Mechanics of right ventricular dysfunction in pulmonary arterial hypertension and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction
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Abstract: Right ventricular (RV) dysfunction is the most important determinant of survival in patients with pulmonary hypertension (PH). The manifestations of RV dysfunction not only include changes in global RV systolic function but also abnormalities in the pattern of contraction and synchrony. The effects of PH on the right ventricle have been mainly studied in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). However, with the demographic shift towards an aging population, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) has become an important etiology of PH in recent years. There are significant differences in RV mechanics, function and adaptation between patients with PAH and HFpEF (with or without PH), which are related to different patterns of remodeling and dysfunction. Due to the unique features of the RV chamber, its connection with the main pulmonary artery and the pulmonary circulation, an understanding of the mechanics of RV function and its clinical significance is mandatory for both entities. In this review, we describe the mechanics of the pressure overloaded right ventricle. We review the different mechanical components of RV dysfunction and ventricular dyssynchrony, followed by insights via analysis of pressure-volume loop, energetics and novel blood flow patterns, such as vortex imaging. We conduct an in-depth comparison of prevalence and characteristics of RV dysfunction in HFpEF and PAH, and summarize key outcome studies. Finally, we provide a perspective on needed and expected future work in the field of RV mechanics.
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Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) and right ventricular (RV) dysfunction are intrinsically linked to each other. The most frequent cause of RV dysfunction is PH, and RV dysfunction is the most important determinant of clinical outcomes in patients with advanced PH (1-3).

Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), classified as World Health Organization (WHO) Group 1 PH, has received the most attention from the scientific community, although it is a rare disease with an incidence of 2–5 cases per million adults (4). The pathophysiology of PAH is characterized by endothelial dysfunction, proliferation of smooth muscle cells, dysregulated inflammation and vasoconstriction leading to progressive diffuse remodeling and narrowing of the pulmonary arterial tree, and eventually pre-capillary PH (5).

PH related to left heart disease (WHO Group 2 PH) is the most frequent form of PH worldwide, representing at least 50% of all cases of PH (6,7). Heart Failure with preserved Ejection Fraction (HFpEF) is recognized as an important etiology of PH, due to the demographic shift towards an aging population and the increasing prevalence of obesity, diabetes mellitus and systemic hypertension worldwide (8-10). HFpEF is defined as the presence of signs and symptoms of heart failure, and a preserved or “near normal” left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (≥45% or 50%) (11,12). The pathophysiology of HFpEF is multifactorial, including changes in LV structure, function, and abnormal cardiac reserve. In addition, peripheral abnormalities in the systemic vasculature, endothelium, adipocytes and skeletal muscle occur in the context of comorbidities such as obesity, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome (13,14). The mechanism of PH in HFpEF (HFpEF-PH) relies primarily on pulmonary vascular congestion due to increased pulmonary venous pressure from chronically increased left-sided filling pressures (i.e., post-capillary PH), characterized by pulmonary arterial wedge pressure or LV end-diastolic pressure >15 mmHg). It also involves some degree of vasoconstriction related to hypoxia and endothelial dysfunction, and global remodeling of the pulmonary vascular tree (15), which translates in an elevated pulmonary vascular resistance (i.e., combined pre- and post-capillary PH). Two clinical entities are therefore classically distinguished: isolated post-capillary PH and combined pre- and post-capillary PH (16,17).

Patients with PAH or HFpEF-PH often have a similar clinical presentation [i.e., exertional dyspnea with signs of right heart failure (RHF)], along with echocardiographic findings of preserved LV ejection fraction, making the distinction between these two clinical entities challenging (18). Both PAH and HFpEF-PH are associated with an increased prevalence of RV dysfunction, which is a strong independent determinant of survival in both diseases (1-3,19-23). While some mechanisms of RV dysfunction are common (i.e., increased RV wall stress secondary to the increased afterload), other factors can contribute to RV dysfunction in either condition. As such, an understanding of the process of RV mechanics and function is fundamental.

In the present review, as part of the Special Issue on Right Ventricular Dysfunction in Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy, we describe the concepts and physiology for the different components of RV mechanics, i.e., RV function, interventricular dependency and dyssynchrony, RV coupling to the pulmonary arterial circulation, RV diastology and cardiac efficiency (Figure 1). We then discuss the similarities and differences in RV dysfunction between PAH and HFpEF-PH in terms of prevalence, mechanisms and outcome prediction.

