Introduction

Atherosclerosis is defined as the development of plaques, otherwise known as thickening of the arterial vessel wall, due to the accumulation of cholesterol, fibrous tissue, calcification, and hemorrhage. A number of complications may arise from atherosclerotic plaques; for example, rupture of a plaque may lead to thrombosis, total vessel occlusion, stenoses, and embolisms. Furthermore, plaques weaken the underlying vessel wall media and may lead to the development of an aneurysm. Due to these complications, atherosclerosis is the leading cause of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in the Western world.

In terms of epidemiology, atherosclerosis begins in childhood and remains subclinical for decades. Studies have demonstrated that all individuals between the ages of 15 and 34 years have some degree of aortic fatty streaks (1), which are early forms of atherosclerotic plaques. However, there is a paucity of individuals who display symptoms in this age group. Herein is the problem with the diagnosis of atherosclerosis: typically atherosclerotic-induced CVD does not manifest clinically until middle age—when luminal changes can be observed with medical imaging. A diagnostic test, or biomarker, that is more sensitive to the development
of atherosclerosis would be greatly useful for detecting disease in its early stages of development, such that lifestyle changes and medical therapy could be used to intervene and hinder disease progression.

Measurement of arterial stiffness has great potential to fill this void as increasing vessel wall stiffness—due to age and atherosclerosis—occurs long before luminal changes occur. Pulse wave velocity (PWV; also known as flow wave velocity) has emerged as a primary method to assess arterial stiffness; PWV is often measured with tonometry because of its relative ease of use and the large body of evidence regarding the association between PWV and CVD (2). PWV is defined as the rate at which the systolic bolus of blood, pumped from the heart, traverses the vasculature. Mathematically PWV is computed via the Moens-Korteweg equation Eq. [1]:

\[ PWV = \sqrt{\frac{E \cdot h}{2rp}} \]  

[1]

where \( E \) is the elastic modulus of the vessel, \( b \) is vessel wall thickness, \( r \) is the vessel radius, and \( \rho \) is the blood density.

As atherosclerosis progresses vessel wall thickness (\( b \)) increases and vessel radius (\( r \)) decreases. These changes in vessel wall thickness and radius both serve to increase PWV as atherosclerosis develops Eq. [1]. PWV is potentially a very strong early biomarker of atherosclerosis, given that arterial stiffness first increases without changes in vascular geometry—via the Glagov phenomenon—due to outward remodeling of atherosclerotic plaques (3) (Figure 1). In other words, the Glagov phenomenon describes a model in which early plaques grow outwardly into the vessel wall via a compensatory mechanism that preserves the luminal area of a vessel (Figure 1B). Because of the Glagov phenomenon, the vessel luminal diameter does not decrease in early atherosclerosis and therefore, early changes in arterial stiffness are not reflected on angiographic imaging, which only shows the preserved vessel lumen but not the diseased vessel wall. Only in late stages of atherosclerosis does the compensatory mechanism fail to overcome the tendency for plaques to narrow the vessel lumen (Figure 1C,D). Thus, late-stage atherosclerosis is apparent on angiographic images as a stenosis. As such, PWV may increase, and therefore detect the presence of atherosclerosis, earlier than the ability of angiography to detect stenoses and irregularities in the vasculature secondary to atherosclerosis.

A range of reference values for PWV have been reported in the literature. A large multi-center study in ~17,000 patients measured carotid-femoral PWV with tonometry and reported normal PWV values as ~6 m/s in healthy individuals younger than 30 years and up to ~10 m/s in individuals >70 years, independent of blood pressure (2). PWV increases further with hypertension and therefore affects PWV independently of age. An MR-based PWV study in 71 subjects found a mean aortic PWV of 3.6 m/s (4). The difference between these MR-based PWV measurements and the multi-center study of carotid-femoral PWV measurements is likely multifactorial; the difference could be caused by the lower temporal resolution of MR and/or the more focal nature of the MR-based measurements of the aorta instead of the more global carotid-femoral PWV measurements. Furthermore, the distance measurements used to compute PWV with tonometry are much less accurate than the distance measurements used in MR-based PWV measurements.

