Validation of black blood late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) for evaluation of myocardial infarction in patients with or without pathological Q-wave on electrocardiogram (ECG)
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Background: The pathological Q-wave (QW) is an important indicator of infarcted myocardial volume indicating a worse prognosis compared to non-Q-wave (NQW) infarctions. Traditional classification divides infarcts into transmural and non-transmural based on QW and NQW. This view has been challenged by the advent of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) MR imaging. Conventional LGE (Conv-LGE) detection of subendocardial MI is limited by bright blood pool. Dark Blood LGE imaging (DB-LGE) nulls the blood pool improving the conspicuity and accuracy of detection of subendocardial infarcts. We hypothesize that improved detection of subendocardial enhancement with DB-LGE will result in improved correlation of electrocardiogram (ECG) and extent of infarction.

Methods: Sixty-four clinically confirmed infarction patients were enrolled in this prospective study. All the participants underwent cardiac MR imaging including conv-LGE and DB-LGE. Twelve-lead ECG were performed on the same day. The patients were divided into QW and NQW groups by one experienced cardiologist. MI quantitation was by MI% (the ratio of MI volume to whole myocardial volume) and transmural grading, compared using paired t-test and Wilcoxon-test, respectively. The image quality obtained by Conv-LGE and DB-LGE were evaluated according to the signal intensity ratio (SIR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR).

Results: Fifty-six subjects were enrolled in the final analysis [23 (41%) QW and 33 (59%) NQW infarcts]. For the QW cohort, both sequences classified infarcts as transmural in 21/23 (91%) subjects and subendocardial in 2/23 (9%). For the NQW cohort, both sequences classified infarcts as transmural in 16/33 (48%) subjects and subendocardial in 17/33 (52%). Using BB-LGE there were significant differences in detecting subendocardial infarcts in QW and NQW cohorts (Z=−5.85, P<0.001). The MI% of QW group was greater than in NQW group (24.2±10.3 vs 15.9±9.8, P=0.003). Compared to Conv-LGE, BB-LGE provided higher CNR and SIR between infarcted myocardium and blood pool (6.3±2.6 vs 2.1±1.3, P<0.001; 5.4±1.9 vs 1.3±0.2, P<0.001). BB-LGE detected more subendocardial infarcted segments in the QW group and NQW group (Z=−4.24, P<0.001; Z=−5.57, P<0.001). The larger MI% was displayed in BB-LGE than in Conv-LGE in both QW group and NQW group (24.2±10.3 vs 22.6±10.3, P<0.001; 15.9±9.8 vs 14.6±9.6, P=0.001).
Introduction
Identification of myocardial infarction (MI) is critical for determination of prognosis and treatment. The electrocardiogram (ECG) is the most commonly used method for clinical diagnosis of MI because of its speed, convenience, and practicality. MR is capable of detecting small areas of infarction that may not be detected by ECG (1,2). The presence of pathological Q-wave (QW) on ECG is considered an important indicator of previous MI, and MIs with QW portend worse prognosis compared to non-Q-wave (NQW) infarctions (3). The traditional view that infarction transmurality correlates with the presence of QW has been challenged (1). Previous work demonstrated an inconsistent relationship between QWs and infarction transmurality (4,5). Clinical studies suggest that pathological QW on ECG is highly related to infarct size and extent of subendocardial infarction (6-8).

The pathological basis of QWs has mainly been studied through autopsy and animal experiments (9-11). However, in vivo studies more accurately inform clinical practice. In vivo assessment with late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) CMR has evolved as an excellent method for identification of infarcted myocardium and has been widely adopted for the qualitative and quantitative assessment of MI (12). LGE studies have demonstrated an inconsistent relationship between QWs and infarction transmurality (4,5). Clinical studies suggest that pathological QW on ECG is highly related to infarct size and extent of subendocardial infarction (6-8).

However, the bright blood pool of Conv-LGE poses a practical challenge reducing the conspicuity of subendocardial MI (13). Given that the extent of subendocardial MI has greater predictive value for the presence of QWs, more accurate quantitation of subendocardial MI may improve the determination of the pathological basis of QW. Various methods have been proposed to suppress the blood pool signal and improve delineation of infarcted myocardium from the bright blood pool. These methods are collectively referred to as dark- (suppressed) or black- (nulled) blood LGE techniques, and most of these require special preparation and parameter adjustment (14-18). The method described by Holtackers does not require magnetization preparation, a feature facilitating availability on all scanners (19). For the purposes of this work, we will use the term black blood LGE or BB-LGE going forward. Our aim was to test a novel black blood (BB) sequence for LGE in patients with known previous MI, compare this sequence to the standard Conv LGE sequence in these patients, and to correlate these findings with the ECG findings in terms of presence or absence of QW. We adopted a recently proposed BB-LGE sequence: T(Rho) And Magnetization T ransfer and INvErsion Recovery (TRAMINER) for dark blood LGE (referred as BB-LGE here forward). We hypothesized that improved detection of subendocardial enhancement with BB-LGE would result in improved correlation of ECG and extent of infarction.

