Molecular mechanisms of vascular dysfunction and cardiovascular biomarkers in type 2 diabetes
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Abstract: Prevalence of obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2DM) is alarmingly increasing worldwide. Albeit advances in therapy have reduced morbidity and mortality in T2DM, cardiovascular risk is far to be eradicated and mechanism-based therapeutic approaches are in high demand. In this perspective, deciphering novel molecular networks of vascular disease will be instrumental to develop novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in people affected by diabetes. There is therefore a need to address current knowledge gaps in disease aetiology in order to support innovation in diagnosis and treatment. Unfortunately, we are still lacking cost-effective markers able to identify atherosclerotic vascular disease at an early stage. The issue of risk stratification deserves attention because not every T2DM patient carries the same degree of inflammation and oxidative stress. The diversity of metabolic phenotypes with different outcomes underscores the need for cardiovascular risk stratification within such heterogeneous population. Early predictors of vascular damage are mandatory to implement intensive treatment strategies and, hence, reduce cardiovascular disease burden in this setting. In this review we critically discuss novel molecular mechanisms of diabetic vascular disease and their possible translation to the clinical setting.
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Cardiovascular disease burden in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM)

A pandemic of metabolic diseases

Prevalence of obesity and T2DM is alarmingly increasing worldwide due to sedentary lifestyles, high fat diet regimens and genetic predisposition (1,2). One third of the global population is overweight and one fifth is clearly obese, with a very high risk of developing diabetes over the upcoming years. The International Diabetes Federation currently estimates that 382 million people are affected by T2DM, with an age-adjusted prevalence of the disease ranging from 6% to 37.5% in the adult population (3). Noteworthy, recent projections forecast a 32% increase of T2DM prevalence in Europe, 72% in the United States and 160% in developing countries, with about 439 million of diabetic individuals by the year 2030 (7% of the global population) (4). Beside these strong epidemiological data, thoughtful genetic and epigenetic analyses are revealing that gene-activating events occurring in obese and T2DM subjects are transmitted to the offspring (5,6). Inheritance of these modifications may anticipate disease phenotypes already in young, normoweight individuals. Therefore, transmissions of metabolic signatures over the next generations implicate an exponential increase of obesity-related disorders, with a further rise of morbidity and mortality (7).

Cardiovascular prevention in T2DM patients

Seminal epidemiological observations have shown a strong association between T2DM and risk of cardiovascular events (8). Indeed, T2DM is associated with an increased risk of micro- and macrovascular complications and an approximate two-fold greater risk of mortality as
compared with the general population (9). Albeit advances in therapy have reduced morbidity and mortality in T2DM, cardiovascular risk is far to be eradicated. Recent randomized studies have shown that intensive control of cardiovascular risk factors is not always associated with reduced cardiovascular endpoints in diabetic subjects (10). Moreover when benefits are observed, these may not last over the next years. In the ADVANCE trial (Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron MR Controlled Evaluation) intensive glycemic control led to a 21% reduction in the risk of nephropathy, as assessed by microalbuminuria (11). However, restoration of normoglycemia did not affect the risk of retinopathy and, most importantly, did not reduce the occurrence of macrovascular events. Along the same line, tight blood pressure control with the combination perindopril/indapamide reduced renal events without affecting other microvascular and macrovascular complications (11). The ACCORD study (Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes) significantly contributed to raise confusion and scepticism about benefits of intensive treatment strategies (12). This randomized trial was indeed prematurely stopped because of an excess of cardiovascular events in the intensive blood glucose control arm. In contrast with these recent studies, previous evidence from the STENO-2 trial showed that an intensive, multifactorial intervention with glucose-lowering drugs, anti-hypertensive agents and statins was beneficial (13). Unfortunately, such benefit is negligible when compared with the residual risk of microvascular complications observed during long-term follow-up (10,14). Indeed, over the 7.8 years treatment period, 51% of intensively treated patients developed or showed progression of diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy (25%) and peripheral neuropathy (55%) (10). Unexpectedly, new microvascular complications developed and progressed during the extended follow-up period, despite an optimal control of blood pressure values (131±13 vs. 146±18 vs. 78±10 mmHg) and Hb1Ac (7.9%±1.2% vs. 9.0%±1.8%) in the intensive as compared with conventional treatment group, respectively (14). Residual vascular risk remains elevated also after consistent low density lipoprotein (LDL) reduction by statins, likely due to the large prevalence of atherogenic dyslipidemia in T2DM (15). Indeed, high triglycerides and low high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol are important determinants of cardiovascular risk in these patients. However, pharmacological approaches modulating other lipid components failed to reduce cardiovascular outcomes, as observed in the FIELD study (16) and, more recently, in the ACCORD (17) and AIM-HIGH (18) trials. Taken together, these results suggest that cardiovascular complications progress even after intensive control of risk factor clustering in the diabetic patients. In this perspective, deciphering novel molecular networks will be instrumental to develop novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in this setting.

