Catheter-directed interventions for pulmonary embolism
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Abstract: Pulmonary embolism (PE), a potentially life-threatening entity, can be treated medically, surgically, and percutaneously. In patients with right ventricular dysfunction (RVD), anticoagulation alone may be insufficient to restore cardiac function. Because of the morbidity and mortality associated with surgical embolectomy, clinical interest in catheter-directed interventions (CDI) has resurfaced. We describe specific catheter-directed techniques and the evidence supporting percutaneous treatments.
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Introduction

Pulmonary embolism (PE) causes 100,000–180,000 deaths annually (1). Three-month mortality is 15% in all patients with PE, and nearly 50% in massive PE (2). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved treatments for PE include oral anticoagulants, systemic thrombolysis, aspiration thrombectomy using a Greenfield suction embolectomy catheter, and ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis (3). Surgical thrombectomy can be performed for certain patients without significant comorbidities (4). Due to lack of universal surgical expertise and comorbidities commonly precluding surgery, surgical intervention is not ubiquitous. Because of the risk of hemorrhage with systemic thrombolysis, clinical interest in endovascular options has risen (4).

No dual-arm randomized control trials assess catheter-directed intervention (CDI) for treatment of PE. Data, though promising, consist of case series, single-arm trials, or studies with historical controls (Table 1). Despite the lack of evidence, many centers are developing multidisciplinary team approaches using systemic thrombolysis, surgical embolectomy, and CDI. In this review, we discuss catheter-directed techniques to treat symptomatic PE.

Diagnosis and management of PE

Diagnosis

For patients with suspected PE, computed tomography with PE protocol (CTPE) is the most widely accepted imaging tool (12). Though ventilation perfusion (VQ) scans can risk stratify patients with suspected PE, CTPE has superior sensitivity and specificity, provides information regarding PE chronicity, location, and laterality, and evaluates for right heart strain [defined as right ventricle (RV)/left ventricle (LV) ratio of >0.9, atrial septal bowing, and reflux of contrast via the inferior vena cava (IVC) and hepatic veins] and the rare presence of tumor thrombus (13,14). CT can exclude other causes of chest pain and shortness of breath. Therefore, CTPE is the diagnostic modality of choice.

In acute PE, cardiac markers including troponin and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) predict morbidity and
### Table 1: Key studies regarding treatment of PE with systemic thrombolysis, catheter-directed therapy, and IVC filter placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Arms</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mismetti et al. (5), Prevention of Recurrent Pulmonary Embolism by Vena Cava Interruption 2 (PREPIC2)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled</td>
<td>399 patients with symptomatic PE and DVT</td>
<td>Arm 1: anticoagulation plus IVC filter placement; arm 2: anticoagulation alone</td>
<td>IVC filter placement did not reduce the rate of recurrent PE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer et al. (6), Pulmonary Embolism THrOmbolysis (PEITHO)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled</td>
<td>1,005 patients with submassive PE</td>
<td>Arm 1: systemic thrombolysis and anticoagulation; arm 2: placebo and anticoagulation</td>
<td>Systemic thrombolysis reversed hemodynamic decompensation but increased major hemorrhage and stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kline et al. (7), Tenecteplase or Placebo: Cardiopulmonary Outcomes At Three Months (TOPCOAT)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled</td>
<td>83 patients with submassive PE</td>
<td>Arm 1: systemic thrombolysis and anticoagulation; arm 2: placebo and anticoagulation</td>
<td>Systemic thrombolysis led to better patient outcomes at 3 months but 1 fatal intracranial hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharifi et al. (8), MOderate Pulmonary Embolism Treated with Thrombolysis (MOPETT)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled</td>
<td>121 patients with submassive PE</td>
<td>Arm 1: reduced dose tPA plus anticoagulation; arm 2: anticoagulation alone</td>
<td>Trend for reduced death and recurrent PE in systemic tPA group; no bleeding complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kucher et al. (9), Ultrasound-Assisted Catheter Directed Thrombolysis for Acute Intermediate-Risk Pulmonary Embolism (ULTIMA)</td>
<td>Randomized controlled</td>
<td>59 patients with submassive PE</td>
<td>Arm 1: ultrasound-assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis; arm 2: anticoagulation alone</td>
<td>Ultrasound-assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis improved right ventricular parameters without increased risk of death, major bleeding, or intracranial hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piazza et al. (10), Prospective, Single-arm, Multi-Center Trial of EkoSonic® Endovascular System and Activase for Treatment of Acute Pulmonary Embolism (SEATTLE II)</td>
<td>Prospective single arm</td>
<td>150 patients with massive or submassive PE</td>
<td>Arm 1: 24 mg tPA via ultrasound-assisted catheter thrombolysis</td>
<td>Ultrasound-assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis reversed hemodynamic compromise and decreased pulmonary arterial pressure, but 11% had bleeding complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuo et al. (11), Pulmonary Embolism Response to Fragmentation, Embolectomy, and Catheter Thrombolysis (PERFECT) registry</td>
<td>Prospective registry</td>
<td>101 patients with massive or submassive PE</td>
<td>Immediate treatment with catheter-directed mechanical or pharmacomechanical thrombectomy and/or catheter-directed thrombolysis</td>
<td>86% massive and 97% submassive PE patients achieved clinical success (reduced right heart strain and pulmonary artery pressure); no reported major complications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PE, pulmonary embolism; IVC, inferior vena cava; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; tPA, tissue plasminogen activator.