RV dysfunction and dyssynchrony

RV dysfunction

RV dysfunction can be described as a change in RV mechanics altering the ability of the right ventricle to fill or eject properly to meet the metabolic demands. The extent of RV dysfunction varies depending on the stage of RHF (Figure 1) and alterations of RV mechanics can even precede development of symptoms in the early stage of RHF (23).

In PH, RV dysfunction occurs when the right ventricle fails to adapt to the increased pressure load. Ventricular adaptation to pressure overload, refers to the process of preserving stroke volume (SV) without an increase in RV filling pressures (24). Initially, the right ventricle adapts to the increased pulmonary arterial load by enhancing muscle contractility and increasing wall thickness (i.e., RV hypertrophy) to decrease wall stress (according to Laplace’s law) (25). This initial inotropic response is a process known as homeometric adaptation, by which the ventricle responds with an increase in myocardial inotropy (26,27), and SV is restored without altering chamber geometry (24). However, if systolic function cannot be enhanced any further, RV dilation remains the only effective response to increased afterload, process known as heterometric adaptation, in which an increase in RV end-diastolic volume produces an equal increase in SV, thereby restoring SV and securing cardiac output (24,28). As the
disease progresses, further RV dilation is associated with leftward septal bowing, which compromises LV function and filling, further reducing SV (28).

**RV mechanics**

Mechanics is the science of motion and flow dynamics. The study of RV mechanics includes (I) analysis of ventricular motion in the amplitude and time series domains (myocardial deformation, volumetric change and dyssynchrony), (II) analysis of the determinants of RV motion (contractility and loading components), (III) analysis of RV energetics and (IV) the study of ventricular flow dynamics (vortices and flow energetics).

Anatomically, the right ventricle is divided into three regions: RV inlet (represented by the tricuspid valve, chordae tendineae and papillary muscles, corresponding to the inflow region), RV trabeculated apical myocardium, and RV outlet (i.e., the infundibulum or conus, corresponding to the smooth myocardial outflow region) (29). The architecture of the RV myocardial fibers contributes to three different patterns of contraction: (I) longitudinal shortening with traction of the tricuspid annulus towards the apex; (II) transverse or radial shortening of the RV free wall (“bellows” effect) and (III) anteroposterior shortening of the chamber by stretching the free wall over the septum (30).

Until recently, longitudinal shortening was believed to contribute more to RV volumetric changes than the other...
components (radial and anteroposterior shortenings), contrary to the left ventricle (30). This has supported the wide use of RV longitudinal echocardiographic metrics [such as tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), RV free-wall longitudinal strain (RVLS) or the tricuspid annular s’ maximal velocity], as metrics of RV function (Figure 2). Echocardiographic-based TAPSE using M-mode (which has better temporal resolution than 2D mode) is widely used in clinical practice due to its simplicity. However, the reliability of TAPSE heavily depends on the quality of acquisition (requiring alignment of the tricuspid annulus motion with the M-mode cursor). Deformation-based imaging of RV global longitudinal strain and strain rate can be measured either using speckle-tracking echocardiography (31) or by manually tracing the lengths of the RV free-wall (32), as per the Lagrangian definition: (end-systolic length-end-diastolic length)/end-diastolic length, expressed in %. Manual tracing is particularly useful in the presence of low-quality images. Both TAPSE and RVLS can be altered after cardiac surgery and do not accurately reflect RV dysfunction in the postoperative setting, which can instead be appreciated by metrics of global function, such as RV fractional area change (RVFAC) or ejection fraction (RVEF) (Figure 2) (33). Postoperative reduction in RV longitudinal performance may be related to pericardiotomy although additional mechanisms have been described (34), and can last for several months, despite the absence of change in global RV function parameters (35). See the review of Navaratnam et al. on perioperative RV dysfunction within this special issue (36).

While longitudinal shortening may account for the majority of RV systolic function in healthy individuals, recent studies have unraveled a similar importance of RV radial motion in PAH and HFpEF (30,37-39). Radial motion appears to correlate better with global function than longitudinal shortening in idiopathic PAH (37), while radial motion is relatively preserved in patients with Eisenmenger’s syndrome (especially in post-tricuspid shunts) (40). In HFpEF, there also seems to be an early decrease in radial shortening (30), which differs from heart failure with reduced ejection fraction in which longitudinal motion (i.e., mitral annular plane systolic excursion) is usually more affected (38).

