Improved diagnosis and prognosis using Decisions Informed by Combining Entities (DICE): results from the NHLBI-sponsored Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE)
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**Objectives:** To introduce an algorithmic approach to improve the interpretation of myocardial perfusion images in women with suspected myocardial ischemia.

**Background:** Gated single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and magnetic resonance (MR) myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) approaches have relatively poor diagnostic and prognostic value in women with suspected myocardial ischemia. Here we introduce an approach: Decisions Informed by Combining Entities (DICE) that forms a mathematical model utilizing MPI and cardiac dimensions generated by one modality to predict the perfusion status of another modality. The effect of the model is to systematically incorporate cardiac metrics that influence the interpretation of perfusion images, leading to greater consistency in designation of myocardial perfusion status between studies.

**Methods:** Women (n=213), with suspected myocardial ischemia, underwent MPI assessment for regional perfusion defects using two modalities: gated SPECT (n=207) and MR imaging (n=203). To determine perfusion status, MR data were evaluated qualitatively and semi-quantitatively while SPECT data were evaluated using conventional clinical criteria. These perfusion status readings were designated “Original”. Four regression models were generated to model perfusion status obtained with one modality [e.g., semi-quantitative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)] against another modality (e.g., SPECT) and a threshold applied (DICE modeling) to designate perfusion status as normal or low. The DICE models included perfusion status, left ventricular (LV) chamber volumes and myocardial wall thickness. Women were followed for 40±16 months for the development of first major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE: CV death, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) or hospitalization for congestive heart failure). Original and DICE perfusion status were compared in their ability to detect high-grade coronary artery disease (CAD) and for prediction of MACE.

**Results:** Adverse events occurred in 25 (12%) women and CAD was present in 34 (16%). In receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) analysis for CAD detection, the average area under the curve (AUC) for DICE vs. Original status was 0.77±0.03 vs. 0.70±0.03, P<0.01. Similarly, in Kaplan-Meier survival analysis the average log-rank statistic was higher for DICE vs. the Original readings (10.6±5.2 vs. 3.0±0.6, P<0.05).

**Conclusions:** While two data sets are required to generate the DICE models no knowledge of follow-up results is needed. DICE modeling improved diagnostic and prognostic value vs. the Original interpretation of the myocardial perfusion status.
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Introduction

The role of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) in magnetic resonance (MR) myocardial imaging is rapidly increasing due to the high-resolution and high-contrast nature of the cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technique (1-4). The presence of LGE signal indicates an increase in extra cellular space, and in the context of ischemic heart disease, typically indicates a sub region of myocardium that may be permanently damaged, and consequently portends a poor prognosis (5-7). Further, myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) could be performed along with an LGE examination to additionally identify regions of myocardium at risk of future infarct (6,8-11). However, the performance of MPI by MRI has dramatically lagged the performance and development of LGE, in large part due to the relatively low contrast between normal and at-risk regions of myocardium. Even when quantitative analysis of MRI MPI data is performed, it was recently noted by Bratis and Negal that “The development of an universal, reproducible, accurate and easily applicable tool in cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) perfusion analysis remains a challenge and will substantially enforce the role of perfusion CMR in improving clinical care” (12).

In a similar manner, interpretation of MPI data acquired using the more-established modality of gated single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) examination also suffers from low and variable contrast, with contamination from well-documented sources of artifact (13-16). Further, even in cases where interpretation is restricted to evaluation of rest-stress myocardial perfusion reserve, the presence of noise and the variability of data places limits on reproducibility (17). Inclusion of demographic, risk factors, and symptom severity does not generally improve interpretation, since MPI is typically performed for patients at intermediate risk, largely identified using this additional data (18).

To improve the value of MPI data consider the following thought experiment (one that can only rarely be performed in practice): to compensate for the various sources of inaccuracy in MPI data, introduce a second modality to separately assess the myocardial perfusion status. The rationale for this approach being that the effects of random noise can be reduced by combining data and sources of bias that might adversely affect one modality may not be present in the second modality. We might expect that identification of low perfusion regions is more accurate when the two modalities are in agreement. However, in patients where the two modalities are divergent, a third test might be considered as a tiebreaker (at least in a thought experiment). It can be appreciated that the approach of pooling results between modalities rapidly leads to increased cost and is not generally a practical solution, but nevertheless it is useful to demonstrate the origin of the benefits of the approach introduced here: Decisions Informed by Combining Entities (DICE).

