Introduction

Aortic aneurysms are the 13th leading cause of death in the United States and approximately 4,500 deaths each year are secondary to abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) rupture. An additional 1,400 deaths occur as a result of the 45,000 procedures performed to prevent rupture (1,2). The standardized death rate from ruptured AAAs in patients over the age of 45 is 5.6 per 100,000 individuals (3).

Aneurysms can occur along the entire length of the aorta, with the infrarenal location being the most common (4). The standard definition for an infrarenal AAA is a transverse aortic diameter ≥3.0 cm. Other studies have used a definition of 1.5 to 2.0 times the normal adjacent aortic diameter. Risk factors associated with increased infrarenal aortic diameter include male gender, age, smoking, hypertension, and family history (5,6). The approximate incidence of infrarenal AAAs in patients over the age of 65 is 1.7% in women and 5% in men (7). The goal of this review is to summarize the current management of infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysms.

Screening

Targeted ultrasound screening has been shown to be an effective and economical means of preventing AAA rupture and reducing aneurysm-related mortality (8,9). Both the Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS) and the United States Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommend that one-time AAA screening by ultrasonography is offered to men age 65 years or older, particularly those with a smoking history and/or a family history of AAAs (10). Screening in women is controversial, but can be considered in women aged 65 years or older who have smoked or have a family history of AAA (11).
Imaging

Several imaging modalities exist to both diagnose and monitor AAAs.

Ultrasound

The most frequently used screening examination is abdominal sonography. Ultrasound remains an inexpensive and minimally invasive means of confirming suspected AAAs and following small AAAs (12). Ultrasound measurements are more accurate in the anteroposterior (AP) than in the transverse dimensions and this modality has an interobserver variability of 5 or less in 84% of patients (13) (Figure 1). Limitations such as obese body habitus and bowel gas can compromise the accuracy of this tool. When compared to computed tomography (CT), ultrasound tends to underestimate the diameter of AAAs by 2 mm in the AP dimension (14); accuracy decreases as the size of the aorta increases. Thus, while ultrasound is a useful screening and monitoring tool for small AAAs, CT angiography (CTA) is the preferred modality for preoperative planning and monitoring of larger AAAs.

CTA

Due to financial and radiation considerations, CTA is not a suitable screening tool, but it remains the standard for pre-operative planning in AAA repair. It is a rapid and reliable modality for aortic imaging with an interobserver variability of less than 5 mm in 91% of studies (15). Additional methods such as standardized protocols, calipers, and magnification can decrease interobserver variability to within 2 mm in 90% of cases (14). Unlike sonography, CTA can detect ruptured/leaking AAAs (Figure 2). This modality comes at a nonzero risk to the patient and requires radiation doses as well as iodinated contrast.

The aortic diameter can be overestimated with CTA if oblique cuts of the aorta are obtained secondary to vessel tortuosity. For this reason, CTA is often combined with three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction. Post-processing programs are able to use data obtained from CTA to reconstruct a 3D model so that centerline measurements

Figure 1 Screening abdominal ultrasound in a 52-year-old female with a family history of AAA. Line A (4.3 cm) is measured in the anteroposterior (AP) dimension and line B (5.5 cm) is measured in the transverse dimension. The standard definition for an infrarenal AAA is an aortic diameter \( \geq 3.0 \) cm. AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysms.

Figure 2 CTA of a 65-year-old gentleman who presented to the emergency department with hypotension and abdominal and back pain. (A) Axial image demonstrating peri-aortic stranding and hematoma at the level of the renal arteries (white arrow); (B) more distal axial image of the same patient in (A) with peri-aortic hematoma extending into the retroperitoneal space (white solid arrow), consistent with a ruptured AAA. The aneurysm sac measures 6.5 cm (white dashed arrow). CTA, computed tomography angiography; AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysms.
via orthogonal planes are possible (Figure 3). This enables more accurate aortic diameter measurements and helps in preoperative planning, particularly for endovascular stent graft repairs (16). For infrarenal AAAs, curved planar reformats are helpful in determining the axial length of the aneurysm neck (distance from the lowermost renal artery to the beginning of the aneurysm) as well as neck angulation and condition (17). Noting the length, tortuosity, and condition of the iliac arteries is important; in 5–46% of cases, aneurysmal disease extends into the iliac system (18). When reviewing a CTA of the aorta for preoperative planning, one should take note of the number and location of the renal arteries as well as the presence of a retroaortic left renal vein (19). The mesenteric vessels should also be reviewed, ensuring a communication between the middle colic and superior left colic arteries, as the inferior mesenteric artery is usually sacrificed or occluded with infrarenal AAA repair. Knowing the location of the renal and mesenteric vessels as well as the character of the suprarenal aorta is useful in determining either a clamp location or landing zones for open and endovascular repair, respectively.

**Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)**

MRA, like CTA, has the benefit of being able to visualize the entire aorta including its branch vessels. Unlike CTA, it does not expose the patient to radiation nor is iodinated contrast dye required. This can be more appealing for patients with a contrast allergy or renal insufficiency (20). However, long acquisition times, limited availability, and high costs have made MRA both less practical and common when it comes to aortic imaging. Additionally, MRA cannot be used in patients with metallic implants, nor is it well tolerated in patients with claustrophobia. There is also risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis due to gadolinium exposure in patients with glomerular filtration rates <30 mL/min (21). In patients with kidney disease, non-gadolinium contrast agents exist; blood pool contrast agents, such as ferumoxytol, can be used to enhance MRA to provide good imaging quality (22). Additionally, some studies have found that non-contrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), when paired with electrocardiographic- and cardiac-gated techniques, have similar image quality compared to contrast-enhanced MRA images of the aorta (23).

**Expansion and rupture**

The likelihood of rupture depends on several factors such as aneurysm size, expansion rate, aneurysm morphology, and gender (26).

**Size**

A well-established relationship between AAA size and rupture has been documented with studies as early as the 1960s demonstrating marked survival improvement after operative repair of AAAs (27). Size remains one of the strongest predictors of rupture with risk significantly increasing at diameters of 5.5 cm or greater. In 2003, the Joint Council of the American Association for Vascular Surgery and SVS estimated annual rupture risk based on aortic diameter; aneurysms smaller than 4.0 cm have a 0% annual risk of rupture compared with 3–15% in aneurysms 5.0 to 5.9 cm. Over 7.0 cm, the risk of rupture dramatically...
increases to 20–50% each year (28). For comparison, the 5-year cumulative rupture rate for AAAs larger than 5.0 cm is 25–40%, compared to 1–7% for aneurysms with diameters of 4.0–5.0 cm (29,30).

**Expansion rate**

Expansion rate is another important risk factor for aneurysm rupture (31). AAAs that expand 0.5 cm or more over 6 months or 1.0 cm or more over 1 year are at high risk for rupture (32). In the elective repair of small AAAs, it is this criterion that is often used.

**Additional factors**

Continued smoking and uncontrolled hypertension lead to a higher risk of aneurysm rupture (28). Additionally, clinical opinion holds that saccular aneurysms are at greater risk for rupture than diffuse fusiform aneurysms (3). Diabetes and peripheral vascular disease appear to have protective qualities with respect to rupture risk.

**Indication for repair**

When deciding between observation and surgical repair of an infrarenal aortic aneurysm, one must take several factors into account including risk of rupture, patient life expectancy, and operative risk (33). Appropriate patient selection and timing of intervention are essential. In patients who need emergency surgery for aortic aneurysm rupture, the mortality is 50% among the patients who reach the hospital, compared to 1% to 5% for elective AAA repair (34).

The 2009 SVS Guidelines recommend treatment of symptomatic AAAs, regardless of size, due to high risk of rupture. Fusiform AAAs greater than 5.4 cm in diameter should be electively repaired in healthy patients. In young, healthy patients, especially women, there may be a benefit to early repair for aneurysms 5.0 to 5.4 cm (11). Review of four randomized controlled trials, including the Aneurysms Detection and Management Trial (ADAM), the UK Small Aneurysm Trial (UKSAT), the Positive Impact of Endovascular Options for Treating Aneurysms Early Trial (PIVOTAL), and the Comparison of Surveillance vs Aortic Endografting for Small Aneurysm Repair Trial (CESAR) all separately found that there were no long- or short-term benefits of repairing small aneurysms (4.0 to 5.5 cm) early (35).