Data on pediatric RV dysfunction has been extensively reviewed elsewhere (41-43). Because of the fetal circulation and perinatal hemodynamic changes, the right ventricle of a newborn, infant and young child continues to have a high mass (RV hypertrophy) and low compliance, and the right ventricle can tolerate high levels of pressure afterload relatively well, so that both the right ventricle and the tricuspid valve annulus usually do not dilate substantially over several months. For that reason, infants and young children may not develop significant tricuspid regurgitation (TR) despite severe PAH, and the severe RV pressure overload is often primarily diagnosed by assessing the end-systolic bowing of the interventricular septum towards the left ventricle. See the review of Santens et al. on congenital heart disease and RV dysfunction within this special issue (44).

The RV end-systolic remodeling index (RVESRI, defined as the length of the RV free-wall in end-systole divided by the septal length), is a useful indicator of RV remodeling and dilation in the setting of increased RV pressure load. A recent study showed that RVESRI was associated with hemodynamic metrics of disease severity and impaired functional capacity (45). Children with PH, high pulmonary vascular resistance and impaired stroke work (i.e., ventricular-ventricular mismatch), had severe RV dysfunction and high incidence of adverse outcomes (46).

**Ventricular interdependence and dyssynchrony**

With the increase in systolic RV pressure relative to LV pressure and the progressive RV dilation, the interventricular septum flattens and deviates towards the left ventricle, impairing LV filling (28,47,48), process known as interventricular dependence. Leftward septal bowing or septal flattening can be easily observed in cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) short axis view or 2D echocardiographic-parasternal short axis view, predominantly in end-systole in the setting of RV pressure overload (as in PH) and measured using the LV end-systolic eccentricity index (42,48-50) (Figure 2), or in end-diastole in the setting of RV volume overload. Interestingly, pediatric PH patients also have reduced LV strain, predominantly within the septum, which correlates with invasive hemodynamics, RV strain, and functional PH measures (51).

Another major component of RV dysfunction in PH is the presence of interventricular, intraventricular, and atrioventricular dyssynchrony, which have been better studied in the setting of pre-capillary PH. Efficient cardiac output is achieved by synchronous contraction and relaxation of the two ventricles. In the presence of PH, there is prolonged contraction of the right ventricle, which continues to eject while the left ventricle is already relaxing, with resultant leftward septal bowing, and interventricular...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RV ejection fraction (RVEF)</td>
<td>RVEF (%) = (RVEDV - RVESV) / RVEDV</td>
<td>Cine short axis; Methods: CMR (Gold standard) or 3D Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV fractional area change (RVFAC)</td>
<td>RVFAC (%) = (RVEDA - RVESA) / RVEDA</td>
<td>RV focused apical 4-chamber; Methods: 2D Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV myocardial performance index</td>
<td>RV MPI = (IVRT + IVCT) / Ejection time</td>
<td>Methods: Tissue Doppler Imaging or Doppler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV end-systolic volume index</td>
<td>RVESV index = RVESV / body surface area</td>
<td>Methods: CMR (Gold standard) or 3D Echo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV end-systolic remodeling index</td>
<td>RVESR index = lateral length / septal length</td>
<td>Methods: 2D Echo or CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA volume index</td>
<td>RAV index = RAV / body surface area</td>
<td>Methods: 2D Echo or CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic eccentricity index</td>
<td>Els = D1 / D2</td>
<td>Methods: 2D Echo or CMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain-based RV dyssynchrony</td>
<td>Strain-based RV dyssynchrony</td>
<td>Methods: 2D or 3D Echo speckle-tracking or CMR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Right ventricular clinical imaging metrics. 2D Echo, two-dimensional echocardiography; 3D, three-dimensional echocardiography; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; Els, end-systolic eccentricity index; IVCT, isovolumetric contraction time; IVRT, isovolumetric relaxation time; LV, left ventricular; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricular; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; TDI, tissue doppler imaging.
dyssynchrony (49,52). The timing of RV systolic contraction and relaxation of myocardial segments is heterogeneous, partially due to non-uniform distribution of wall stress within the right ventricle (intraventricular dyssynchrony) (53,54). Both the delayed systolic septal contraction and relaxation in comparison to the RV lateral (or free-wall) contraction contribute to the inefficiency of RV contraction in patients with PH. Both RV intra- and interventricular dyssynchrony can be quantified by strain analysis using either 2D speckle-tracking echocardiography (as illustrated in Figure 2) or CMR (52,55). Time to peak systolic strain is a marker of dyssynchrony, as it reflects RV post-systolic shortening with inefficient contraction occurring after pulmonary valve closure. A study using speckle-tracking echocardiography found that patients with idiopathic PAH and a prolonged time to peak systolic strain had more advanced disease and decreased exercise capacity (52). In multivariate analysis, it was noted that RV dyssynchrony was associated with RV dilation, diastolic septal flattening and a lower RV wall thickness/end-diastolic volume ratio. RV pacing (which is frequently associated with RV dysfunction in patients with HFpEF) also contributes to dyssynchrony. Similarly, atrial fibrillation, which has been associated with RV dysfunction in HFpEF, causes atrioventricular dyssynchrony that further impairs RV filling.