We hypothesize that removing systematic sources of bias and noise in MPI data leads to improved performance of the test. Here we introduce a new approach, DICE, whereby a regression model is generated that specifically incorporates image-measured variables, with an increasing number of variables systematically reducing noise. Initialization of the model requires acquisition and interpretation of data from two separate MPI tests performed on a common patient population. However, during implementation, data from only one MPI modality is required. Importantly, even during initialization, DICE does not require knowledge of additional test results such as coronary artery status or of outcome data.

Methods

Study population

Among the 935 Women’s Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation (WISE) study participants a sub-population consisting of 213 women with suspected myocardial ischemia were recruited and undergone a clinically indicated gated-SPECT evaluation, a study-directed MRI evaluation, and a clinically-indicated coronary artery angiographic examination. This prospective sub-study was performed at a single WISE site, the University of Alabama at Birmingham between the dates of November 1993 and October 1998, and included WISE participants with no contraindications for MR examination. All subjects provided written informed consent using forms and procedures approved by the university’s Institutional Review Board. The MR and gated-SPECT studies were performed on the same day and readers were blinded to all women’s data. Coronary artery cath data were available and read at a WISE core laboratory. Here, 70% was regarded as a high-grade stenosis.

Baseline MPI and left ventricular (LV) function evaluation

The WISE study design and methodology has been previously described (19-21). In brief, upon enrollment
into WISE, demographic data, risk factors for coronary artery disease (CAD), medical and reproductive history, and functional capacity were collected as well as blood sampling for Lipid Core Laboratory evaluation. The study was structured with a pilot (n=64) and implementation (n=165) phase. During the pilot phase, the imaging protocol for the non-invasive approaches of MR and gated-SPECT underwent optimization, and each protocol was fixed for the implementation phase.

**Gated-SPECT**
The gated-SPECT examination was performed in parallel with the MR examination. A baseline gated-SPECT examination was obtained (ADAC, Milpitas, CA). Following this, women were sent to the MR suite where at three minutes following infusion of dipyridamole (0.56 mg/kg over four minutes) technetium-99m sestamibi (MIBI) was administered. Following the MR study, women returned to the nuclear cardiology laboratory for hyperemic gated-SPECT imaging. During the pilot phase, gated-SPECT studies were performed with either thallium-201 and MIBI used for post dipyridamole or with MIBI (low dose/high dose) used for both baseline and hyperemic gated-SPECT MPI (22). During the implementation phase, the MIBI (low dose/high dose) protocol was used exclusively for gated-SPECT MPI. The gated-SPECT MPI data were evaluated by a consensus of two or more readers experienced in the interpretation of gated-SPECT and without knowledge of the women's prior clinical history or angiographic results (19). Gated-SPECT data were entered into an analysis program that fitted the data to a 3D model of the LV, and end-systolic and end-diastolic volumes were extracted with minimal user interaction. Data were of sufficient quality for the automatic volumetric extraction in 149 (66%) cases (from the implementation phase data). A consensus of experienced SPECT readers evaluated the SPECT data to adjudicate regions of myocardial perfusion deficit. Here a patient with at least one region of perfusion deficit is coded as ‘1’ for disease present and ‘0’ for disease absent.

**MR**
MR cine images were acquired using a Philips ACS 1.5T scanner (Philips Medical System, Best, The Netherlands). Non-invasive MPI was performed in the short axis orientation using a bolus injection of gadolinium (0.1 mmol/kg at a rate of 4-6 mL/sec) followed by a 10 mL saline flush. LV function was evaluated from serial cine slices acquired in the short-axis orientation. End-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were extracted from endocardial contours semi-automatically drawn using the MASS program (Medis, Leiden, The Netherlands). The myocardial wall thickness was measured at end-diastole in the horizontal long axis view at the mid-ventricular level in the septal wall and in the opposite free wall. The two measurements were averaged to form the mean myocardial wall thickness. A consensus of experienced MRI readers evaluated the MRI data to adjudicate regions of myocardial perfusion deficit, providing the qualitative reading, MRI qualitative perfusion assessment (MRI_{QL}). Using previously described methods, a semi-quantitative reading (MRI_{SO}) of the myocardial perfusion defect was performed (19,20). In brief, the product of the normalized uptake slope and signal gain from baseline to peak myocardial perfusion was evaluated (related to area under the uptake curve). If the product was less that 0.2 of that of the maximum for those with an adequate myocardial perfusion reserve, the region was designated as low perfusion. For patients with an inadequate myocardial flow reserve, a value of 0.3 of the maximum was used. In a similar manner to SPECT, a patient possessing at least one region with a perfusion deficit was coded as ‘1’ for disease present and ‘0’ for disease absent.