For small aneurysms that are being observed, patients should receive appropriate management of cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes. Smoking cessation counseling should be provided and screening of family members is recommended. The 2009 SVS Guidelines also recommend that a statin and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor should be initiated (11). While two randomized trials have shown preoperative statins use improves cardiac morbidity and mortality within 30 days of vascular surgery (36,37), there are currently no randomized prospective studies related to aneurysms and statins. The AARDVARK trial found ACE inhibitors had no significant difference on small AAA growth rates (38), thus the benefit of statins and ACE inhibitors in the management of AAAs appears to be in reducing cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.

**Infrarenal aortic aneurysm repair**

Current treatment options for the repair of infrarenal aortic aneurysms are open surgical repair (OSR) and endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR), which involves the insertion of a graft into the lumen of the aorta to exclude the aneurysm sac. Currently, EVAR is the primary treatment method for the repair of infrarenal AAAs due to improved morbidity and mortality results when compared to OSR (39).

**EVAR**

In contemporary practice, many infrarenal aortic aneurysms with favorable neck anatomy are treated with EVAR. Of the commercially available endografts in the United States, the Instructions-for-Use (IFU) criteria include a proximal neck maximum diameter of 28 mm and a minimum axial neck length of 15 mm. Additionally, it is recommended the neck is less than 60 degrees angulation and without excessive calcium or atherothrombotic disease. For the distal landing zones, the iliac arteries should be 13 to 15 mm in maximum diameter with a minimum length of 20 mm to the external-internal iliac artery bifurcation (Figure 4). The graft is delivered via the femoral arteries, which are accessed either via cutdown with direct exposure of the vessels or percutaneously with preclosure of the vessel access site using a suture mediated closure device such as the ProGlide (Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The less tortuous iliac artery is used for the delivery of the main body graft. Short-term success rates of EVAR are favorable ranging from 83% to 95% (40,41). EVAR is less invasive when compared to OSR and 30-day all-cause mortality rates are
significantly lower with EVAR compared to OSR (1.6% vs 4.8%) (42). Despite this short-term benefit, studies have failed to show the long-term benefit of EVAR over OSR after 2 years. EVAR patients additionally have shorter recovery times and hospital stays. While the majority of studies have found EVAR to be more expensive than OSR, the data is variable on cost-effectiveness (43).

**OSR**

Renal insufficiency, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiac disease, increasing age, and female gender have all been found to be independent predictors of mortality after open repair (44,45). Per the 2005 ACC/AHA guidelines, OSR should be performed in patients at low or average risk for complications (32). For patients that are deemed appropriate for OSR, the approach is via either the transabdominal (TA) or retroperitoneal (RP) route. There are conflicting views and data on whether there are physiologic benefits to a RP approach over TA, such as reductions in fluid losses, cardiac stress, and severity in ileus (46,47). RP does, however, tend to be the preferred approach in patients with hostile abdomens and extensive scarring, either the result of prior surgeries or radiation (Figure 5). The location of the proximal clamp site is determined preoperatively by reviewing CTA imaging. The decision of where to clamp is based on the presence of aortic calcification or mural thrombus, as well as the location of the start of the aneurysm to the lowest renal artery. For infrarenal aortic aneurysms, clamping below the renal is usually a viable option. In terms of graft selection, straight tube grafts tend to be preferred over bifurcated grafts due to less blood loss and shorter operative times. Many surgeons would agree that since many infrarenal aortic aneurysms with favorable neck anatomy are treated with EVAR, the complexity of OSR has increased over the past decade. OSR, when compared with EVAR, is associated with longer hospital stays, higher transfusion rates, greater use of intensive care resources, and higher 30-day mortality rates (48).

