Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is a major global health concern plaguing high-income countries (HICs) and low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) alike, and affects approximately 26 million people worldwide. HF is associated with substantial increased morbidity, mortality, poor quality of life and a significant economic burden on national healthcare systems (1-3). The prevalence of HF increases with age and is over nine fold higher among those 65 years or older compared to younger age groups (4,5). In LMICs, available data suggest that HF is associated with high morbidity and mortality, prolonged hospitalisation and consequently carries a high societal burden (2,3,6). The increasing burden of HF in LMICs is driven by an epidemiological transition and a surge in the prevalence of aetiological factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia or obesity and lifestyle changes characterised by decreased physical activity, increased alcohol intake and smoking (7). Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including HF, are major problems for health systems in LMICs facing a high burden of infectious diseases and are less prepared to cope with the double disease burden from communicable and non-communicable diseases (NCDs) (8).

Until recently, there has been a dearth of information on the epidemiology of HF in LMICs. Most data have come...
Clinical characteristics and aetiologies of HF in LMICs

Patients with HF in LMICs are likely to be younger with a mean/median age of 63 years and ranging from 52 years in Africa to 70 years in South America, compared to a mean/median age of 70 years in those from HICs (3,6,10-12). Indeed, the mean age at admission for HF increases with country economic index; insured and financially stable patients with CVD are likely to have their condition better managed and controlled and will only develop terminal complications at an advanced age (6). The younger age of HF patients in LMICs reflects failure of health systems to detect and control risk factors for HF, in particular, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia, smoking and excessive alcohol intake. Also, the significant contribution of infections that lead to rheumatic heart disease (RHD), tuberculous pericarditis and constrictive pericarditis and human immunodeficiency virus-associated (HIV-associated) CVD could explain the younger age of persons living with HF in LMICs compared to HICs, where these condition are much less prevalent (3,6,11). Overall, males seem to be the more affected, even though this is inconsistent across studies especially those from Africa (6,11,13).

There is a significant variability in the severity of HF at presentation across different LMICs and regions. For instance, about 30.1–56.4% of patients present with advanced HF [New York Heart Association (NYHA) classes III and IV] at the time of diagnosis, with Africa and Asia depicting the more severe phenotypes at diagnosis (2). Africa and Asia are the two regions with the lowest rate of health and medication insurance and educational attainment (11). Social inequality limiting access to medication and healthcare, and unavailability or poor access to diagnostic tools such as electrocardiography (ECG), X-rays, echocardiography, computed tomography, cardiovascular magnetic resonance, invasive angiography and scintigraphic techniques contributes to poor cardiovascular outcomes. Furthermore, lack of evidence-based therapies (drugs, devices and surgical interventions), limited financial, infrastructural and human resources necessary for screening and diagnosis of HF and ineffective primary and secondary prevention against CVD also contribute to the observed disparities between HF outcomes in LMICs and HICs (3,14). In addition, socioeconomic factors add to high rates of rehospitalisation for HF in Africa and Asia (3,11,13).

The four leading causes of HF in LMICs are ischemic heart disease, hypertensive heart disease (HHD), cardiomyopathies (CMOs) and RHD (Table 1). Of note, IHD which is the leading cause of HF in most LMICs is far less prevalent in Africa, where hypertension, CMOs and RHD are more common (15). The epidemiology of CVD in Africa, especially IHD and HF, is also confounded by unavailability of robust diagnostic tools such as angiography (3), and even of ECG, which should ideally be readily available in most settings in LMICs. For instance, the availability and affordability of ECG were reported to be low in both rural and urban health facilities in West Cameroon, Kenya and Uganda (14,16). Therefore, IHD is likely to be underdiagnosed in Africa. Moreover, there is an anticipated increase in the prevalence of the condition on the continent especially with the rising prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors. Interestingly, the INTER-CHF study found that up to 20% of cases of HF in Africa were due to ischemic heart disease was which twice higher than most previous estimates; however, the study population comprised mostly of urban patients recruited from private hospitals who had similar cardiovascular risk factors as HF patients in HICs (3,6).

HHD is, by far, the leading cause of HF in Africa (prevalence of 12–52%) (15). High prevalence of hypertension, associated with low rates of awareness, treatment and control all contribute to the frequency of HHD and its complications in the region (17-20). Increasingly, there are alarming reports of an escalation in the burden of hypertension in children and adolescents (21), and pregnant women in Africa (22). Besides African countries, many other LMICs bear a huge burden of HHD, including those in South America, Eastern Mediterranean and even Europe (Table 1).