Management

The mortality of PE depends on clinical presentation, categorized into massive (high risk), submassive (intermediate risk), and low risk. Massive PE is defined by hemodynamic instability with signs of hypotension (systolic pressure <90 mmHg) or shock, whereas submassive PE presents with signs of RVD or myocardial necrosis without hemodynamic instability (1).

Therapeutic options for massive and submassive PE include surgical thromboembolectomy, systemic
thrombolysis, and CDI. Therefore, several subspecialties are involved in the treatment of PE, necessitating a multidisciplinary team approach. In the setting of acute PE, RVD is a poor prognostic indicator (18,19). Therefore, prompt diagnosis and protocols set for treatment by a PE Response Team (PERT), are critical for the restoration of normal cardiac output and resolution of RVD (20).

Existing algorithms guide management based on severity. The American Heart Association (AHA) recommends systemic fibrinolysis for patients with massive PE and acceptable risk of bleeding, and considers it in patients presenting with submassive PE and low risk of bleeding (17). The European Society of Cardiology recommends therapies that restore perfusion, such as CDI, thrombolysis, or surgical thromboembolectomy, for treatment of massive PE, and anticoagulation therapy for low risk and submassive PE (21). Surgical thromboembolectomy, recommended by AHA in the setting of massive PE and contraindication to fibrinolytics, is the most invasive therapy, carrying a mortality rate approaching 20% compared to 50% in the untreated population (4,17).

Current algorithms do not incorporate CDI due to the paucity of prospective clinical trials. Because of the risks associated with both surgery and fibrinolysis, less invasive and targeted therapies have regained popularity (17,22).

**Catheter-directed techniques**

Despite limited data, CDI has been practiced for several decades: the FDA approved the Greenfield suction catheter for PE treatment in 1969. Currently, numerous devices employing various mechanisms to eradicate thrombus in the pulmonary arteries (PAs) are used. These utilize fragmentation, aspiration, mechanical thrombectomy, thrombolysis or a combination of these methods. The treatment endpoint is reversal of hemodynamic instability for massive PE, reversal of RVD and normalization of PA pressure in submassive PE, measured on echocardiography (9).

**Catheter-mediated thrombus fragmentation**

Several methods exist for PA thrombus fragmentation. The most widely used method is thromboembolus fragmentation by manual rotation of a pigtail catheter in the main, right, or left PA (23). Using the rotating pigtail catheter method, one group achieved an average of 33% recanalization through the thrombosed vessel, with concomitant reduction in PA pressure and shock index (24).