**DICE modeling**
Logistic regression analysis was performed to model the perfusion status of a target modality (e.g., gated-SPECT) by entering into the model the perfusion status determined by a second modality (e.g., MRI_{QL}) along with variables such as ventricular volumetric data derived from the second modality. Only variables obtained from the second imaging modality were considered as candidates for the model. Variables were entered sequentially, and only those variables whose univariate test P-value <0.05 were included in the model, and only those terms that added to the model with a significance level of P<0.05 were retained. The logistic regression equation (LRE) thus generated was converted into probabilities by the standard formula

\[
\text{Probability} = \frac{e^{LRE}}{1 + e^{LRE}}
\]  

Values above a certain threshold indicate the presence of a perfusion deficit by the model (DICE model). The threshold was taken as the average perfusion score for the population based on the target modality (i.e., reflecting the percentage of patients that were positive using the target modality). Four DICE models were generated: SPECT modeling MRI_{SO}, SPECT modeling MRI_{QL}, MRI_{QL} modeling SPECT, MRI_{SO} modeling SPECT.
Follow-up procedures

Follow-up consisted of a scripted telephone interview performed by an experienced research coordinator at 6-week after enrollment and annually thereafter. The major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) followed were cardiovascular-related mortality, first incidence of nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI) or hospitalization for congestive heart failure. Follow-up was 40±16 months. In the event of death, a death certificate and/or hospital record was obtained and a panel of experts adjudicated whether death was cardiovascular related using predetermined criteria.

Statistical analysis

Continuous values were presented as mean ± S.D. and categorical variables as percent frequency. Continuous clinical and demographic characteristics were compared between groups using the independent samples t-test; the chi-square test was used for categorical comparisons. Patients were grouped based on agreement between MPI tests between modalities. The performance of the DICE model was evaluated in receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) analysis, entering the DICE values both as a binarized value and separately as a continuum. The threshold for CAD was ≥70% stenosis. The area under the curve (AUC) for ROC analysis was compared between Original and DICE-assisted interpretation of MPI data for detection of CAD and for prediction of MACE. Kaplan-Meier log-rank statistics were compared between the Original and DICE-assisted MPI interpretation for time to MACE. The AUC and log-rank statistic readings were compared between Original and DICE-assisted readings using t-testing. To assess the performance of the DICE model on the number of parameters included, the model with the largest number of parameters was re-evaluated by manually removing one parameter at a time. All statistical tests were two-tailed and a P-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

Results

Population characteristics and data

The mean age of women was 59±12 years (range 31-86 years); 34% were ethnic minorities, primarily African-Americans. Demographic data for all women and women categorized by MACE are summarized in Table 1. At the end of the 5-year follow-up period, MACE occurred in 25 women (12%) consisting of 12 deaths, 8 hospitalizations for congestive heart failure, and 5 MI’s. Of 230 women, 17 did not complete any imaging procedure, of the remaining 213 women, an additional 10 did not complete the MRI MPI examination, and 6 did not complete the SPECT MPI examination with complete MRI and gated-SPECT perfusion data available for approximately 95% of women (MRI, 95%, SPECT, 97%). Similarly, complete functional data were available in 188 (88%) for MRI, and 147 (69%) for gated-SPECT (LV function variables were available only for the implementation phase for SPECT).

DICE modeling

The LRE predicting SPECT using MRI_{SQ} data is

\[ \text{MRISQ}_{\text{MSP}} = -5.336 + \text{ESVi} \times 0.061 + \text{Wall} \times 0.222 + \text{MRI}_{\text{SQ}} \times 1.393 \]  

Where \( \text{MRISQ}_{\text{MSP}} \) is the DICE modeled SPECT result using the MRI_{SQ} perfusion assessment, ESVi (mL/m^2) is end systolic volume index and Wall is the average myocardial wall thickness (mm), both measured by MRI. The threshold to signify a perfusion deficit was 0.25.

The LRE predicting SPECT using MRI_{QL} data is

\[ \text{MRIQL}_{\text{MSP}} = -5.223 + \text{ESVi} \times 0.058 + \text{Wall} \times 0.215 + \text{MRI}_{\text{QL}} \times 1.35 \]  

Where \( \text{MRIQL}_{\text{MSP}} \) is the DICE modeled SPECT result using the MRI_{QL} perfusion assessment, ESVi (mL/m^2) is end systolic volume index and Wall is the average myocardial wall thickness (mm), both measured by MRI. The threshold to signify a perfusion deficit was 0.25.