Overall, many non-invasive imaging metrics of RV remodeling and function can be clinically used (Figure 2). Interestingly, these metrics of RV function (such as RVFAC and TAPSE) actually reflect the coupling between the right ventricle and its increased afterload, as demonstrated in a piglet model of PH (56). See the review of Truong et al. on non-invasive imaging of RV dysfunction within this special issue (57).

Pressure-volume loop analysis and ventriculo-arterial coupling

The key of RV adaptation in PH is the ability of the right heart to balance RV contractility with the pulmonary arterial vascular load, known as ventriculo-arterial coupling. From a physiologic perspective, pressure-volume (PV) loop analysis is considered the reference method to analyze the determinants of cardiac contraction and filling and therefore assess ventricular-arterial coupling (3,23).

Systolic component of pressure-volume loop analysis

Analysis of the PV loop allows for an accurate description of the RV-pulmonary arterial (RV-PA) system as a unit, since it accounts for the interaction of the intrinsic contractile capacity of the RV subsystem (represented by end-systolic elastance, or Ees) in relationship to the load of the pulmonary vascular subsystem (described by effective arterial elastance, or Ea) (Figure 3). The relationship between these two subsystems is described by the ratio between ventricular elastance and arterial elastance, or Ees/Ea, known as the coupling ratio (3,21,23,28,58).

From a mechanical perspective, optimal or preserved coupling refers to effective energy transfer from the RV to the pulmonary arterial tree, meaning that RV-PA flow occurs at minimal energy cost (28). Ees is a load-independent descriptor of the intrinsic RV contractile capacity (24). The derivation of Ees is based on the concept of time-varying elastance (59). By obtaining different sets of PV loops at decreasing levels of preload (60), it is possible to estimate Ees as the end-systolic pressure-volume relation (ESPVR) slope, which connects the different end-systolic pressure and volume points (24) (Figure 3). Ea is a descriptor of total circulatory load, accounting for both resistive and pulsatile load, and is calculated as the ratio of end-systolic pressure (ESP) and SV, or ESP/SV (61).

Multibeat method

The standard methodology for PV loop analysis is performed by obtaining different sets of PV loops at different levels of preload (Figure 3). It requires simultaneous measurement of RV volumes and pressures (usually by means of a RV conductance catheter), and different levels of preload are obtained by either partial occlusion of the inferior vena cava (58,62) or through Valsalva maneuvers (63,64). While this methodology, known as the multibeat method, is feasible in humans (63-66), its technical complexity renders it impractical for daily clinical use. As such, simplified “single beat” methods have been proposed (67,68), although with different assumptions and limitations, which are important to consider (69).

Single beat method

The most common single beat method relies on the assumption that the Ees slope will eventually continue until the theoretical point of maximal isovolumetric pressure, or Pmax. Pmax is estimated from a sinusoidal curve fit of the RV pressure waveform during early isovolumetric contraction and late isovolumetric relaxation (67,70,71). By
estimating $P_{\text{max}}$, only one set of PV loops is needed, and $E_{\text{es}}$ is estimated as $(P_{\text{max}}-E_{\text{SP}})/SV$, while $E_{\text{a}}$ is estimated as $E_{\text{SP}}/SV$. The assumptions behind this approach are the linearity of the relationship $P_{\text{max}}-E_{\text{SP}}$, and the determination of $P_{\text{max}}$ from the RV pressure waveform trace, which assumes that ventricular contraction without ejection (isovolumetric contraction) would have the same ESPVR as a normal ejecting beat \(^{24}\)). Furthermore, the methodologies for estimation of $P_{\text{max}}$ were developed in healthy dogs \(^{67}\), and then adapted to humans, although never formally evaluated in patients with advanced PH \(^{65,69}\)). Another assumption is the estimation of the RV ESP point. Simplified quantifications of $E_{\text{es}}$ use time-independent PV relations to construct ESPVR near end-systole, such as the maximum pressure/volume ratio or end-ejection (dicrotic notch) pressure/volume \(^{72}\)). These different estimates correlate well with maximal elastance in the left heart, because end-ejection has a close temporal relation to the point of peak systolic elastance \(^{73,74}\)). However, RV ESPVR relations near end-ejection (i.e., dicrotic notch) tend to underestimate ventricular elastance due to the wide temporal separation between peak systolic elastance and end-ejection \(^{59}\)). Slopes derived from the maximum pressure/volume ratio seem to have better correlation with maximal RV elastance, and it could be a better metric for estimation of ESPVR \(^{72}\)). However,
most studies have used different estimates of RV ESP near end-ejection, such as mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) (75), RV systolic pressure (76), or the RV pressure 30 ms before minimum dP/dt (77,78). A recent study compared the performance of estimating RV ESP by use of a conductance catheter versus using mPAP as a surrogate of RV ESP. It was shown that using mPAP tended to underestimate RV ESP, especially in patients with higher pulmonary pressures (65). The correlation between the single beat method and the gold standard multibeam method remains unclear, especially in patients with advanced PH. A small study comparing both methods in patients with PAH suggested optimal correlation (r=0.9, P<0.001), with minimal bias and acceptable levels of agreement, but the small sample size warrants caution on the interpretation of these results (79).