Mechanisms of diabetic vascular dysfunction

Over the last 20 years, basic and translational studies have unravelled a strong biological relation between high glucose levels, impaired insulin signalling and vascular disease in the setting of T2DM (19). However, despite these investigations provided key mechanistic insights, it remains hard to distinguish the detrimental effects of hyperglycemia and insulin resistance on the diabetic endothelium and, more in general, in the vessel wall (2). The peculiarity of T2DM consists in the fact that the disease simultaneously manifests with a cluster of conditions including low-grade inflammation, impaired insulin pathway and hyperglycemia (20,21). This scenario makes the approach much more complex than type 1 diabetes (T1DM) when high glucose levels represent the major driver of vascular dysfunction.

Redox-sensitive pathways in the diabetic endothelium

Recent mechanistic studies performed in endothelial cells isolated from T2DM subjects have shown the activation of detrimental biochemical pathways favouring mitochondrial disruption and apoptosis (22,23). A well-established theory on the pathophysiology of vascular diabetic complications claims that reactive oxygen species (ROS) are upstream regulators of complex molecular networks ensiling in endothelial dysfunction (24). Seminal studies have indeed demonstrated that in patients with diabetes, hyperglycemia leads to accumulation of mitochondrial ROS and subsequent activation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs), protein kinase C (PKC), nuclear factor-kB (NF-κB), polyl and hexosamine flux (25) (Figure 1). The hyperglycemic environment induces a chronic elevation of diacylglycerol levels in endothelial cells with subsequent membrane translocation of conventional (α, β1, β2) and non-conventional (δ) PKC isoforms. We and others have shown that PKCβ2 isoform is highly activated in the diabetic endothelium and correlates with oxidative stress, impaired insulin signalling and, most importantly, endothelial dysfunction assessed by flow-mediated vasodilation (23,26).
Once triggered, PKC\(\beta_2\) perpetuates its maladaptive signalling through the mitochondrial adaptor p66\(^{Shc}\) and NADPH oxidase subunit p47(phox), two major enzymatic sources of free radicals (19) (Figure 1). Indeed, glucose-induced activation of PKC\(\beta_2\) isoform phosphorylates p66\(^{Shc}\) at serine 36 leading to its translocation to the mitochondria, cytochrome c oxidation and accumulation of ROS into the organelle (27,28). Furthermore, hyperglycemia-induced activation of PKC increases superoxide production via NADPH oxidase. This latter mechanism is supported by the notion that treatment with a PKC\(\beta\) inhibitor suppresses NADPH-dependent ROS generation in the human endothelium (29). A previous study from our group has shown that PKC\(\beta\) is also a master regulator of NF-\(\kappa\)B signalling in hyperglycemic conditions. Indeed, PKC\(\beta_2\) activation reduces protein level of the inhibitory subunit of nuclear factor-kappaB (I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\)) thus enabling NF-\(\kappa\)B-driven transcription of VCAM-1 (30). Indeed, selective PKC\(\beta_2\) inhibition abolished I\(\kappa\)B\(\alpha\) degradation thus preventing hyperglycemia-induced endothelial inflammation. Taken together, evidence reported so far regards PKC as the upstream regulator of hyperglycemic damage as well as of impaired insulin signalling (27).