The computation of PWV with MRI was first discussed in 1989 (5). Renewed interest facilitated by faster and more robust MR imaging sequences has led to a recent rapid increase in reported studies with MRI-based PWV measurements. The purpose of this review is to summarize and synthesize the state-of-the-art in MRI-based PWV measurements. In addition, both gold standard and clinical standard methods of computing PWV will be reviewed briefly.

The differences between gold standard, clinical standard, and MRI-based measurements must be understood in the
Figure 2 This double oblique view of the thoracic aorta from a magnetic resonance angiogram (MRA) (A) is used to show five slices from which aortic mean velocity waveforms are derived from a flow sensitive MR imaging sequence. (B) From the five velocity waveforms a temporal shift can be appreciated as the aorta is traversed. This shift can be used to compute the pulse wave velocity either regionally, for example from any two of these waveforms, or globally, using all of the waveforms. Note that maximum velocity decreases progressively at downstream slice locations; maximum velocity decreases for a number of reasons, including resistance to flow and loss of flow volume from vessels branching from the aorta. The 5 flow waveforms in (B) are derived from the 5 slices in (A).

context of global versus regional PWV measurements (Figure 2). The pulse wave can be understood as a wave superimposed on the flow/pressure waveform of the blood. This superimposed pulse wave accelerates and decelerates as it traverses distally in the vasculature relative to the stiffness of the vessel in a given vascular segment. With some of the methods described below, PWV is evaluated regionally in a small area of the vasculature [for example, computing PWV from the temporal shift between two subsequent velocity waveforms (Figure 2B)]. As a result, this PWV measurement is subject to sampling error, such that the area of evaluation could be in a region of stiffness, giving an elevated PWV, or the area of evaluation could be in an area of less stiffness, giving a lower PWV. If a vessel is heterogeneous in stiffness, a PWV measurement could be erroneous depending on the area selected for evaluating PWV. Some methods of computing PWV, including applanation tonometry, Doppler ultrasound and some MRI-based methods, evaluate PWV globally over a very large region of the vasculature [for example, computing PWV from the temporal shift amongst a series of velocity waveforms (Figure 2B)]. While global PWV measurements are minimally prone to sampling bias, areas of stiffness and elevated PWV will be averaged with normal areas of the vasculature. This averaging suppresses differences between individuals with a healthy vasculature and individuals with sporadic areas of stiffness.

Gold standard measurements of pulse wave velocity

The gold standard of measuring PWV is via the use of flow meters or catheter-based pressure probes. Both pressure and flow waveforms within the vasculature can be used to detect the superimposed pulse wave. While these methods provide highly accurate measurements of PWV, the invasiveness involved in placing them intravascularly limits their use to animal studies or to patients otherwise undergoing cardiac catheterization.

Fiber optic probes can be used to measure pressure waveforms. Simultaneous data collection from two probes with a known distance between them are needed to measure PWV, such that the temporal shift between the two pressure waveforms can be used to compute PWV in the region between the tips of the two probes. Fiber optic probes use a Fabry-Perot interferometer configuration (6) and transmit pressure via a microelectromechanical system (MEMS), which houses a diaphragm that deflects in proportion to the amount of strain imparted on it. The pressure sensors contain temperature and pressure resistors. The pressure resistor is a strain gauge attached to a membrane that
PWV also can be measured with transit-time ultrasound flow meters (7). These flow meters are placed perivascularly and use an ultrasonic wave to measure flow. Within a given flow meter one ultrasonic wave is transmitted with the direction of flow and another wave is transmitted against the direction of flow. The difference in transit time between the upstream and downstream ultrasonic waves is directly proportional to the velocity of blood within a vessel. Regional PWV could be computed by noting the temporal shift between the flow waveforms of two ultrasonic flow meters separated by a known distance. This distance would need to be measured in vivo with a measuring tape after the flow meters are placed surgically. It may be difficult to measure accurately the separation distance, particularly if the distance between the two flow meters is large and the vessel is tortuous. The greater invasiveness needed to place the ultrasound flow meters perivascularly is a disadvantage of this method relative to the pressure probes.