Methods
Patient population
The local institutional review board approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Conclusions: Compared to conventional LGE, DB-LGE can provide more accurate detection and characterization of infarction in terms of transmurality and subendocardial extent. This is important for evaluating QW and NQW MIs. Due to nulling the high signal of blood pool, DB-LGE can effectively improve the identification of subendocardial MI which may be missed on conventional LGE. Therefore, in both QW and NQW MIs, DB-LGE detects more subendocardial MIs and larger MI% is found. This may facilitate more accurate quantitative MR assessment of both QW and NQW MIs and further empower LGE volume as a predictive biomarker.
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participants. Consecutive inpatients in our hospital with confirmed MI were enrolled from February 2017 to September 2017. The interval since MI was expressed in days. When available, ECG, troponin levels, and coronary artery angiography (CAG) were used to confirm MI. All the participants were affirmed for MR compatibility. Demographics and medical history were obtained from the patient’s medical record. Exclusion criteria included severe arrhythmia, myocarditis, a history indicating infiltrative cardiomyopathy, and severe impairment of renal function (glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min/1.73 m²).

**ECG analysis**

The ECG was analyzed by one experienced cardiologist blinded to the results of the CMR. The patients were divided into QW and NQW groups. The definition of QW MI is based on the work of Moon et al. who compared the Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) definition with the European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology (ESC/ACC) (6).

**MRI technique**

Cardiac MR scans were performed using a 3.0T whole body scanner (Discovery MR 750W, GE, WI) equipped with a 32-channel phase array cardiac coil. Patients were scanned in the head-first and supine position. The whole imaging protocol mainly included Localizers (Axial, Sagittal, Coronal), Cine SSFP plane localizing scans, Cine SSFP, T1WI TSE without fat sat, T1WI TSE with fat sat, T2WI TSE with fat sat (some patients with acute MI), First-pass perfusion, LGE images and BB-LGE images. Cine, LGE images and BB-LGE images were acquired in two chamber, four chamber and short-axis (from basal to apical) views, T1WI and T2WI images were acquired in short-axis (basal, mid-ventricular, and apical) views. The TRAMINER technique used three B1-insensitive rotation-4 (BIR-4) pulses prior to a non-selective IR pulse combing with single-shot balanced steady-state free-precession (bSSFP) readout (18). In the present study, the TRAMINER preparation was combined with a breath-hold segmented spoiled gradient recall (SPGR) acquisition.

Conv-LGE acquisition was performed in the mid-diastolic phase 10–20 min following the intravenous administration of gadopentetate dimeglumine (Bayer Schering, Germany) contrast agent administration (2.0 mL/s, 0.2 mmol/kg). Images were obtained in the long axis and short axis planes from base to apex. The scan parameters of Conv-LGE are as follows: ECG triggered acquisition, long axis slice thickness 5 mm, short axis slice thickness 8 mm, slice gap 0 mm, TE/TR 1.0 ms/5.4 ms, FOV 38×28 cm², matrix 220×192, FA 25°, TI 280–380 ms. Selection of the inversion time (TI) was performed empirically, or aided by an IR-cine scout based on the nulling of the healthy myocardial signal (20,21). The duration of Conv-LGE acquisition was 6–8 min.

The order of Conv-LGE and BB-LGE was randomized. Identical parameters were used except the TI, which was also obtained based on a TI-scout sequence to null the signal of the blood pool. TI determined by the spatially nonselective inversion recovery (NSIR) pulse to reading out the contrast-relevant data portion. Selection of the inversion time (TI) of BB-LGE was aided by the TI-scout sequence, TI varied from 200–280 ms with time post contrast. The duration of BB-LGE acquisition was also 6–8 min. Hence the overall acquisition duration of LGE and BB-LGE was about 22–25 min after contrast administration.

**Image analysis**

Subjective image quality assessment of the long and short axis images was rated on a 3-point Likert scale (1, poor; 2, acceptable; 3 excellent) describing the ease of differentiation of MI from normal myocardium remote from the infarct, MI from blood pool, and blood pool from normal myocardium remote from the infarct.