The LRE predicting MRI_{QL} using SPECT data is

\[ \text{SPECT}_{\text{MQL}} = -2.656 + \text{EDVi} \times 0.028 + \text{SPECT} \times 1.117 \]  

Where \( \text{SPECT}_{\text{MQL}} \) is the DICE modeled MRI_{QL} result using the SPECT perfusion assessment and EDVi (mL/m^2) is the SPECT-measured end diastolic volume index. The threshold to signify a perfusion deficit was 0.24.

The LRE predicting MRI_{SQ} using SPECT data is

\[ \text{SPECT}_{\text{MSQ}} = -5.054 + 0.03 \times \text{EDVi} + \text{SPECT} \times 1.35 \]  

Where \( \text{SPECT}_{\text{MSQ}} \) is the DICE modeled MRI_{SQ} result using the SPECT perfusion assessment and EDVi (mL/m^2) is the SPECT-measured end diastolic volume index. The threshold to signify a perfusion deficit was 0.21.

Variables that were entered and rejected in each model included: ejection fraction, end-systolic volume index, stroke volume, and linear cardiac dimensions. The MRI_{SQ} and MRI_{QL} data shared common values for all cardiac variables derived.
from the functional and morphologic scans. Graphical plots of the probability functions generated for each model are shown in Figure 1, along with the threshold value applied to separate normal perfusion from low perfusion.

**Congruent and incongruent studies**

Figure 2 shows a Kaplan-Meier plot for the sub-set of patients where MRI and SPECT are in agreement (75% of patients) and a plot where the tests are in disagreement (25%). The log-rank statistic for the set where the two modalities agree is 5.5 (P<0.05), but collapses completely to 0.01 (P=0.9) for the set where the two modalities disagree. The average degree of agreement between modalities and between MRI readings is (74±3)%, and when analyzed using correlation analysis, MRIQL correlates with MRIQL with r=0.29, MRIIQ correlates with SPECT with r=0.37 and MRIQL correlates with SPECT with r=0.38 (P<0.001 for each).

Similarly, other variables measured separately by MRI and SPECT only agreed moderately: correlation r value for EF is 0.51 and for EDVi is 0.65 (P<0.001 for each). Conversely, the correlation agreement between the thresholded DICE models were as follows: MRIQL:MRIQL =0.53, MRIIQ:MRISQ =0.49, MRIQL:MRISQ =0.49, MRIQL:MRISQ =0.48, and SPECT:MRISQ =0.95. Excluding the outlier, SPECT:MRISQ, the average correlation for the DICE results is 0.49±0.02 which represents an increased from the Original correlation of 0.33±0.04 (P<0.001).

**Original and DICE-assisted MPI predictors of CAD and MACE**

Figure 3 shows the set of ROC curves for detection of CAD generated for the Original, threshold DICE and continuous DICE functions. The Original readings of the MPI data

---

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics of women by MACE group (n=213)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>No MACE (n=188)</th>
<th>MACE (n=25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black or Hispanic (%)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>59±12</td>
<td>59±12</td>
<td>62±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>92†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (%)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking (%)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>72‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>52#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of premature CAD† (%)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI left ventricular ejection fraction (%) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>62±11</td>
<td>63±10</td>
<td>57±14‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT left ventricular ejection fraction (%) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>60±17</td>
<td>62±16</td>
<td>50±15#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI end diastolic volume index (ML/m²) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>55±17</td>
<td>55±17</td>
<td>58±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT end diastolic volume index (ML/m²) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>42±16</td>
<td>40±16</td>
<td>52±11#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI end systolic volume index (ML/m²) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>22±13</td>
<td>21±13</td>
<td>26±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT end systolic volume index (ML/m²) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>18±15</td>
<td>17±15</td>
<td>27±11†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRIQL positive (%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRISQ positive (%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT positive (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular wall thickness (mm) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>10.5±2.3</td>
<td>10.2±2.1</td>
<td>12.7±2.8#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate (BPM)§ (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>69±13</td>
<td>68±12</td>
<td>76±16‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>141±24</td>
<td>138±23</td>
<td>160±18#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) (mean ± SD)*</td>
<td>76±13</td>
<td>75±12</td>
<td>82±13#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD, standard deviation; †CAD, coronary artery disease; §BPM, Beats per minute; MRIQL, indicates qualitative MRI; MRISQ, indicates semi-quantitive MRI; SPECT, indicates single photon emission computed tomography; ¶, indicates a P value <0.05 between event-free and adverse event groups; #, indicates a P value <0.005 between event-free and adverse event groups.
Figure 1 The probability functions generated by binary logistic regression are shown for four DICE models. Patients were ordered from lowest to highest probability value with the blue line representing the instantaneous probability, the red line representing the moving average (of 10 values) and the purple line indicating the threshold separating the “0” state (no perfusion deficit) from the “1” state (perfusion deficit). Abbreviation: DICE, Decisions Informed by Combining Entities.