Newer devices are now available, such as the 8-Fr mechanical aspiration Aspirex1 Aspiration Thrombectomy Catheter (Straub Medical, Switzerland), which, similar to the AngioJet (Possis Medical, Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA), employs the Bernoulli hemodynamic principle (see below). To use this device, a long 8-Fr introducer sheath is placed in the thrombus-laden PA. The Aspirex1 catheter can be placed over an 0.018-inch exchange-length wire into the proximal thrombus. The catheter is advanced over the wire in thrombectomy mode through the thrombus. This can be repeated several times with possible endpoints of improved hemodynamics or improvement in oxygenation. One study of 30 patients with massive and submassive PE reported a complete clearance rate of >90% in over 80% of patients, with improvement in right heart strain; there were two major (bradycardia) and four minor (two access site hematomas, and two hemoptysis) procedure-related complications (25).

**Rheolytic thrombectomy**

Rheolytic thrombectomy involves thromboembolus fragmentation using a saline jet directed from the tip of the catheter with simultaneous emulsified thrombus removal via a separate channel. Available devices include the Amplatz thrombectomy device (Microvena, White Bear Lake, MN, USA), the cordis hydrolyser hydrodynamics thrombectomy catheter (Cordis, Johnson and Johnson, Japan), the Oasis Thrombectomy System (Boston Scientific Corporation, Natick, MA, USA), and the AngioJet Rapid Thrombectomy System. The AngioJet system employs Bernoulli hydrodynamics: high velocity moving fluid has low central pressure, creating a vacuum, with preferential movement of surrounding molecules into the center of the fluid. The AngioJet device rapidly infuses heparinized saline, up to 360 mph, via the catheter endhole, reducing local pressure to a −600 mmHg, extracting soft thrombus into the catheter via a distal sideport (26).

The AngioJet device has pulse spray and thrombectomy modes. Initially, the catheter can be moved slowly back and forth in the affected main or lobar PA in pulse spray mode to infuse low dose tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) (18–20 mg mixed in 100 mL normal saline) throughout the thrombus (Figure 1). Following a prolonged pause, in regular mode, the catheter is again advanced and retracted within the thrombus in thrombectomy mode to aspirate clot (26). Immediate post-thrombectomy repeat pulmonary
angiography and pressure measurements can confirm treatment effectiveness (26). Several deaths were reported early in the experience of AngioJet thrombectomy for PE, prompting a black-box warning label for its use in the PA circulation by the FDA. In one meta-analysis, AngioJet was associated with a high rate (28%) of major complications, including bradyarrhythmias, heart block, hemoglobinuria, temporary renal insufficiency, hemoptysis, and major hemorrhage, with 5 of 68 patients with procedure-related deaths (23). Some nonetheless advocate for its use as a second line option for PE, given prior success (27,28).

The procedure should be done under an anesthesiologist’s care, and with consideration of frequent breaks during the mechanical thrombolysis to allow recuperation of the heart rate, preventing profound bradycardia.

**Catheter-mediated thromboembolus aspiration**

The Greenfield embolectomy device (Medi-Tech/Boston Scientific, Watertown, MA, USA) was the first catheter designed for percutaneous embolectomy via thromboaspiration. It is no longer commercially available due to its large profile necessitating venous cut down or direct surgical PA access, and challenging steerability, which limited its use. Newer large bore catheters, such as the AngioVac device (Vortex Medical, Inc., Norwell, MA, USA), are available but also hampered by bulky size requiring 24-Fr introducer sheath access, difficulty accessing the PA, and requirement of veno-venous bypass (29). The AngioVac may have special application for treating IVC thrombosis in the setting of PE and can result in resolution of significant thrombus burden captured in an extracorporeal filter using veno-venous bypass and cardiac pump (Figure 2).