Objective image quality assessment utilized the short axis images of Conv-LGE and BB-LGE that were processed using vendor supplied workstation (AW volume share 5, GE, WI). For every patient, ROIs of normal myocardium, MI and blood pool were selected. The averages of the ROI signal were recorded and normalized into 0–100 SI scale (18). Noise measurements are not reliable in BB-LGE images as a result of signal normalization done by phase-sensitive inversion recovery (PSIR) (22). Consequently, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) were not calculated, but signal intensity ratio (SIR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR_{A-B}) of different tissues were calculated using the normalized signal intensities according to the following formula (18,23):

\[ SI = \frac{SI_{\text{max,new}} - SI_{\text{min,new}}}{SI_{\text{max}} - SI_{\text{min}}} \times (SI_{\text{v,orig}} - SI_{\text{min}}) + SI_{\text{min,new}} \] (1)

\[ \text{CNR}_{A-B} = \frac{\text{MEAN}(S_{\text{A}}) - \text{MEAN}(S_{\text{B}})}{\sqrt{\text{SD}(S_{\text{A}})^2 + \text{SD}(S_{\text{B}})^2}} \] (2)

In above equation, SI_{\text{max,new}} and SI_{\text{min,new}} are the normalized
SI according to new scale (0 and 100, respectively), SI_{v,new} is the normalized SI value of any voxel, SI_{v,orig} is the actual SI of the same voxel, where S_A and S_B are defined as the signal of two tissues (myocardial wall and blood pool) and SD(S_A) and SD(S_B) are defined as the standard deviation of two tissues signal, respectively.

Two experienced radiologists assessed the MI based on the American Heart Association 17-segment model including the number of infarcted segments and transmural degree (24). If there was discrepancy between two reviewers, a third senior reviewer was invited for the final judgement. To false-positive characterization of blood pool as hyperintense myocardium due to partial volume effects or incomplete nulling of the blood pool on the TRAMINER sequence correlation of hyperintense regions to left ventricular wall on the other structural images assisted our determination.

In assessing transmural grading of MI with or without QW, the patients were divided into QW and NQW groups according to ECG by an experienced cardiologist. Transmural grading was rated as: Grade 0 (0%), Grade 1 (0–25%), Grade 2 (26–50%), Grade 3 (51–75%), Grade 4 (76–100%) (25).

In assessing the size of MI, the short axis images of Conv-LGE and BB-LGE were imported in commercial software (cvi42, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Canada). The endocardium and epicardium were drawn in the short axis images covering from base to apex, and ROIs were manually drawn on healthy myocardium and MI. Finally, the ratio of MI volume to whole myocardial volume (MI%) was automatically calculated based on the full width half max.

### Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0. Data distributions were tested using Shapiro-Wilk test, enumeration data were reported as mean ± SD and categorical data were reported using frequencies and grading.

The differences of SI, SIR and CNR between healthy myocardium, MI and blood pool obtained by Conv-LGE and BB-LGE were tested using paired Student’s t-test, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Differences of MI detection rate between Conv-LGE and BB-LGE were assessed using McNemar test. Differences of MI transmural grading using Conv-LGE and BB-LGE in patients with or without QW were tested using Wilcoxon signed rank text and Mann-Whitney U-test. Paired t-test and Bland-Altman analysis were used to test the differences of MI% in two techniques. The level of agreements between reviewers was assessed using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs): ICC <0.21, poor; ICC =0.21–0.40, fair; ICC =0.41–0.60, moderate; ICC =0.61–0.80, good; ICC >0.80, excellent (18). ICCs were reported with 95% confidence interval (CI).

### Results

#### Clinical characteristics

Although 64 patients were enrolled, 8 were excluded due to incomplete CMR or poor image quality due to arrhythmias or breathing artifacts. Fifty-six subjects were analyzed for the final analysis. Fifty-two were inpatients and 4 were outpatients. All subjects had ECG evidence of MI and had CAG confirmation of vessel occlusion concordant with MI. Thirty-six percent of patients (n=20) had elevated troponins. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. It needs to be emphasized that the time of infarction had a broad distribution with the interval from the time of infarction to imaging from 2 to 7,200 days and the median was 225 days, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of patients were from 8% to 82% and the mean standard deviation was 38%±18%. QW were found in 23/56 cases (41%) among which 2/23 cases (9%) showed subendocardial MI (Figure 1A,B,C,D,E) and 21/23 cases (91%) showed transmural MI (Figure 1F,G,H,I,J). NQW were found

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>49 (87.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>72±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>170±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average heartbeat (beat/min)</td>
<td>68±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of infarction (day)</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>38±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>45 (80.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>13 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>29 (51.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are displayed as mean ± standard deviation or frequency (%). Time of infarction is displayed as median. LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.
in 33/56 cases (59%), among which 14/33 (42.4%) demonstrated transmural MI (Figure 1K, L, M, N, O).