**Surveillance following infrarenal AAA repair**

**EVAR**

Patients who have undergone endovascular stent graft repair of infrarenal AAAs need lifelong imaging surveillance. Post-operative imaging aids in identifying complications such as stent migration, persistent sac expansion, endoleaks, and stent fracture (11) (Figure 6). While the risk of endoleak decreases with each negative annual scan, endoleaks have been identified as late as 7 years post-operatively (49). The
imaging modality of choice is multidetector CTA with pre-contrast, arterial, and delayed phasing (50). This enables one to distinguish between calcifications and endoleaks, with the delayed phase being helpful in identifying slow-flow endoleaks. Using CTA, the sac size, stent graft durability, and location of the graft with respect to the renal arteries can all be assessed. Based on early FDA-sponsored EVAR trials, surveillance CTs have been recommended at 1, 6, and 12 months post-operatively (51). However, more recent data suggests that omitting the 6-month scan if the 1-month CTA demonstrates no evidence of endoleak is safe (52). The SVS practice guidelines endorse this method, recommending CTA at 1 and 12 months. CTA surveillance is not without limitations and has the disadvantage of repeated radiation exposure as well as added costs. Additionally, beam-hardening artifacts from aortic wall calcification or stent graft material can obscure smaller endoleaks (17). There have been reports of MRA being more sensitive in the detection of endoleaks (53), but CTA remains the post-EVAR surveillance modality of choice (Figure 7). Radiographs can be used to evaluate stent graft position and integrity, but have limited utility outside of these parameters. Sonography has limited and variable sensitivity in detecting endoleaks (54), but can be considered in combination with non-contrast CT in patients with renal insufficiency and normal scans within

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE I</th>
<th>Inadequate seal at graft ends</th>
<th>1A Proximal</th>
<th>Contrast extravasation in continuity with site of graft attachment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TYPE II</td>
<td>Aneurysm sac filling via branch vessel (80%)</td>
<td>2A One vessel</td>
<td>Retrograde flow through branch vessels (i.e. lumbar arteries or inferior mesenteric artery)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE III</td>
<td>Graft defect</td>
<td>3A Junctional separation of modular components</td>
<td>Contrast extravasation central or distal to graft attachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE IV</td>
<td>Graft porosity</td>
<td>Contrast extravasation anywhere along aneurysm sac without evidence of clear origin of leak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE V</td>
<td>Endotension</td>
<td>Continued expansion of aneurysm sac without demonstrable leak on imaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 Classification of endoleaks following EVAR. Type II endoleaks, which are defined as retrograde filling of the aneurysm sac via branch vessels, are the most common and constitute 80% of all endoleaks. EVAR, endovascular aneurysm repair.

Figure 7 Post-operative surveillance following AAA repair. (A) CTA of a 72-year-old gentleman who underwent endovascular stent graft repair of an infrarenal AAA. Post-operative surveillance imaging revealed a type II endoleak via a feeding lumbar artery. He subsequently underwent endoleak treatment with a liquid embolic agent. Beam hardening artifact seen on CTA as a result of the embolization therapy (white arrow). (B) MRA of a 68-year-old male who underwent an EVAR for an asymptomatic infrarenal AAA. Post-operative surveillance imaging revealed a persistent type II endoleak via a feeding lumbar artery (solid white arrow). The aneurysm sac filling with contrast is also demonstrated (dashed white arrow). MRA, magnetic resonance angiography; AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysms; EVAR, endovascular aneurysm repair.
the first post-operative year. New ultrasound contrast agents have increased the sensitivity of ultrasound to detect endoleaks following EVAR (55).

**OSR**

Unlike EVAR, OSR is not associated with risk of persistent sac enlargement. However, para-anastomotic aneurysm formation or graft infection can occur at rates of 1%, 5%, and 20% at years 5, 10, and 15, respectively. For this reason, the 2009 SVS Guidelines recommend follow-up CTA imaging at 5-year intervals after OSR, or more frequently if there is reason for clinical concern. Sonography is also a reasonable means for surveillance in this population to ensure there is no progressive aortic dilatation.

**Conclusions**

Abdominal aortic aneurysms remain one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in patients over the age of 65. Despite increased evidence supporting the utility of screening for AAAs in high risk patient populations, the most common way that these are detected is incidentally while undergoing an ultrasound, radiography of the back or abdomen, CT scan, or MRI for the evaluation of another problem. While CTA with 3D reconstruction remains the standard modality for pre-operative imaging, case planning, and postoperative surveillance, ultrasound is being increasingly used for post-operative surveillance in patients with stable aneurysm sac sizes and good anatomy. Endovascular repair has become the preferred therapy for the management of infrarenal AAAs and accounts for up to 80% of repairs in some institutions due to decreased perioperative morbidity and mortality as well as faster initial recovery times. However, concerns about the long-term durability of EVAR and the need for repeat intervention even after 8–10 years mandates lifelong surveillance in these patients. This fact also reiterates the importance of considering open repair in younger patients with low cardiac, pulmonary, and renal risk factors.

**Acknowledgements**

None.

**Footnote**

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**References**