Figure 2 The Kaplan-Meier plots for predicting MACE are shown for (A) cases where SPECT and MRI$_{SQ}$ agree and (B) where SPECT and MRI$_{SQ}$ disagree. Plots are for ischemia present (green) vs. no ischemia present (blue). Abbreviations: MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; MRI$_{SQ}$, MRI semi-quantitative perfusion assessment.
generated an average AUC of 0.7±0.01, which remained almost unchanged using the threshold DICE models (0.71±0.03, P=0.3) but increased significantly for the continuous DICE equation (0.77±0.03, P<0.01). Similarly, Figure 4 shows the set of ROC curves for prediction of MACE. The Original readings of MPI data generated an average AUC of 0.59±0.05, which trended to increase using the threshold DICE models (0.68±0.05, P=0.07) and increased using the continuous DICE equations (0.75±0.02, P<0.001).

Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated separately for the Original perfusion status readings and threshold DICE models. The three Kaplan-Meier plots generated for the Original readings had an average log rank statistic of 3.0±0.6, Figure 5. The four Kaplan-Meier plots for the threshold DICE models are shown in Figure 6, with an increased average log-rank statistic (10.6±5.0, P<0.05). With reference to the Kaplan-Meier plots, there was no difference in the annualized event rate of those identified with perfusion deficits between the Original vs. DICE [(3.2±2.2)% vs. (4.3±1.4)%, P=0.2]. Conversely, the average event rate was higher for the Original vs. DICE for those identified as having normal perfusion [(1.6±0.17)% vs. (1.1±0.2)%, P<0.01].

**Number of DICE model parameters**

The DICE model given in Eq. [2] using MRI_{SQ} data

---

**Figure 3** The ROC curve responses for predicting CAD (70% stenosis) are shown for four DICE models. The continuous DICE model (red) is plotted along with the threshold DICE model (green). For comparison, the corresponding Original modality response (blue) is shown for SPECT (A and B), MRI_{SQ} (C) and MRI_{QL} (D) along with the reference diagonal (purple). Abbreviations: ROC, receiver-operator characteristic; CAD, coronary artery disease; DICE, Decisions Informed by Combining Entities; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; MRI_{SQ}, MRI semi-quantitative perfusion assessment; MRI_{QL}, MRI qualitative perfusion assessment.

**Figure 4**

**Figure 5**

**Figure 6**
Figure 4 The ROC curve responses for predicting MACE are shown for four DICE models. The continuous DICE model (red) is plotted along with the threshold DICE model (green). For comparison, of the Original modality response (blue) is shown for SPECT (A and B), MRI\textsubscript{SQ} (C) and MRI\textsubscript{QL} (D) along with the reference diagonal (purple). Abbreviations: ROC, receiver-operator characteristic; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event; DICE, Decisions Informed by Combining Entities; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography; MRI\textsubscript{SQ}, MRI semi-quantitative perfusion assessment; MRI\textsubscript{QL}, MRI qualitative perfusion assessment.

Figure 5 The Kaplan-Meier plots for predicting MACE are shown for three Original readings; ischemia (green) vs. no ischemia (blue). Abbreviation: MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event.
to model SPECT was re-evaluated using 1, 2 and 3 parameters. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated for the Original, each reduced DICE model and the most complete DICE model. The log rank linearly increased from 2.2 to 10.03, given by the equation

$$\text{Log rank} = 3.9 \times \text{NoP} - 1.9$$

[6]

Where NoP is the number of parameters ($R^2=0.98$). The log-rank and percent survival by ischemic category are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2 DICE performance with number of variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable(s)</th>
<th>Log rank</th>
<th>% survival in negative group</th>
<th>% survival in positive group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural MRISQ</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRISQ and wall</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRISQ, wall and ESVi</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where wall is the MRI measured average myocardial wall thickness and ESVi is the MRI measure end systolic volume index.