**Volumetric method**

An even more simplified methodology is commonly referred to as the volumetric method, where Ees is approximated as the ratio ESP/end-systolic volume (ESV), and Ea, as ESP/SV. The coupling ratio Ees/Ea has a common pressure term ESP, and it can be simplified as SV/ESV (80,81). The main assumption of this method is that the horizontal intercept Vₐ equals zero, assumption not met in cases of severe PH and RV failure (82). A benefit of the volumetric method is that relies mainly on estimation of volumes, omitting the need for invasive measurements of RV pressures (81). Figure 3 provides a graphic representation of the multibeam, single beat and volumetric method.

**Non-invasive surrogates of coupling**

Several ratio metrics have been proposed as surrogates of right ventriculo-arterial coupling, which mainly refer to combined indices of RV function or chamber size, divided by a metric of load (Figure 3). Some of the most common metrics are the ratio of TAPSE divided by systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) (83,84), where sPAP is estimated by echocardiography, and the pulmonary artery pulsatility index [pulmonary artery pulse pressure divided by right atrial pressure (RAP)] (85,86). While having the advantage of being simple ratios, they do not follow an allometric physiological relation between RV function and afterload (87-89). Also, these ratios do not provide information on load-independent ventricular function or total load, which are functional components of ventriculo-arterial coupling. For instance, a similar decreased TAPSE/sPAP ratio can be obtained by a significant decrease in TAPSE (in the presence of normal or slightly elevated sPAP, which reflects a poor RV adaptation to load) or by a significant increase in sPAP (in the presence of a maintained TAPSE, which reflects an adequate RV adaptation to increases sPAP). Furthermore, TAPSE per se is already a marker of coupling (56).

**RV diastology**

Diastolic function is a major determinant of ventricular performance. Diastolic filling depends on myocardial relaxation, restoring forces generated by elastic energy stored within the myocardium and atrial contraction (90). Diastolic dysfunction is the result of impaired ventricular relaxation, with or without abnormal restoring forces (and early diastolic suction), as well as increased ventricular chamber stiffness, with the resultant increase in cardiac filling pressures (91). Impaired diastolic function precedes systolic ventricular dysfunction and also influences the performance of the contralateral ventricle via ventricular interdependence (92).

Diastolic filling is composed of different phases, with an initial period of isovolumetric relaxation, followed by an early rapid diastolic filling period (E-wave), a plateau period, and finally a phase of late filling due to the atrial contraction (A-wave) (93). Since RV diastology is composed of different phases, it cannot be described by a unique metric. Different metrics that have been used to define RV diastolic function include (I) RAP and RV end-diastolic pressure, (II) RV filling profiles (based on E and A waves), (III) relaxation-phase indices [isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT), dP/dt minimum, or the time constant of isovolumic pressure decay], and (IV) passive chamber characteristics such as RV stiffness, identified by PV loop analysis (29).

RAP or RV end-diastolic pressure can be measured directly during right heart catheterization or estimated non-invasively by assessing the diameter and collapsibility of the inferior vena cava (94). The increase in RAP can also be transmitted backwards to the hepatic, portal and renal veins, and changes in flow pattern can be identified by Doppler analysis (95) (Figure 4).