Modern suction thrombectomy catheters, including the Pronto XL extraction catheter (Vascular Solutions, Minneapolis, MN, USA), available in 10-, 12- and 14-Fr sizes, are reportedly effective in acute massive PE by reducing the visible thrombus or the mean PA pressure (30). Its pigtail shape can be used for thromboembolus extraction from the main PA, and the straight tip version from segmental PAs. The pigtail version can also be used like a rotational catheter to manually fragment thrombus, simultaneously suctioning clot via distal sideholes using a 60-mL syringe. This device is FDA-approved for peripheral application; similar to newer devices, like the FlowTreiver (Inari Medical, Irvine, CA, USA) and Indigo (Penumbra Inc., Alameda, CA, USA), it is investigational in the PA.

**Catheter-directed thrombolysis via infusion catheters**

Though catheter-directed thrombolysis via multi-sidehole infusion catheters is the least technically challenging, its relative superiority is currently unknown. In one randomized controlled trial for massive PE, there was no difference in angiography-documented post-treatment effectiveness (26). Several deaths were reported early in the experience of AngioJet thrombectomy for PE, prompting a black-box warning label for its use in the PA circulation by the FDA. In one meta-analysis, AngioJet was associated with a high rate (28%) of major complications, including bradyarrhythmias, heart block, hemoglobinuria, temporary renal insufficiency, hemoptysis, and major hemorrhage, with 5 of 68 patients with procedure-related deaths (23). Some nonetheless advocate for its use as a second line option for PE, given prior success (27,28).
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PE severity, comparing systemic and intrapulmonary administration of 50 mg tPA over 2 hours (31). However, infusion of tPA over 7 hours, regardless of administration route, was superior to a 2-hour infusion (31).

Ultrasound-assisted thrombolytic infusion catheters achieve accelerated thrombolysis using ultrasound waves. The EndoWave System (EKOS corporation, Bothwell, MA, USA) consists of a 5-Fr 106-cm long catheter containing microinfusion pores within the 6–50 cm treatment segment of the catheter that optimize the interface of thrombus with an ultrasound core wire that contains small transducers allowing for delivery of ultrasound waves to the thromboembolus (Figure 3). Following access to the PA and angiographic examination, exchange is made over a 0.035-inch guidewire for EndoWave catheters containing the ultrasound core wire. The catheter also contains a port for tPA infusion (e.g., 0.5 mg/hour per catheter if bilateral, or 1 mg/hour per unilateral catheter), a port for saline to cool heat generated by the ultrasound waves, and an interface cable connected to a control unit in order to deliver ultrasound waves (9). Typically, tPA administration is performed over 18–24 hours. The duration is shortened for fibrinogen levels <150 mg/dL (32). Heparin infusion (200–300 units/hour per sheath) through the access sheath during the treatment retards new clot formation around the treatment devices (9).

One study showed an advantage of the EKOS system compared to catheter-directed thrombolysis alone in achieving thromboembolus removal in 100% of cases and reduction of removal time by approximately 8 hours (33). Moreover, the Ultrasound-Assisted Catheter Directed Thrombolysis for Acute Intermediate-Risk Pulmonary Embolism (ULTIMA) trial showed improvement in the right ventricular parameters without increased risk of death, major bleeding, or intracranial hemorrhage in submassive PE (9).
**CDI technical pearls**

**Contraindications to PA catheterization**

Interventional radiologists should be aware of contraindications to PA catheterization when discussing the possibility of CDI, including: tricuspid or pulmonary valve prosthesis or vegetation, recent myocardial infarction, and left bundle branch block as catheterization could cause a complete block requiring temporary pacemaker placement. Relative contraindications include contrast allergy and history of ventricular irritability, which may be discussed with the anesthesiologist.

**Contraindications to thrombolysis**

An understanding of the pharmacology of heparin and tPA informs appropriate patient selection for CDI for PE. Whereas tPA promotes plasmin-mediated fibrinolysis, dissolving clot, heparin is an anticoagulant acting on the intrinsic pathway, inhibiting coagulation and preventing thrombus progression without leading to its dissolution. The biological half-life of tPA and intravenous heparin is approximately 8 and 60 minutes, respectively (34,35). Whereas tPA is the active medication used to treat PE, heparin is also delivered at a low dose to prevent clot formation in and around access sheaths and catheters.