Both the pressure probes and the ultrasonic flow meters provide a number of advantages that allow for highly accurate PWV measurements. The pressure probes have a high sampling rate, on the order of 100-2,000 Hz. While the two probes can be separated by a substantial distance for global PWV measurements, the high temporal resolution of these probes allows for the assessment of regional PWV. For example, if a subject’s PWV is on the order of 10 m/s, and the sampling rate is 1,000 Hz, the two probes need to be separated by as little as 1 cm. Ultrasonic flow meters provide yet higher sampling rates, on the order of >1 MHz. There is effectively no minimum separation distance needed between two ultrasonic flow meters for computing PWV. Another advantage of the high temporal resolution provided by the pressure probes and ultrasonic flow meters is that pressure and flow waveforms are measured in real-time, and thus numerous cardiac cycles can be obtained in a few seconds. A PWV measurement can be obtained for every cardiac cycle, and thus averaged over all cardiac cycles, to account for any variability in PWV. A final advantage of both the fiber optic pressure probes and ultrasonic flow meters is that they can be made MR-compatible; these methods therefore allow for the direct validation of MRI-based PWV measurements.

The above methods provide high temporal resolution such that the shape of the pressure or velocity/flow waveform can be accurately depicted. On the other hand, sampling at lower temporal resolution can substantially change the shape of the waveform (Figure 3). As discussed below, PWV is computed from MR data using various characteristics of the waveform, such as the peak and foot of the waveform. As the temporal distance between sampling points becomes greater, the discretization error increases and there is a greater likelihood that these waveform characteristics will be misrepresented, leading to inaccurate PWV measurements.

**Clinical methods of evaluating atherosclerotic disease**

PWV is measured clinically with applation tonometry (8,9) and Doppler ultrasound (9,10). In application tonometry, a tonometer is placed on the skin over both the carotid and femoral arteries. A pressure waveform is recorded from each location. The temporal shift between the carotid and femoral pressure waveforms is computed as the transit time, which predominantly uses the time-to-foot method, as described below. The distance measurement between these two vascular locations is calculated with a tape measure in either of two ways: (I) measuring the direct distance between the carotid and femoral arteries, or (II) taking the difference between the distance from the sternal notch to the femoral artery and the sternal notch to the carotid artery. This distance is divided by the transit time to compute PWV. The computation of PWV with Doppler ultrasound is identical to application tonometry, with the exception that ultrasound transducers are used instead of tonometers.

PWV with application tonometry and Doppler ultrasound have a number of benefits, including low cost, noninvasiveness, and lack of ionizing radiation. However, the distance measurement used in application tonometry and Doppler ultrasound can cause errors of up to 30% for the measurement of PWV (2,11,12). Equations to correct for inaccuracies in these distance measurements have been reported (13,14). Another problem with these techniques is that the method for measuring distance between the carotid and femoral arteries is not standardized and can lead to variations in the path-length used for PWV measurements (15-17). Variations in PWV between measurement centers are in part due to differences in the method of distance measurements. Also, obesity is a cause of operator bias in PWV measurements with application tonometry (18-20).
While applanation tonometry and Doppler ultrasound provide a global measurement of PWV, it should be noted that a recent ultrasound study was able to evaluate PWV regionally within the carotid artery (21). This regional measurement was made feasible by the high temporal resolution of ultrasound and the ability to measure distance within the beam imaging width.

Carotid intimal media thickness (CIMT) measurements are an alternative method of evaluating arterial stiffness (22). CIMT measurements are relatively easy to obtain, especially compared to the computation of PWV. However, they may also be user dependent and intimal thickening can occur in the absence of atherosclerosis (23). Also, ultrasound-based CIMT measurements have not demonstrated any predictive benefits to the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) (24), which is the standard method of assessing a patient’s risk of a cardiovascular event based on patient risk factors. In comparison, one initial study has demonstrated that PWV measurements do indeed improve the predictive accuracy of the FRS (25).