Different filling patterns can be described with pulsed-wave Doppler analysis of the transtricuspid inflow into the RV (93,96). Early RV diastolic dysfunction is characterized by a decrease in the E-wave reflecting impaired RV relaxation, while late stages of RV diastolic dysfunction...
Figure 4 Right ventricular (RV) diastology. BDP, beginning of diastolic pressure; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; Eed, ventricular end-diastolic elastance; EDP, end-diastolic pressure; EDPVR, end-diastolic pressure-volume relationship; P-V, pressure-volume loops; V₀, Volume intercept at zero pressure. Adapted with permission from Tang et al.
are characterized by an increase E/A ratio reflecting RV chamber stiffness (Figure 4). Similar to the left ventricle, an increased ratio of the tricuspid E wave and the Tissue Doppler Imaging-derived e’ wave >6 is a marker of increased RV filling pressures (95).

Among relaxation-phase indices, the IVRT can be non-invasively determined using Tissue Doppler Imaging at the lateral tricuspid annulus (Figure 2). An increased IVRT reflects impaired relaxation and increased RAP, particularly in PH (97-99). However, this index is highly dependent on heart rate and preload, and becomes pseudo-normalized in the setting of RAP elevations, which limits its prognostic value and clinical implementation (100). The time constant of isovolumetric relaxation (Tau, τ) is another metric of RV relaxation (101). It is calculated from invasive derivatives of RV maximum (dP/dt max) and minimum (dP/dt min) pressure change, and has been reported to be increased (53 ± 32 ms, compared to 31 ± 13 ms, P=0.04) in patients with PH from diverse etiologies (102,103).

**Diastolic component of pressure-volume loop analysis**

Information of load-independent RV diastology can be obtained by PV loop analysis, with estimation of the diastolic stiffness constant β and end-diastolic elastance (Eed). The β coefficient is obtained by fitting a nonlinear exponential curve through the diastolic PV points at the beginning of diastole and end-diastole (end-diastolic PV relation, or EDPVR). β can be estimated by the formula

\[
P = \alpha (e^{Vβ} - 1),
\]

where P = pressure and V = volume (104), as shown on Figure 4. Similarly, Eed is calculated by the slope of EDPVR at end-diastole, using the formula

\[
E_{ed} = \alpha e^{V_{End}},
\]

where EDV = end-diastolic volume, α= curve fit and β= stiffness constant. Increased Eed was associated with decreased survival in a study of patients with PAH [hazard ratio 2.24, 95% CI (1.05–4.80), P=0.037] (105).

**Novel methods to identify RV diastolic dysfunction**

Novel non-invasive imaging technology, such as 4-dimensional flow cardiovascular magnetic resonance (4D flow CMR), has brought new light on RV diastology, enabling detection and visualization of dynamic right heart flow patterns (106,107). 4D flow CMR is a new sequence that allows acquisition and high-fidelity spatial and temporal visualization of three-dimensional time-resolved blood flow and velocities in all directions for each temporal phase of the cardiac cycle (108) and enabling quantification of vorticity (i.e., localized spinning motion of blood at certain points). Two pilot studies on RV intracavity diastolic flow described changes in RV vorticity as markers of RV dysfunction in patients with PAH and/or RV diastolic dysfunction (Figure 4). Patients with PAH had alterations in E- and A-wave vorticity (increased right heart A-wave velocity, decreased spatially integrated E-wave velocity and overall decreased E/A ratio) as compared to controls (109). Another study showed that patients with RV diastolic dysfunction presented with decreased early diastole total vorticity in the RV chamber (110). In a study conducted in 22 patients with LV disease, analysis of the RV intracavity flow pathline with evidence of decreased kinetic energy in end-diastole (using 4D flow CMR) was able to detect early RV dysfunction which was not identified using standard CMR (111). Further studies need to demonstrate the reproducibility and clinical incremental value of 4D flow CMR based RV diastolic assessment for early detection of PAH or HFP EF-PH.

**RV energetics: a world of work and efficiency**

**RV efficiency, power and oxygen consumption**

The right ventricle is a pulsatile pump, and its efficiency depends on proper hemodynamic coupling with the pulmonary circulation (24). Optimal coupling guarantees that most of the RV pump energy is effectively transferred into blood flow (112). In a highly efficient RV, all energy input into the ventricle would be transformed into useful mechanical work. But in reality, the total contractile power (or work) generated by the contractile pump is only partially turned into hydraulic power (power transferred to blood flow) and most of it is used to store energy in cardiomyocytes during diastole, as well as ensuring cell integrity and homeostasis (113). Of the total hydraulic power, only a fraction contributes to net forward blood flow (steady power) with the rest spent on oscillations in blood flow (oscillatory power) (24).