Though tPA is administered locally in the PA during CDI for PE, it produces some systemic impact on fibrinolytic cascades, putting patients at risk for hemorrhage elsewhere in the body. Use of thrombolytics is therefore contraindicated in the setting of prior ischemic stroke, intracranial hemorrhage, intracranial mass or vascular malformation, recent neurological, major abdominal or pelvic surgery, gastrointestinal ulcer, or any source of active bleeding or bleeding diathesis. Some centers obtain a non-contrast CT of the brain prior to tPA administration to assess for potential intracranial hemorrhage. For patients with contraindications to tPA, mechanical techniques such as rotating pigtail-catheter clot maceration may be considered alternatively.

**Access site**

Any venous access may be used, though the right common femoral (most common), the right upper arm, or the right internal or external jugular vein are typical at our institution. Using the arm or neck approach rather than the groin may increase patient comfort and decrease risk of access site hematoma. In one study, the use of femoral vein access was the only variable associated with major bleeding, compared to a brachial access (36). A short 7–10 Fr introducer sheath is usually adequate (9,10,27). Though, using a long sheath placed in the main PA can facilitate exchanges for catheter and thromboembolectomy devices.

**Techniques for PA catheterization**

To catheterize the PA, a pigtail type catheter is most commonly used at our institution to decrease arrhythmogenic wire manipulation in the right heart (37). This is almost always inserted via an appropriately sized introducer sheath. Through the jugular or upper arm venous access, pre-shaped catheters including the Hunter catheter (Cook Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA) or Swan-Ganz-type catheters can be used to access each PA (38). Given the need for bilateral PA access and treatment, femoral vein access affords the use of a single access using a dual-lumen introducer sheath (Fast-Cath Duo Hemostasis Introducer Sheath, St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN, USA), which accommodates two catheters in a singular chamber.

Alternatively, a pigtail catheter can be used via the brachiocephalic or femoral venous access, preferably a 7-Fr size due to its relative rigidity and maneuverability. One technique to ease PA catheterization via the femoral access is to use the stiff back-portion of a guidewire, such as a Bentson wire (Cook Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA), which may be bent to create a smooth P-shape curve on the pigtail. This or a tip-deflecting wire can be placed within centimeters of the looped portion of a pigtail catheter to create an approximately 90° angled curve. When advanced immediately superior to the inferior cavoatrial junction, the pigtail portion of the catheter usually proceeds from the right atrium through the tricuspid valve into the RV. When in the RV, the wire is retracted, allowing for straightening of the catheter where, once rotated and advanced, can be landed in the main PA. After reaching the RV, the catheter is rotated counterclockwise to introduce the pigtail catheter into the main PA. Alternatively, pre-shaped catheters such as a Grollman, Monti, or Van Aman catheters (Cook Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA), may be used (Figure 4). These contain fixed curves that can be manipulated across the tricuspid valve into the main PA (39). Another technique involves an Omniflush catheter (AngioDynamics, Queensbury, NY, USA) and a J-tip wire such as a Rosen wire (Cook Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA), used to catheterize the RV. By advancing more wire into the tip of
the OminiFlush catheter, the tip is opened to 90 degrees and turned toward the RV at which point the wire is advanced followed by the catheter. However, this technique is prone to more arrhythmias (40).