**Analysis methods for computing pulse wave velocity**

There is a temporal shift, $\Delta t$, in the flow waveforms as the vasculature is traversed (Figure 2B). This temporal shift...
is related to the stiffness of the vessel, and the smaller the shift is, the greater the stiffness and the higher the PWV. The computation of PWV depends on analyzing various waveform characteristics to quantify the temporal shift from one waveform to another.

In Figure 4 there are two planes highlighted to derive flow waveforms. The accurate measurement of the distance between the two planes, $\Delta d$, is important for the measurement of PWV; this distance (vessel path length) can be computed by measuring along the length of the vessel in the image. The simplest method of computing PWV is via the time-to-peak (TTP) method (Figure 4). With the TTP method the time point associated with the peak of the waveform is determined. The temporal shift, $\Delta t$, between two waveforms is therefore found by taking the difference between the two time points associated with the peaks of the two waveforms. Therefrom, the PWV is computed by dividing the distance between the two planes, $\Delta d$, by the temporal shift, $\Delta t$, such that $PWV = \Delta d / \Delta t$.

The TTP method is highly prone to error given that the temporal shift is computed from a single data point from the flow waveforms; as a result, the TTP method is subject to sampling error such that the true peak of the waveform will often be missed (Figure 3). As a result, the PWV may be miscalculated.

More reliable methods of computing PWV use multiple points from the flow waveforms to mitigate sampling error. The time-to-foot (TTF) method is one such method that uses several time points (Figure 4) (26-29). This method uses a linear best fit to data points along the upstroke of the flow waveform; oftentimes data points that are between 20% and 80% of the maximum are used for the best fit. The TTF is identified as the point of intersection between the linear fit of the upstroke with either the horizontal axis or another line fitted to the data points of the waveform prior to the upstroke. Again, the temporal shift, $\Delta t$, is computed by taking the difference between the TTFs of two flow waveforms; the PWV can then be computed as before. Time-to-upstroke (TTU) is a method that also uses the upstroke of the flow waveform. This method uses derivatives to find the greatest rate of change along the upstroke. While this method is less subject to sampling error than the TTP method, it is more susceptible to noise that may lead to large rate changes in the derivative. Another method that uses information in the upstroke fits a sigmoid to the upstroke and searches for the temporal shift in the maximum curvature between two flow waveforms (30,31).

Cross-correlation (XCorr) is a method of computing PWV that uses the entire flow waveform (32). In the XCorr operation a downstream flow waveform is incrementally shifted in time relative to the upstream waveform, which produces a correlation plot. The time shift needed to produce the greatest correlation is used as $\Delta t$ in the computation of PWV. The XCorr method rivals the TTF method and may in fact be more repeatable (32). A study by Ibrahim et al. found the TTF method to be more reliable than the XCorr method (33).
All of the methods for computing PWV are susceptible to sampling errors and noise. However, depending on the location of the noise—whether pervasive throughout the waveform or solely in the diastolic phase—and how well the waveform happens to be sampled, any one of the TTF, TTU, and XCorr methods can work better than the other. One approach is to use all three methods in the computation of PWV so that the results can be averaged; additionally a result from one of the methods can be rejected should the waveform fail to be amenable to one of the methods. Prior to rejecting data points, it is prudent to inspect the results of the TTF fit, the derivatives for the TTU method, and the correlation plot for the XCorr method to ensure that the algorithm did indeed fail, lest the results of the computations become biased.