RV efficiency refers to the balance between efficient energy use (work for blood flow) and O2 consumed, or the ratio of steady hydraulic power over O2 consumption (114,115), as illustrated by Figure 5. In normal subjects, RV efficiency is usually around 25%, as compared to less than 15% in patients with advanced PH (28,116).

The right ventricle relies on aerobic metabolism for generation of energy (115,117). As such, the balance between myocardial O2 demand (or consumption) and supply is
crucial for RV performance. In theory, the increase in RV O$_2$ consumption in PH (due to tachycardia, RV hypertrophy and increased wall stress) should be accommodated by either increasing the fraction of O$_2$ extracted from blood or increasing myocardial perfusion (24). However, myocardial perfusion is limited in PH, which contributes to RV hibernation and ischemia. Under physiologic conditions, the RV myocardium is perfused both in diastole and systole, because of the significant pressure gradient between the aorta and the right ventricle. In PH, the systolic pressure gradient between the aorta and the right ventricle may be close to zero (or even negative, as seen in young patients with suprasystemic PAH). Furthermore, the diastolic pressure gradient between the aorta and the right ventricle may also be reduced, decreasing blood flow through the right coronary artery and its downstream (118). In addition, capillary rarefaction has been observed in right ventricles exposed to increased afterload, associated with impaired angiogenesis (119,120). In patients with HFpEF (with or without PH), presence of epicardial obstructive coronary artery disease or microvascular disease (related to comorbidities such as advanced age, hypertension, diabetes or obesity) and endothelial dysfunction also contribute to RV hibernation and ischemia (11).

Simultaneous pressure and flow measurements (i.e., vascular impedance), allow for estimation of total hydraulic power, by combining pressure and kinetic power, as described elsewhere (121). Steady hydraulic power output (also known as external power) can be calculated as mPAP $\times$ cardiac output (113), and the oscillatory hydraulic component is obtained as the difference between total power and steady power (24).

Ventricular efficiency can also be estimated with PV-loop analysis. Energy generated by the heart can be divided into actual produced energy (external work) and potential energy (122). External work can be delineated as the area contained within the PV-loop (115). The area under the curve on the left side of external work and confined by the ESPVR and the EDPVR slopes represents potential energy. The total PV area (sum of external work and potential energy) equals total mechanical energy generated by the heart per beat (115,122).

Ventricular O$_2$ supply and consumption can be assessed (I) invasively by direct measurements of coronary blood flow and calculation of the arterio-venous O$_2$ difference in the coronary circulation, and (II) non-invasively using positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with O$_2$ tracers or with carbon-11-labeled acetate (11C-acetate). Activity of
the tracer is proportional to myocardial blood flow, and the tracer clearing rate correlates closely with $O_2$ consumption (115,123).

Because RV efficiency is complex to derive and requires the measurement of $O_2$ consumption by means of PET imaging, a surrogate measure is the $E_{es}/E_{a}$ ratio (28). Maximal transfer of potential energy from one elastic chamber to another occurs when these chambers have equal elastance. A study on isolated left ventricles showed that mechanical energy transfer from the RV to the pulmonary vascular subsystem was maximal (highly efficient), when the $E_{es}$ and $E_{a}$ slopes were equal to one another (124). The optimal $E_{es}/E_{a}$ coupling of the RV to afterload for maximal efficiency is estimated to be around 1.5 (28), although based on extrapolation of LV data.

**RV metabolism**

In PH, several metabolic changes occur in the right ventricle, particularly at the mitochondrial level, which affect RV efficiency (125). Glycolysis and glucose oxidation become uncoupled, leading to a cancer-like metabolic cellular phenotype. The Warburg effect, evident both in cancer cells and in pressure-overloaded RV cardiomyocytes describes predominant energy generation by glycolysis, instead of fatty acid oxidation (FAO) despite sufficient supply of oxygen (126). In RV pressure overload and dysfunction, mitochondrial FAO is inhibited (120), and there is a metabolic switch to use of glucose as energy source (120,127,128).

Glucose uptake can be visualized using 18F-FDG-PET imaging (120). A healthy right ventricle normally does not take up significant amounts of 18F-FDG, while in PH patients, the hypertrophic right ventricle has increased uptake of the tracer as illustrated in Figure 5. 18F-FDG RV glucose uptake has been shown to correlate with RVEF measured by CMR ($r=−0.54$, $P<0.01$) in 23 patients with PH (87% with PAH) (129). See the review of Agrawal *et al.* on molecular mechanisms of RV dysfunction within this special issue (130).