Gentle wire manipulation can be performed to catheterize the pulmonary outflow tract. Once the main PA is catheterized, due to the angles of the left and right PA, the catheter will more often land in the left PA. However, using a guidewire and the diagnostic catheter, catheterization from the left to the right PA can be accessed over the wire. If using a pigtail catheter, the P-shape of the back end of a Bentson wire can be re-used, along with catheter retraction into the main PA, then rotated clockwise and advanced into the right PA. The front end of the wire can also be used, with adequate pigtail catheter retraction into the main PA, with proper alignment of its end hole towards the right PA. Care should be taken not to inadvertently over-retract the catheter as it may land within the RV, necessitating repeat catheter manipulation into the main PA, with the potentially associated increased risk of cardiac arrhythmias. Other pre-shaped catheters can also be manipulated from the left to the right PA using initial catheter retraction (into the main PA), followed by catheter rotation and advancement. Finally, exchange can be made over an adequately long (260 cm) stable wire for a multi-sidehole infusion catheters, placed within each PA for treatment.

**Measuring PA pressure**

In the setting of acute PE, RV and PA measurements can serve as a baseline to guide therapy. PA pressure can be measured anywhere within the main, left, or right PA, using a multi-side hole catheter. In the acute setting, to avoid overwhelming the heart, balloon-tipped catheters, often used for pressure assessment in the setting of PE, are avoided (41). Rather, multi-sidehole catheters are preferred. Using the latter also allows for power contrast injection (41). Normal RA pressures are 0–5 mmHg; RV pressures are 20–25 mmHg; and PA pressures are 20–25 mmHg systolic and 10–15 mmHg diastolic, with a mean pressure of 9–18 mmHg. In the case of elevated PA pressures, high volume injections for pulmonary angiography are best avoided (42). Suggested injection rates from the experience at our institution include 20 mL/sec over 1 sec in the lobar arteries, which could be lowered to 10 mL/sec for 2 sec in the lobar PAs if pulmonary hypertension is present (17,43). The imaging frame rate should be at least 3 frames/sec, to delineate adequate detail. Care should be taken to decrease the volume and rate of contrast injection with increasing degrees of elevation of the mean PA pressure. The authors advocate unilateral PA contrast power injections, with a maximal rate of 20 mL/sec x 1 sec, with rate and volume decrease for each incremental increase in the mean PA pressure. An additional benefit of lobar contrast examination is the better resolution of imaging of a single arterial distribution compared to the entire, left and right PA tree (Figure 5). For right pulmonary angiography, the distal pigtail or the infusion side- and endholes of the catheter used should be left proximal to the pulmonary arterial branch to the right upper lobe as it often emanates early from the main PA.

**Intraprocedural placement of an IVC filter**

Current guidelines do not recommend routine intra-procedural placement of IVC filters in the setting of PE (17,21). One retrospective study suggested that IVC filter placement reduced 90-day mortality in patients with massive PE (44). Another retrospective study showed that IVC filter placement reduced in-hospital mortality for patients with PE who received anticoagulation or thrombolysis (45). However, the more recent prospective Prevention of Recurrent Pulmonary Embolism by Vena Cava Interruption 2 (PREPIC2) study, which randomized patients with symptomatic PE and lower extremity deep vein thrombosis...
(DVT) to either anticoagulation alone or anticoagulation with IVC filter placement showed no difference in the rate of recurrent PE between groups (5). Therefore, the use of IVC filters adjunctively with CDI cannot be recommended nor refuted on the basis of current evidence.

**Patient monitoring and follow-up**

Monitoring during the tPA infusion period is essential and necessitates admission to an intensive care unit or similar level of care. More conservative protocols include an initial complete blood count, INR, and fibrinogen repeated every 4 hours (46) and a pre-procedural type and screen. Due to the fear of bleeding caused by overconsumption of clotting factor during tPA infusion and therefore increased risk of hemorrhage, fibrinogen values are strictly followed (32). Most conservative protocols call for a temporary halt (or halving of the infusion rate) of thrombolytics once fibrinogen <200 mg/dL, and advocate stopping it completely when fibrinogen <150 mg/dL (32). Frequent physical examination, including neurological checks, may alert clinicians of occult adverse hemorrhagic complications, especially in the cerebral circulation.