**Mitochondrial adaptor p66\(^{Shc}\) in T2DM: can we switch it off?**

The adaptor p66\(^{Shc}\) is a redox enzyme implicated in mitochondrial ROS generation and translation of oxidative signals into apoptosis (31,32). We have previously reported that genetic deletion of p66\(^{Shc}\) protects against hyperglycemia-induced endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress in mice (33). The relevance of p66\(^{Shc}\) in the clinical setting of diabetes is supported by the notion that p66\(^{Shc}\) gene expression is increased in peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained from patients with T2DM and correlates with plasma isoprostane levels, a reliable in vivo marker of oxidative stress (34). In addition, our recent work has demonstrated that hyperglycemia-induced p66\(^{Shc}\) upregulation is not reverted by intensive glycemic control in diabetic mice and contributes to persistent oxidative damage and vascular dysfunction via an intricate vicious cycle involving ROS, epigenetic changes and PKC activation (26) (Figure 1). Interestingly enough, in vivo gene
silencing of p66\text{Shc}, performed at the time of normoglycemia restoration with insulin, was able to blunt persistent endothelial dysfunction, indicating that p66\text{Shc} is an important source of free radicals involved in the “metabolic memory” phenomenon (35,36) (Figure 1). Therefore, our work suggested that switching off p66\text{Shc} gene may be a promising option to rescue ongoing endothelial damage in diabetes. These mechanistic insights might indeed contribute to understand why glycemic control failed to improve cardiovascular events in diabetic patients.

**Glucose swings: drivers of maladaptive endothelial signalling**

An important determinant of vascular damage in T2DM is represented by glycemic fluctuations (37). Indeed, experimental evidence suggests that intermittent rather than constant high glucose is able to maintain the activation of ROS-generating machineries such as PKC and NADPH oxidase (29) (Figure 1). Consistently, transient hyperglycemic spikes activate epigenetic changes responsible for persistent upregulation of the transcription factor NF-κB p65 and subsequent increase of inflammatory adhesion molecules (38). Understanding molecular cues regulating NF-κB signalling may provide important therapeutic implications since the transcription factor is emerging as an important molecular link between endothelial dysfunction, insulin resistance and premature vascular aging (39). The novel concept that glycemic variability may trigger inflammatory pathways deserves attention since blood glucose levels in T2DM patients always fluctuate from hyperglycemic peaks to glucose nadirs (40). A seminal study by Monnier et al. showed that glucose fluctuations during postprandial periods and, more generally, during glucose swings exhibited a more specific triggering effect on oxidative stress than chronic sustained hyperglycemia in T2DM subjects (41). On the whole, persistent vascular damage in T2DM might be the result of continuous glucose oscillations which are difficult to grasp with standard glycemic markers used in the clinical practice. Indeed, epidemiological studies have shown that HbA\text{1c} explains <25% of the variation in the risk of developing diabetic complications (42,43).

**Epigenetic signatures**

Despite the initial enthusiasm, the clinical utility of genetic biomarkers for prediction and prevention of coronary heart disease has proved to be limited (47). More recently, cardiovascular scientists started to claim that epigenetics may better satisfy the unmet needs in cardiovascular disease prevention (48). Epigenetics refers to heritable changes in gene expression that do not affect DNA sequence while altering its activity (49). Epigenetic variations falls into three main categories: (I) DNA methylation; (II) RNA-based mechanisms including microRNAs and non-coding RNAs; and (III) post-translational histone modifications. However, most of available studies with translational value focus on DNA methylation pattern and microRNA signatures and their link with cardiovascular phenotype.