**MRI-based methods of evaluating pulse wave velocity**

As described in the section above, the simplest method to derive PWV from MR data is to determine the temporal shift between the two flow waveforms (28,29,34). The calculation can be performed by acquiring two 2D PC slices and extracting the flow waveforms from each slice (34-36) or more simply by acquiring a single 2D PC slice in the thoracic aorta that transects both the ascending and descending portions of the aorta—thus providing two flow waveforms (37). The use of more than two waveforms (38) potentially decreases the likelihood that sampling error leads to an erroneous computation of PWV. In fact, Kröner et al. demonstrated that the accuracy of PWV measurements improves with more sampling points along the aorta, even at the expense of lower temporal resolution (39). The use of multiple waveforms and therefore multiple slice locations is important in lieu of reflected waves that propagate from the periphery back to the heart; such reflected waves can create abnormalities in flow waveforms that affect PWV measurements. The site of pulse wave reflection varies with age (40), so it cannot be accurately predicted in which slices reflected waves will affect relevant regions of flow waveforms (such as the foot of the waveforms). To use more than two waveforms in the calculation of PWV, the distance between planes is plotted against the time points identified using one of the calculation methods described above (e.g. TTF, XCorr, etc.) (Figure 5). A linear line is fitted to the data of this plot. The inverse of the slope of this line is the PWV averaged out over the range of the vasculature covered by the chosen slices. Alternatively, or in addition to this global measurement of PWV, a regional PWV can be computed for each subsequent two slices in the series of 2D PC slices. Such regional PWV measurements are reliable provided that the temporal resolution is sufficient to depict the temporal shift in smaller segments. 2D PC acquisitions generally provide a temporal resolution on the order of 10-30 ms.

Time-resolved 3-dimensional 4D PC MRI with volumetric coverage and velocity sensitivity in all three spatial directions has also been used to compute PWV (Figure 6) (41-43). The 4D PC volume of data helps
to overcome patient-specific and/or methodological limitations of 2D PWV measurements, such as potentially tedious and time-consuming slice placement in 2D approaches, particularly in tortuous vessels (Figure 4B). However, the achievable temporal resolution is compromised and ranges from 32-50 ms with a scan time of 10-20 minutes (43-45). An advantage of deriving PWV from a 4D volume is that variability in the sampling of the waveforms is mitigated, given both temporal blurring and the fact that all waveforms derived from the volume are gated in synchrony. Furthermore, a 4D PC MRI approach provides the opportunity to derive other hemodynamic parameters of interest from the same scan, including wall shear stress, pressure gradients across stenoses, and other parameters (46).

Fourier velocity encoded (FVE) M-mode imaging is another MR method of deriving PWV. This method uses NMR excitation of a “pencil” beam aligned along a straight segment of a vessel, such as the aorta, to produce M-mode phase contrast images as shown in Figure 7 (47,48). Data interleaving is employed to increase the effective time resolution to ~3.5 ms (49,50), which is much better than the temporal resolution obtained with traditional imaging. Simpler 1D projection velocity methods have been proposed that provide a temporal resolution of ~2 ms (51-53). The FVE M-mode acquisition provides a series of images each with a velocity profile along the length of a vessel. A series of images can be reformatted along the time series to provide velocity waveforms for any location along the vessel. These velocity waveforms can be analyzed using the methods above, such as TTF, to provide PWV measurements. The high temporal resolution of this technique allows for both regional and global measurements of PWV. While FVE M-mode imaging provides superior temporal resolution compared to other MR-based methods, the need to image along a straight vessel segment is a limitation, especially because vessels burdened with atherosclerotic disease tend to become tortuous over time (54). Furthermore, atherosclerosis tends to develop at branching points (55), which is problematic for imaging along a straight line, especially in the thoracic aorta.

Westenberg et al. have proposed another technique for measuring PWV in which phase contrast slices are
acquired double obliquely to the aorta (similar to the view in Figure 4A) and are encoded with both anterior-posterior and superior-inferior velocity encoding (39,56). A center line is drawn along the aorta that provides both distance measurements as well as points along which velocity waveforms are derived via the combination of values from the two velocity encoding directions. The acquisition provides an effective temporal resolution of 6-10 ms. This PWV method has the advantage of high temporal resolution with the potential for regional PWV measurements. This method also has greater coverage of the vasculature compared to the FVE M-mode method. It should be noted that the acquisition time is relatively long—up to 14 minutes in duration depending on the subject’s heart rate.

