness of bleomycin is between 43–82.7% (68-72). Zhang et al. conducted a statistically significant 138 patient series that stated a 95% effective treatment with ethanol, while only 65% of treatments were effective in the bleomycin group, additionally few cases of skin necrosis was seen in both groups (73). This could indicate superior results with ethanol and numerous other studies have published similar findings (50,56,73-78).

However, systematic literature reviews, including a Cochrane review examining 35 published studies evaluating effectiveness of VM treatments identified a significant level of bias and the existence of methodological flaws in study designs (79). Treatment success rates in studies were between 71–100% and 65–94% in another. They concluded that the lack of evidence precludes them from being able to ascertain if one treatment option is superior to another; however, they all corroborated that multiple treatment sessions are warranted (67,80). Ethanol complications can be as high as 18% while, for other agents it is as low as 6% (67,79). Thus sclerotherapy with STS or polidocanol is advised in terms of lower adverse effects by some studies (67). However, ethanol is more commonly used and deemed to be more effective, with better outcomes, although operator experience and high patient volume is crucial (50).

**Conclusions**

VMs are present at birth and grow commensurately with the child and consist of a plethora of abnormal venous vessels that can cause considerable pain and discomfort. US and MRI are the two central imaging techniques in the work-up of VMs. DPP is a gold standard diagnostic tool utilized when other imaging is equivocal. It also plays a central role in aiding decisions during sclerotherapy. Several classification systems have been designed around MRI and DPP that can help decide treatment pathways and also help predict outcomes. Studies indicate that numerous treatment modalities can be combined for best results. Studies on effectiveness of ethanol sclerotherapy have shown the best outcomes, however currently insufficient evidence exists that can associate a single treatment modality to unequivocally superior outcomes. In general multiple therapy sessions are required. A suitable therapy regimen can be implemented through a multidisciplinary approach in liaison with the patient, leading to a manageable, successful treatment of a VM, even in a complex case. In terms of long-term outcomes, further research and follow-up is required to investigate the durability of amelioration of pain and rates of recurrence following treatment.
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