CMOs (prevalence of 3–30%) and RHD (prevalence of 11–25%) are also important causes of HF in LMICs (Table 1). An important cause of acute and chronic HF, especially in...
sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is tuberculous pericarditis. Indeed, the HIV epidemic in the region has led to a marked increase in the incidence of tuberculous pericardial disease, including constrictive pericarditis (23). Although the prevalence of this condition as a cause of HF is relatively low (3–13% of cases according to different series) (24), it is associated with very high mortality rates of up to 27.6% at 6 months (23).

The leading comorbidities associated with HF in LMICs include hypertension, valvulopathies (either primary or functional), diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia, chronic kidney disease and smoking (11,12,25). Except for valvulopathies, the prevalence of these comorbid conditions is similar to HICs. In the International Congestive Heart Failure (INTER-CHF) study over half of HF patients from the Middle-East had diabetes mellitus compared to just about 17% of patients from Africa (11). The same study showed that presence of those comorbidities is significant predictors of adverse outcomes (2).

**Management of HF in LMICs**

Pharmacological treatment of HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) is based on the use of diuretics for the relief of congestion-related symptoms and of neurohormonal antagonists—beta-blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) —which have been demonstrated to improve survival (26). The use of medications for HF varies substantially across LMICs (Table 2). Loop diuretics are by far the most commonly used medications in these settings. In Africa, for instance, diuretics are among the most available and cheapest medications for CVD (16). On the other hand, the use of evidence-based medications such as ACEIs, ARBs, MRAs and beta-blockers is suboptimal. Beta-blockers are used in only about one in three patients with HF in LMICs (3,27). Beta-1 selective blockers such as bisoprolol, carvedilol and metoprolol, with the evidence-basis for management of HF, have poor availability and affordability in many LMICs. Strategies to improve utilisation of these life-saving medications include cost-containment and promotion of generics, as well as education of physicians on good clinical practice in the management of HF.
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Major causes of HF in LMICs</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callender et al. (6), 2014 (LMICs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa (n=NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americas (n=NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean (n=NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe (n=NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Asia (n=NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Pacific (n=NR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTER-CHF, 2017 (LMICs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa (n=1,294)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America (n=869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India (n=858)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (n=991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-East Asia (n=811)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agbor et al. (3), 2018 (sub-Saharan Africa) (n=10,098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China-HF, 2017 (China) (n=5,556)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, sample size; NR, not reported; LMICs, low- and middle-income countries; HHD, hypertensive heart disease; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; CMO, cardiomyopathy; VHD, valvular heart disease; HF, heart failure; INTER-CHF, International Congestive Heart Failure Study.
Finally, most of the evidence on the pharmacological therapy of HF was generated in patients from HICs. Patients from some LMICs have been timidly represented in large scale until recently (3,12,28). However, despite bearing the highest burden of HF, data specific evidence from clinical trials on the effectiveness of evidence-based HF medications are deficient in Africa and most major clinical trials evaluating the effectiveness of these medications have not included participants from Africa (26,28-31). Since the characteristics of patients with HF in LMICs, especially those in Africa, vary and might therefore influence response to pharmacotherapy (32), it is vital to increase the involvement of patients from LMICs in international clinical trials of HF therapies in order to provide reliable evidence-based recommendations which are based on data derived from these populations. Hopefully, with recent regional and global HF registries including a good number of HF patients from Africa, the involvement of Africans in clinical trials of HF treatments will be easier than ever before.

### Outcomes of HF in LMICs

HF has poor outcomes in LMICs, where it has been demonstrated to be associated with a prolonged hospital stay of about 10 days (range, 5–35 days) (6,25) and in-hospital mortality of 4–25%, with the highest rates being reported in Africa, South America and Asia (3,6,12,25,33). Older age, presence of atrial fibrillation, previous history of stroke, chronic kidney disease and hyponatraemia have been associated with higher in-hospital mortality in patients with HF in Salvador (34). In the China-HF registry, the presence of acute myocardial infarction, infection, right bundle branch block, higher levels of total bilirubin and increased blood urea nitrogen were significant predictors of in-hospital mortality (33). Importantly, lower systolic blood pressure was associated with reduced in-hospital mortality, suggesting the importance of blood pressure
control on HF outcomes (33). Despite the high prevalence of IHD in the China-HF registry, only 3.8% benefited from a percutaneous coronary intervention compared with at least 8% of patients in the ADHERE (United States), ATTEND (Japan), EHFS (Europe), and KorAHF (Korea) registries (33). Such a difference is likely explained by limited resources and specialists limiting the application of this lifesaving intervention in the management of acute coronary syndromes in LMICs such as China as compared to HICs. As mentioned above, ischemic heart disease is probably underdiagnosed and poorly managed in many LMICs, especially in Africa, due to poor access to adequate diagnostic and therapeutic interventions.