It is prudent to briefly discuss the analysis of vessel cross-sectional area as a marker for vascular stiffness (26,57). The principle behind this technique is that the change in vessel area is related to the vessel compliance; compliance is lower in vessels burdened with atherosclerosis. A time-resolved slice orthogonal to a vessel is acquired; the area of the vessel is measured in both systole and diastole. Compliance, $C$, is then measured by dividing the change in area, $\Delta A$, by pulse pressure, $\Delta P$, as measured by a sphygmomanometer, such that $C = \frac{\Delta A}{\Delta P}$. This method of measuring arterial stiffness does not require sophisticated software; additionally, highly focal points of the vasculature can be assessed. However, the highly focal nature of this technique can be a disadvantage, because the technique is more subject to sampling error such that the results can either under- or over-estimate disease burden depending on the particular plane of analysis. Furthermore, the technique requires high spatial resolution to accurately assess changes in vessel area. A more thorough discussion of methods for quantifying compliance is discussed by Oliver and Webb (58). Compliance also can be used to derive PWV such that $PWV = \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta A}$; this is termed the QA method (59-61). PWV is calculated by plotting vessel area versus flow as measured in a time series of a 2D PC slice through a vessel. A best fit line is fitted to the early systolic portion of this plot to calculate slope; the slope is equivalent to PWV. Ibrahim et al. showed good agreement between multi-

---

**Figure 7** M-mode imaging. (A) A double oblique slice relative to the aorta shows a line through which a pencil beam was applied for M-mode imaging; (B) M-mode images at six different cardiac phases. The straight solid lines represent stationary objects within the pencil beam. The other lines represent blood velocity along the length of the pencil beam at a particular cardiac phase; (C) Reformatted M-mode images along the pencil beam show velocity waveforms over time for a given slice location. Figures reproduced with permission from Taviani et al. JMRI 2010 (49). In the original Taviani paper the four slices in (A) identify the slice prescriptions of 2D phase contrast slices. Pulse wave velocity values derived from these slices were compared to pulse wave values computed from M-mode imaging.
slice 2D PC MRI-based PWV measurements and this QA method in the pulmonary arteries (62). However, the processing time for the QA method can be substantial (33).

**Prospective versus retrospective cardiac gating**

MR-based measurements of PWV rely on acquisitions gated to the cardiac cycle. The gating method employed in acquisitions used for PWV calculations is important, particularly if evaluating PWV with a series of 2D PC slices. Either electrocardiogram (ECG) or peripheral pulse oximeter (PO) gating can be used. For either gating method, the MR acquisition uses prospective or retrospective gating because MRI is too slow to acquire time-resolved images with adequate spatial resolution within a single heartbeat. Retrospective gating often results in prohibitively long scan durations to image within a breath hold, which is desired for imaging in areas subject to respiratory motion (63). Prospective gating can be problematic for PWV measurements, especially when evaluating vessels near the heart or when using the pulse oximeter. The reason for this is that the gating relies on an ECG trigger, such as the QRS complex, to begin the data acquisition. The detection algorithm for the ECG trigger introduces delays and if slices are acquired near the heart, a portion of the flow wave from early systole may have already passed by the time the data are collected following the QRS complex. As a result, part of the flow waveform is not acquired (Figure 8), making it difficult and occasionally impossible to evaluate the waveform with the TTF, TTU and sometimes the XCorr methods.

PO waveforms are usually acquired off a finger, which is more problematic for sampling the entire flow waveforms of vessels near the heart. By the time the pulse wave has traveled to the finger to elicit a change in blood oxygenation, the peak of the pulse wave has traveled well past the vessels near the heart by the time the data are acquired for the MR acquisition. Thus, an even larger portion of the flow waveform can be missing compared to prospective ECG gating (Figure 8).

Alternative methods can be employed to ensure that the entire flow waveform is sampled. As mentioned, retrospective gating methods with Cartesian PC acquisitions can lead to long scan times; respiratory gating or the acceptance of motion artifacts are needed. It has also been shown that retrospective gating with radial PC acquisitions can be used, as this sampling scheme allows for undersampling and the ability to image within the time of a breath hold as well as for flexible retrospective gating since the center of k-space is acquired in every readout (64).