Quantitative assessment of image quality and MI% between Conv-LGE and BB-LGE

BB-LGE provided a higher subjective rating discriminating infarcted myocardium from blood pool compared to Conv-LGE (P<0.001). There were no significant differences in other subjective image quality parameters (P=0.068, P=0.407) (Table 2). On objective image quality assessment, BB-LGE provided higher CNR (P<0.001) and SIR (P<0.001) between infarcted myocardium and blood pool compared to Conv-LGE (Table 3).

A larger MI% was revealed in BB-LGE than with Conv-LGE in both QW (P<0.001) and NQW (P<0.001) groups (Table 3, Figure 2). The MI% was larger in the QW group than the NQW group (P<0.001). The interobserver agreement assessed using ICC (intraclass correlation coefficient) showed excellent consistency of the two techniques (0.99, 0.99). The Bland-Altman analysis showed low measurement bias in Conv-LGE and BB-LGE techniques (Figure 3).
Based on American Heart Association 17-segment model, a total of 401 MI segments (approx. 42.1%) were found using Conv-LGE, and a total of 450 MI segments (approx. 47.3%) were found using BB-LGE. The 49 additional MI segments that were only found on BB-LGE and not present on Conv-LGE were all subendocardial MI. All of these MI segments were indistinct on Conv-LGE and missed by radiologists. The difference in two techniques was statistically significant (P<0.001) (Table 4).

The number of MI segments in different transmural grades by both techniques in the QW group (n=23) are displayed in Figure 4A. A significant difference in transmural grading was found between the two techniques. Compared to Conv-LGE, BB-LGE detected more subendocardial infarcted segments in QW group (Z=−4.24, P<0.001). The number of MI segments in different transmural grades by both techniques in NQW group (n=33) are displayed in Figure 4B. There was a significant difference in transmural grading between the two techniques. In the NQW group, BB-LGE detected more subendocardial infarcted segments compared to Conv-LGE (Z=−5.57, P<0.001).

Discussion

In this single-center cohort study, BB-LGE provided greater contrast between infarcted myocardium and blood pool, which improved detection and sizing of subendocardial MI. Our study demonstrated that (I) QW MIs were more frequently present in transmural MIs and in larger subendocardial infarctions, (II) NQW MIs were more frequently present in subendocardial MIs, (III) subendocardial infarcts were more frequently found in NQW MIs rather than QW MIs, (IV) transmural infarcts were more frequently found in QW MIs, and (V) the MI% of QW MIs was larger than that of MI% of NQW MIs.

It is generally believed that the pathological QW is an important indicator of infarcted myocardium, and the classification by QW or NQW plays a significant role in determining prognosis of patient with MIs (3). Our work further informs the pathological basis of QW vs. NQW MIs and supports challenges to the classical respective correlation to transmural vs. non-transmural MIs (4,5). Our results are concordant with previous Conv-LGE studies showing pathological QWs are more highly related to
infarcted myocardium size rather than transmurality (6,7). Therefore, presence of QW on ECG is an indicator for larger infarcted myocardial volume.

One of the important factors in determining if a patient will benefit from vascular intervention is having scar tissue less than 50% of the myocardial thickness (26). We showed that the BB-LGE method detected more subendocardial scars than Conv-LGE. In particular, more subendocardial infarcted segments were found in the NQW group. Therefore, BB-LGE can provide more accurate assessment of NQW patients and better determine those who could benefit from intervention better predict their prognosis.

Conv-LGE has been recognized as the gold standard in identifying infarcted myocardium producing good contrast between infarcted and healthy myocardium (21,27-30). However, the Conv-LGE hyperintensity of blood pool and subendocardial MI results in lower contrast and conspicuity between the blood pool and subendocardial MI (13). This is particularly problematic in NQW MIs that are frequently