**Prediction of cardiovascular risk in T2DM: seeking for the right biomarker**

Our understanding of the mechanisms involved in diabetic vascular complications may be instrumental to identify potential biochemical precursors of cardiovascular damage in T2DM. The issue of risk stratification deserves attention because not every obese-diabetic subject carries the same degree of inflammation and oxidative stress. The diversity of metabolic phenotypes with different outcomes underscores the need for novel biomarkers to be used within such heterogeneous population. The atherosclerotic risk in communities (ARIC) study prospectively evaluated whether adding C-reactive protein or 18 other novel risk factors individually to a basic risk model would improve prediction of incident coronary artery disease in middle-aged men and women (44). Unfortunately, none of these risk markers predicted CVD beyond established risk calculators. Beside these disappointing results, current ESC/EASD guidelines confirm that albuminuria remains the most powerful predictor of incident CV events and heart failure in T2DM patients and recommend to estimate urinary albumin excretion rate when performing risk stratification in DM subjects (class I, level B) (45). Moreover, commonly used vascular risk calculators are flawed and clinicians are aware of their important variability and limitations (46).
damage (6). A Dutch study showed that individuals with the metabolic syndrome had relative DNA hypomethylation compared to participants without the syndrome (50). This finding was mainly attributable to linear associations of two metabolic syndrome components with DNA methylation: fasting plasma glucose and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Furthermore, in the same study people with T2DM or impaired glucose metabolism had DNA hypomethylation compared to normoglycemic individuals. Mechanistically, we have recently reported that hypomethylaltion of the oxidant gene p66Shc is a key mechanism underlying the metabolic memory in experimental diabetes (26). Together with these results, many other investigators are currently exploring the impact of DNA methylation on cardiovascular damage and mortality. However, current enthusiasm about its clinical applications is likely exceeding available evidence. The general impression is that global changes in DNA methylation may only provide a rough estimate of the biological processes occurring in different tissues and organs. The possibility that modification of the epigenome may help to predict vascular risk will be strongly supported by large-scale initiatives such as the International Human Epigenome Consortium, aimed at mapping 1,000 reference epigenomes within a decade (51). Such wide epigenomic analysis will be instrumental for the identification of epigenetic variations specifically associated with major pathological states including T2DM and cardiovascular events. Together with epigenomics, the predictive value of other high-throughput ‘omics’ technologies such as metabolomics, transcriptomics and proteomics are being intensively studied in patients with T2DM with the aim to obtain large-scale snapshots of the etiological processes linking diabetes and vascular disease. The application of such postgenomics approaches as diagnostic/preventive tools has been extensively described elsewhere and is beyond the scope of the present review (52,53).

MicroRNAs

MicroRNAs (miRs), a newly identified class of small non-coding RNAs, are emerging as key players in the pathogenesis of vascular damage in diabetes (54). These small non-coding RNAs orchestrate complex molecular networks by regulating gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. Microarray profiling has shown an altered profile of miRs expression in subjects with T2DM (55). In this study, diabetic patients had a significant deregulation of miRs involved in angiogenesis, vascular repair, and endothelial homeostasis. Among other miRs, miR-126, an important pro-angiogenic effector (56), was significantly downregulated in plasma samples of 822 patients from the Brunick cohort (55). Similarly, expression analysis of miR-126 in circulating microparticles from 176 patients with stable coronary artery disease with and without diabetes mellitus revealed a significantly reduced miR-126 expression in circulating microparticles from diabetic patients (57).

Inflammatory cytokines

Activation of inflammatory pathways represents a key feature in the etiologic pathway linking hyperglycemia and insulin resistance with endothelial dysfunction. Several investigations have provided important breakthroughs on the regulation of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines and their relation with vascular damage in T2DM. A case-control study, within the prospective population-based EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) study, has demonstrated that a combined elevation of IL-1β and IL-6 was independently associated with an increased risk of T2DM, suggesting the importance of low-grade inflammation in the pathogenesis of diabetes. A cross-sectional analysis performed in patients with and without T1DM showed that IL-6 and fibrinogen levels were significantly elevated in T1DM patients, regardless of adiposity and glycemic control (58). Another study showed that IL-6 is significantly increased in diabetics undergoing PCI with peri-interventional hyperglycemic state and inversely correlates with responsiveness to clopidogrel and aspirin (59). By contrast, other indices of systemic inflammation such as C-reactive protein failed to predict incident cardiovascular disease in diabetic subjects (44).