Endpoints for thrombolysis include a maximum tPA dose (e.g., 18–24 mg), an 18–24-hour infusion period, or improved hemodynamics by echocardiography, computed tomography angiography (CTA) or conventional pulmonary angiogram. Once the clinical goal is achieved, the catheters may be removed without repeat imaging (9). After CDI, access sheaths are usually removed following discontinuation of the thrombolysis and heparin. To decrease the risk of access site hematoma, sheath removal may be delayed by a few hours (47). Measurement of activated clotting time can assess proper timing of sheath removal if necessary, or removal can be attempted after at least two half-lives of heparin.

**Clinical evidence**

Despite a trend towards decreased mortality, systemic fibrinolysis carries a higher risk of bleeding compared to anticoagulation alone. In the randomized double blind Pulmonary Embolism THrOmbolysis (PEITHO) trial, 506 patients received fibrinolysis with tenecteplase and anticoagulation, and 499 patients received anticoagulation alone. Patients who received fibrinolysis and anticoagulation, compared to those that received only anticoagulation, had significantly decreased death or hemodynamic compromise (2.6% vs. 5.6%), but significantly more extracranial bleeding (6.3% vs. 1.2%) and hemorrhagic stroke (2.0% vs. 0.2%) (6). These results reinforced the findings of the similar, smaller randomized Tenecteplase or Placebo: Cardiopulmonary Outcomes At Three Months (TOPCOAT) trial, with 40 patients receiving tenecteplase and anticoagulation and 43 patients receiving anticoagulation alone; though the fibrinolysis
group attained better composite outcomes, 1 patient (2.5%) had a fatal intracranial hemorrhage (7). The prospective randomized MOderate Pulmonary Embolism Treated with Thrombolysis (MOPETT) trial investigated whether treating patients with a lower dose of systemic thrombolysis would still be effective and safer; no bleeding was observed in either group, though trends for decreased mortality and recurrent PE did not reach significance (8).

Three major trials established CDI as a valuable alternative to other treatments. The ULTIMA trial showed marked improvement in RV parameters without major complications in submassive PE (9). The Prospective, Single-arm, Multi-Center Trial of EkoSonic® Endovascular System and Activase for Treatment of Acute Pulmonary Embolism (SEATTLE II) trial, a single arm prospective study of 150 patients, showed a reduction in RVD and improvement in pulmonary hypertension in submassive and massive PE without any intracranial hemorrhage (10). The multicenter Pulmonary Embolism Response to Fragmentation, Embolectomy, and Catheter Thrombolysis (PERFECT) registry evaluated CDI for massive and submassive PE (11). Clinical success was regarded as stabilization of hemodynamics, improvement in pulmonary hypertension, and survival to discharge date. Clinical success rate was 86% for massive and 97% for submassive PE, with improvement in pulmonary hypertension and RV strain without major hemorrhagic complications (11). As a result of adaptation of a PERT, one study reported an increased number of patients appropriately treated with CDI with resultant reduced mortality compared to the national registry (48). Indeed, PERT has become a standard of in-hospital care (20).

Further prospective data is needed to delineate: (I) which patients may benefit from CDI compared with systemic thrombolysis; (II) what clinical and laboratory features distinguish these patients; and, (III) which of the CDI techniques is superior in regard to treatment efficacy and safety. Multicenter and multidisciplinary cooperation is required.

Conclusions

PE is a life-threatening but potentially treatable clinical entity. Anticoagulation therapy is the first line recommended treatment for acute PE (17). In massive and submassive PE, reversal of RVD, restoration of normal hemodynamics, and increased survival are the goals of management. Because anticoagulation alone often fails to improve RVD in a timely fashion, and systemic thrombolysis and surgical thrombectomy carry high rates of morbidity and mortality, there has been a reemergence of interest in catheter-directed techniques. Newer guidelines employ CDI in treatment protocols. In addition, the FDA recently approved ultrasound-accelerated catheter-directed thrombolysis for the treatment of PE. CDI has become a vital tool in the treatment of massive and submassive PE at many institutions.
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