**Discussion**

DICE improved interpretation of MPI data by specifically incorporating terms related to the physical conditions of the patient. This was the case for both human and computer assisted interpretations. For the Original
readings, the degree of discrepancy between the two MRI readings was comparable to the degree of discrepancy between MRI and SPECT with about 25% of patients having non-congruent findings. However, despite these widespread disagreements, the Original readings were broadly comparable to each other in diagnostic and prognostic value. With reference to Figure 4, the Original MPI readings indicated that about 60% of MACE occurred in patients without any evidence of a perfusion deficit. The DICE thresholded results captured approximately 60% of MACE. This increase in sensitivity was accomplished without altering the annualized event rates for positive patients between the Original and DICE-assisted readings [(3.2±2)% vs. (4.3±1.4)%], P=0.2]. However, the annualized event rates in MPI negative patients were lower for DICE vs. Original readings [(1.1±0.2)% vs. (1.6±0.17)%, P<0.01]. Of significance, patients in the DICE-identified normal group are less likely to experience an adverse event, potentially allowing further focus on patients within the high-risk group.

The DICE-assisted interpretation of MPI data improved detection of CAD as assessed by AUC in ROC analysis (while the thresholded DICE did not significantly increase detection, it can be appreciated that optimization of the threshold could be performed using knowledge of CAD of MACE). Further, myocardial perfusion status is not expected to agree completely with epicardial CAD status since physiologic compensation strategies (e.g., vasodilatation, collateral vessels) may have developed sufficiently to avoid perfusion deficits, while in other patients without significant epicardial coronary artery stenoses, perfusion deficits may be present due to other mechanisms such as microvascular disease (23). Further, the high degree of variability in the vascular response to the vasodilatation agent administered during MPI testing lowers sensitivity to CAD (24-26). While these phenomena may explain the relatively low correlation between MPI status for any one modality and CAD it likely does not adequately explain the differences noted between two modalities or between the two readings of one modality. Here, we identified physical conditions measured by each modality that influence interpretation of myocardial perfusion status. Incorporating these terms in a prediction model that seeks to homogenize the response between modalities/readings resulted in improved prediction of CAD and MACE.

While agreement between and within modalities increased vs. the Original interpretation, the agreement between the two SPECT models was an outlier with the correlation r=0.95. Given the relatively poor agreement between the two Original MRI perfusion readings (correlation r=0.29) it can be appreciated that the model is dominated by the physiologic measure of EDVi measured by SPECT, with a larger EDVi indicating the presence of low myocardial perfusion. Similarly, the DICE models for MRI data indicate that higher values of myocardial wall thickness and ESVi measured by MRI are also associated with an increased presence of low myocardial perfusion that is likely to be missed by the primary interpretation of MPI data. The physical interpretation is that hearts with higher physical dimensions are more likely to experience adverse events, but that the presence of adverse myocardial perfusion conditions is likely to be missed, both by MRI and by SPECT. Taken to an extreme this implies that evaluation of perfusion status in sufficiently large hearts (using modality-dependent criteria given by the DICE equations) should not be attempted due to the high likelihood of the presence of disease and the low likelihood of detection. Instead, patients thus identified may be considered candidates for evaluation at the cath lab without further evaluation of perfusion status. However, the criteria for identifying a heart as too large for MPI evaluation are modality dependent. Consider that the measure of EDVi by MRI and SPECT only moderately agree with each other, indicating a modality dependence to these measurements. Further, as noted in Eq. [6], as more terms are included in the model, the prediction of outcome increases. The physical conditions identified in the DICE models have the advantage, that, even if one or both modalities measure them inaccurately in an absolute sense, the relevant measure applicable for DICE modeling is that reported by the modality.

Limitations

The fidelity of each DICE model is reduced due to the lack of complete data, particularly for LV volumetric gated SPECT measurements. The relatively small data set necessitated use of pilot and implementation phase data, which may have added to variability of the results. We note that in each study phase the SPECT MPI interpretation was performed in a clinically standard manner, but that different imaging agents were used between the pilot and implementation phases. Data were only obtained from one site. Fewer data sets were available for gated-SPECT compared to MRI. Future research needs to focus on
developing a prospective study to validate these findings in women and determine how they differ from men.

**Conclusions**

In women with suspected myocardial ischemia, agreement between modalities and between readings within one modality was improved using the DICE model. The DICE model incorporates physiologic variables that influence data interpretation; in this case modality-dependent measures of cardiac metrics. Modeling was accomplished without knowledge of diagnostic or prognostic outcomes, but nevertheless improved prediction of these (in ROC analysis).
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