**Validation**

A number of studies have been performed to validate MR-based measurements of PWV. Suever et al. and Rogers et al. evaluated multi-slice 2D PC MR-based PWV measurements and compared them to applanation tonometry as a reference standard (65,66). No significant differences were found between the two methods in both patients and controls. Reproducibility of both techniques resulted in a coefficient of variation of ~3.4% for the MR-based PWV measurements and ~6.3% for the applanation tonometry measurements. Multi-slice 2D PC MR-based PWV measurements were also validated against intravascular pressure measurements in 18 patients (67); good agreement was found between methods, with Pearson correlation coefficients ranging from 0.53-0.71. The FVE M-mode method of computing PWV was briefly validated in four human subjects with intravascular pressure probes (50). Again, good agreement between the methods was found with a bias of ~0.17 m/s.
and limits of agreement of –1.55–1.21 m/s for global PWV. Taviani et al. further evaluated the accuracy and repeatability of the FVE M-mode method, as well as multi-slice 2D PC MR-based PWV measurements, by imaging a tissue-mimicking phantom with a theoretical PWV as derived from the Moens-Korteweg equation Eq. [1] (49). They determined that the FVE M-mode method was both more accurate and repeatable than the multi-slice 2D PC MR-based method. Bolster et al. also did an early study of one-dimensional MR-based PWV measurements versus pressure catheter-based PWV measurements and found good agreement in a phantom (68).

Westenberg et al. (56,69) compared both multi-slice one-directional through-plane and in-plane two-directional 2D PC PWV measurements to gold standard intravascular pressure probe PWV measurements in 14-17 patients. These studies demonstrated greater correlation between the in-plane two-directional PWV measurements and the gold standard pressure-based PWV measurements (Pearson correlation coefficient ranging from 0.69–0.91) than between the multi-slice through-plane 2D PC PWV measurements and the gold standard pressure-based PWV measurements.

**Outlook**

A major limitation to the widespread use of MR-based PWV measurements is the lack of availability of commercial software. A standard software platform is difficult to create given the plethora of MR techniques available, as well as the various algorithms devised for calculating PWV. As researchers gain familiarity with some of the more common PWV techniques, such as presented in this review, developers of commercial flow analysis software packages may begin to incorporate tools for computing PWV.

Additionally, the variety of MR-based PWV methods makes it difficult to choose the most suitable approach. Multi-slice 2D PC PWV measurements are the simplest and the most user-friendly technique, as such sequences are readily available on all scanner platforms. The measurement of PWV from multi-slice 2D PC is also the simplest conceptually and can be readily incorporated into standard flow analysis software. In addition, 2D PC acquisitions provide fairly good temporal resolution (~10-30 ms). Alternatively, FVE M-mode imaging provides the best temporal resolution (~3.5 ms) of the MR-based PWV methods and the greatest ability to perform regional instead of global PWV measurements. However, the necessary pencil-beam acquisition is not readily available and many researchers and clinicians are generally unfamiliar with the acquisition. The data analysis also is not as simple as multi-slice 2D PC. Two-directional velocity encoding techniques provide the potential for regional PWV measurements and are relatively easy to prescribe. Yet, the acquisition time is long and specialized software is needed to combine the flow waveforms from the two directions and to compute the PWV therewith. 4D PC methods have the poorest temporal resolution (32-50 ms) of all methods and require the most sophisticated software; they also require a relatively long scan time and currently are not widely available. However, 4D PC techniques hold the potential for an analysis of the vasculature that transcends PWV—providing a one-stop-shop for many flow-related parameters, including PWV, wall shear stress, pressure gradients, flow, turbulent energy, pulsatility index, and more. Nevertheless, much more work is needed to establish these techniques and to make them more widely available.

**Acknowledgements**

Grant support: NHLBI Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award for Individual Predoctoral MD/PhD Fellows (F30 HL108539-02); NIH Medical Scientist Training Program (T32GM008692); NIH grant 2R01HL072260-05A1. We also wish to thank GE Healthcare for their assistance and support.

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**