In the INTER-CHF study, the overall one-year mortality rate for HF in LMICs was 16.5% with Africa and India bearing over 50% of the disease burden (2). Older age, previous and current admission for HF, advanced disease (NYHA functional class III or IV), valvular disease, chronic kidney disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease were independent predictors of one-year mortality (3). Furthermore, patients in Africa, India and South-East Asia had higher mortality rates compared to those in South America (2). Patients on ACEIs/ARBs or digoxin had a lower mortality (2). Surprisingly, beta-blockers were not found to be associated with reduced mortality (2). This might be due to either the use of inappropriate class of beta-blockers or inadequate titration, or the period of follow-up was not long enough to reveal the effect of beta-blockers on mortality, or better still, the study design was not the best to study the effects of beta blockers on HF-related mortality and therefore suggests the need of a large scale randomised controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of beta blockers on HF-related morbidity and mortality.

HF-related mortality across various LMICs are most likely related to differences in the quality of health facilities, low socioeconomic status (35), clinical practice guidelines for the management of HF, the number or proportion of physicians, cardiologists or nurses involved in patient follow-up, and patients’ accessibility to, affordability of, and adherence to HF treatment. However, most registries in LMICs are yet to evaluate these claims. In the China-HF registry, for example, the investigators reported deviations of local practice guidelines with that of most western countries coupled with over- and underdosing of evidence-based medications (33). Of course, the determinants of mortality in LMICs are likely to be even more complex consisting an interplay between wealth, access to healthcare, quality of healthcare delivery, and presence of health infrastructures (2,6).

Economic burden of HF in LMICs

With higher poverty rates, most LMICs are ill-prepared to control the fast-growing burden of chronic NCDs like HF, which is likely to impede ongoing initiatives to curb poverty in LMICs, leading to a continuity in the vicious cycle of economic inequality and increasing burden of HF (35,36). The global target in reducing CVD mortality by 25% by the year 2025 remains far-fetched in most LMICs primarily due to poor governance, insufficient allocation of public funds to the health sector, lack of evidence-based and ineffective delivery of healthcare including disease prevention, low levels of awareness, and absence of universal health coverage, among others (37-40).

In 2012, HF was associated with an overall cost of $15,130 in LMICs, 90% of which was from indirect cost (healthcare expenditure owing to loss of productivity resulting from disability and death, welfare support and sickness benefits) (40). The trend is different in HICs where majority of the cost of HF was from direct spending on healthcare medication, physician visits and hospital services, highlighting the fact that most patients in LMICs encounter major difficulty in meeting the costs associated with HF and other CVDs (41). Eventually, poverty increases morbidity and mortality, loss of economic productivity and indirect costs on national systems.

The cost of inpatient care represents about 25–56% of the total direct cost of HF management (12,42). With high costs of inpatient care for HF, low health insurance rates and almost nonexistent universal healthcare, it is most likely that majority of the patients in LMICs presenting with acute decompensated HF cannot afford management costs which consequently lead to higher rates of in-hospital mortality compared to HICs (10). Investing in the prevention of HF should be an utmost priority in HICs and LMICs alike, especially with the escalating global burden of CVD risk factors. Prevention in LMICs requires intensification of the already multidisciplinary collaborations between international organisations, governments, physicians and researchers, civil society and patient groups.

Conclusions

Recent data reveal the ugly face of HF in LMICs with significant disparities in sociodemographic and clinical profiles compared with patients in HICs. The four leading
causes of HF in LMICs are HHD, IHD, RHD and CMOs. Infection remains an important contributor to the development of HF in LMICs. Patients from LMICs are younger, mostly uninsured and diagnosed as in patients at advanced stages of the disease. HF in LMICs is associated with higher in-hospital and one-year mortality rates compared with studies from HICs. The use of evidence-based medications for HF is suboptimal and should therefore be scaled up in resource-poor settings. There is an urgent need for international collaboration among key actors and for governments in LMICs to improve national health budgets and to prioritise CVD prevention. It is crucial to scale up the use of research on and the use of evidence-based medications such as ACEIs, ARBs, beta-blockers and MRAs and to invest in invasive management of HF. Finally, large scale cohort and national HF registries are warranted to improve our understanding of the epidemiology of HF in LMICs.
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