Vascular calcification markers

Vascular calcification is a pathological hallmark of atherosclerosis in diabetic subjects (60). Recent work has suggested that excess concentration of procalcific factors as well as reduction of osteogenic inhibitors may be involved in this process (61). Circulating osteoblastic cells isolated from human peripheral blood are able to calcify in vitro and in vivo (62). These cells, which express the bone protein osteocalcin (OC) and bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), have been considered circulating osteoprogenitor cells and might participate to vascular calcification and
atherosclerosis. Indeed, preliminary clinical studies found that coronary atherosclerosis and arterial stiffening are associated with activation of an osteogenic program in bone marrow-derived cells (63). A recent study has identified a subtype of circulating inflammatory monocytes, called myeloid calcifying cells (MCCs), which are involved in vascular calcification and are over-represented in patients with T2DM (64). MCCs have also been reported to exert anti-angiogenic activity, further contributing to the diabetic vascular disease phenotype. Hence, this cell subpopulation may represent an important tool to stratify cardiovascular risk in diabetes (61).

Advanced glycation end products (AGEs)

An increasing body of evidence indicates that AGEs maybe considered potential cardiovascular biomarkers in diabetes. AGEs are a large family of extensively sugar-modified proteins which can be formed in atherosclerotic plaques as a consequence of increased metabolic activity (65). It is well-established that AGEs are present in atherosclerotic lesions (66). Of note, inhibition of AGEs synthesis prevents or attenuates atherosclerosis in experimental models (67). Measuring AGEs in the skin using auto-fluorescence has provided important information on risk stratification in diabetic patients. A study involving 972 diabetic patients demonstrated that the addition of skin AGEs to the UKPDS risk engine resulted in re-classification of 27% of the patients from the low- to the high-risk group (68). Indeed, the 10-year cardiovascular event rate was higher in patients with a UKPDS score >10% when skin AGEs were above the median (56% vs. 39%) (68). A recent work found that two major AGEs, the methylglyoxal-derived 5-hydro-5-methylimidazolone (MG-H1) and Nε (carboxylmethyl) lysine (CML), measured with tandem mass spectrometry, were significantly higher in symptomatic as compared with asymptomatic carotid plaques (69). MG-H1 and CML were associated with increased levels of inflammatory cytokines IL-8 and MCP-1 as well as with higher activity of MMP-9, suggesting that AGEs may also provide information on plaque composition and stability. The relevance of AGEs is outlined by the notion that blocking their synthesis may rescue pathological features of diabetes-related vascular dysfunction. Pharmacological AGEs degradation by the cross-link breaker ALT-711 reduced arterial pulse pressure and improved the compliance of large arteries (70). Another study with benfotiamine prevented both macro- and microvascular endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress induced by an AGE-rich meal (71). Based on these studies, AGEs formation may represent an upstream event triggering vascular inflammation, oxidative stress and eventually plaque instability (67).

Taken together, available evidence suggests that an array of upcoming biomarkers may contribute to improve prediction of cardiovascular disease in the setting of diabetes. Among the different biomarkers studied, AGEs may represent a solid predictor able to perform beyond traditional risk calculators (68). Inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF-α) as well as C-reactive protein represent an important part in the pathophysiology of T2DM but studies conducted so far do not confirm an independent predictive value of such inflammatory signatures (44). On the other hand, large prospective studies are needed to confirm the potential of emerging biomarkers such as microRNAs, DNA methylation and myeloid calcifying cells.

Conclusions

Despite great progress in prevention strategies, pharmacotherapy and interventional treatment, cardiovascular events still constitute the first cause of mortality in T2DM subjects. Traditional risk factors, including hypertension, obesity and dyslipidemia significantly contribute to the strong link between diabetes and vascular disease. However, other mechanisms are being considered in the etiological pathway linking hyperglycemia, insulin resistance and vascular dysfunction. In the present review, we have shed light on novel mechanisms of diabetic vascular disease and their potential implication in the clinical setting. Future translational studies and large randomized trials will provide knowledge on the applicability of novel circulating biomarkers as well as mechanism-based approach for the diagnosis and treatment of T2DM subjects.
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