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>BB-LGE</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI segment (+)</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI segment (−)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MI, myocardial infarction; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; BB-LGE, black blood late gadolinium enhancement.
Many efforts have been made to mitigate this limitation (31-35). Obviously, increasing the contrast between the blood pool and infarcted myocardium will help improve the detection of subendocardial MI, as shown in Figure 2. Typically, LGE with blood suppression may be achieved by combining an inversion recovery (IR) pulse and a T2 preparation module (14,16). In this study, we adopted a modification of the recently proposed T(Rho) And Magnetization Transfer and INvErsion Recovery (TRAMINER) technique for black blood LGE (18). This technique uses three BIR-4 pulses prior to a non-selective IR pulse combined with single-shot bSSFP readout to provide high image contrast between subendocardial infarction and adjacent blood pool. However, single-shot readout may lead to relatively poor spatial resolution and low SNR. In the present study, the TRAMINER preparation combining with segmented SPGR acquisition was used to obtain relative high-resolution black-blood LGE. BB-blood LGE and traditional PSIR images were acquired with the same resolution and position, leading to one-by-one comparison. Recently, Holtackers et al. used a novel dark-blood LGE approach without using additional magnetization preparation (36), although our BB-LGE needed magnetic preparation, and used a modified magnetic preparation with lower power and energy requirements. The applicability in routine clinical practice is still further strengthened and readily available without the need for scanner adjustments, extensive optimizations, or additional training. Furthermore, our BB-LGE images had excellent blood suppression and high observer confidence.

The subjective and objective assessments used to evaluate the image quality are reported in Table 2 and Table 3. Compared to Conv-LGE images, BB-LGE provided better contrast between MI and blood pool because of nulling of the high signal of the blood pool. This provided more accurate diagnostic information for identification of subendocardial MI. We found 49 more subendocardial MI segments on BB-LGE than Conv-LGE. All of these MI segments were indistinct on Conv-LGE and missed by radiologists. In addition, although in objective image quality assessment there were significant differences between MI from normal myocardium and blood from normal myocardium between two techniques, there were no visual differences for readers in subjective image quality assessment. While the possibility of false-positive characterization myocardium in a region of LGE due to partial volume effects or incomplete nulling of the blood pool was considered, the overall excellent blood pool nulling of the TRAMINER sequence and correlation of LGE regions with left ventricular wall on the other structural images lend confidence to our determination.

The greater conspicuity of subendocardial MIs found not only in NQW MIs but also in QW MIs led to larger MI% with BB-LGE compared to Conv-LGE. Therefore, BB-LGE can provide better insight into the pathologic basis of QW and NQW. While our results did support part of our hypothesis in that BB-LGE findings correlated with ECG findings, the key insight related to MI%, with QW associating with larger MI% not solely to transmurality.

Our study has several limitations. First, the study population is small, and from a single study center. Second, we used the Conv-LGE and BB-LGE as the reference of infarcted myocardium, but this more accurately reflects
myocardial fibrosis. Our inclusion and exclusion criteria largely mitigated this potential confounder. Patients with known infiltrative cardiomyopathy or myocarditis were excluded. Although Conv-LGE is a generally accepted criterion for infarcted myocardium and BB-LGE has higher SIR and CNR in the identification of subendocardial MIs, we did not have pathological proof for infarcted myocardium. However, the patients who showed an ischemic scar pattern on BB-LGE but not on Conv-LGE, had known coronary artery disease that was related to the infarct territory, which was confirmed by ECG, troponin levels, and CAG. Third, ideally for accurate signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise assessment, noise measurements could have been done by running dedicated noise scans without using RF excitations, also for PSIR sequences. Fourth, the excellent work of Dall’Armellina et al. provided a clarification of dynamic changes of LGE in acute MI (37), and there was a diverse population of acute and chronic MI patients ranging from 2–7,200 days post infarction thus potentially confounding the analysis by including both infarcted myocardium and salvage tissue in the LGE volumes. This issue, while important for analysis of a primary endpoint of MI% accuracy determination, is less important given that this is an intraindividual comparison of two sequences at essentially a single time point, and the impact of inclusion of salvage tissue is the same across the two techniques. That being said, the inclusion of both myocardial salvage tissue and infarction should be acknowledged in review of our data Fifth, our version of TRAMINER used an SPGR rather than bSSFP sequence and this has not been independently validated. Finally, there has been no follow-up for medium and long-term prognosis in patients with QW or NQW MIs in our study, and we have no data focusing on correlative imaging, clinical outcomes and prognosis.

In conclusion, compared to Conv-LGE, BB-LGE provided more accurate detection and characterization of infarction in terms of transmurality and subendocardial extent with volume of LGE contributing to the presence or absence of QWs. Due to nulling the high signal of blood pool, BB–LGE can effectively improve the identification of subendocardial MI which may be missed on Conv-LGE. In both QW and NQW MIs, BB-LGE detects more subendocardial MIs and a larger MI% is found. This may be helpful in more accurate quantitative assessment in the study of both QW and NQW MIs and may offer greater insights in the management of NQW patients who might have prognostic benefit from intervention.
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