**Similarities and differences between PAH and HFpEF**

**Prevalence and mechanisms of RV dysfunction in PAH vs. HFpEF**

Determining the prevalence of RV dysfunction in patients with PAH or HFpEF is challenging as it varies widely according to the metric used and the type of cohort studied (Table 1). Nevertheless, the presence of RV dysfunction appears to be a common feature in both diseases.

While increased afterload and wall stress is a major cause of RV dysfunction in patients with PAH and HFpEF-PH, as detailed in previous chapters, other mechanisms can lead to RV alterations in these two conditions. In patients with HFpEF, those with RV dysfunction have more epicardial coronary disease and microvascular disease, endothelial dysfunction and fibrosis, renal dysfunction, arrhythmias (such as atrial fibrillation in the context of aging and hypertension), RV pacing (RV dyssynchrony, aggravation of TR) and systemic low-grade inflammation than those without RV dysfunction (131-133). Interestingly, both PAH and HFpEF-PH have emerged in the past decade as systemic diseases beyond the primary organ disease (lung and heart respectively) (134). Strong evidence is emerging on their association with systemic dysregulated inflammation (13,135), endothelial dysfunction and low ability of the skeletal muscles for $O_2$ extraction, leading to the poor functional state of patients with PAH and HFpEF (136-139). Further studies are needed to better investigate the role of systemic inflammation on the right ventricle of patients with PAH or HFpEF.

**Comparative characteristics of RV dysfunction in PAH and HFpEF**

The comparative mechanics of RV dysfunction in PAH and HFpEF are illustrated in Figure 6. The decrease in radial shortening is an early feature in both conditions (30). Chamber geometry varies in PAH and HFpEF. RV dilatation is less pronounced in HFpEF-PH in comparison to PAH (RV size can be used to distinguish between patients with pre-capillary and post-capillary PH (131,140,141). The left heart phenotype also differs, in particular with left atrial dilatation in HFpEF (Figure 6).

Changes in RV morphology and function can be used for detection of PH in these patients. Echocardiographic strategies of PH detection primarily rely on measurement of the TR maximal velocity, which associated to the estimated RAP, allows for non-invasive estimation of the RV systolic pressure (16). The TR maximal velocity or the estimated RV systolic pressure have been shown to be highly correlated to invasive systolic pressure measurements in the presence of an interpretable TR signal and when particular care is given to the quality of the acquisition and
Table 1 Comparative prevalence and prognostic value of right ventricular dysfunction in selected studies conducted on patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension and in those with heart failure preserved ejection fraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, journal, year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>RV dysfunction criteria</th>
<th>Prevalence of RV dysfunction</th>
<th>Link with outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulmonary arterial hypertension</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfia et al. AJRCCM, 2006</td>
<td>63 precapillary PH</td>
<td>TAPSE; RVFAC</td>
<td>44% with TAPSE &lt;18 mm, 50% with RVFAC &lt;30%</td>
<td>During a median FU of 19.3 months, TAPSE &lt;18 mm and RVFAC &lt;30% were predictors of all-cause death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathai et al. The Journal of Rheumatology, 2011</td>
<td>50 SSc-PAH</td>
<td>TAPSE; RVFAC</td>
<td>50% with TAPSE &lt;17 mm, 50% with RVFAC &lt;30.9%</td>
<td>During a median FU of 15.7 months, TAPSE ≤17 mm conferred a nearly 4-fold increased risk of death [HR =3.81, 95% CI (1.31–11.1), P&lt;0.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van de Veerdonk et al. JACC, 2011</td>
<td>110 PAH</td>
<td>RV Ejection Fraction by CMR</td>
<td>50% with RVEF &lt;36%</td>
<td>During a 47-month FU, RVEF was associated with mortality independently from pulmonary vascular resistance. Changes in RVEF at 1 year were also associated with survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine et al. Circulation: CV imaging, 2013</td>
<td>575 suspected or confirmed precapillary PH</td>
<td>Peak RV longitudinal strain (RVLS)</td>
<td>50% had an RVLS &gt;-21</td>
<td>During a median FU of 16.5 months, RVLS predicted survival in addition to NYHA class and NT-proBNP levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryo et al. Circulation: CV Imaging, 2015</td>
<td>92 patients with precapillary PH</td>
<td>RV end-systolic volume index by 3D echo</td>
<td>50% with TAPSE &lt;19 mm; 50% with 3D RVEF &lt;33%; 50% with 3D RV global area strain &gt;-21%</td>
<td>During the 6-month FU, RV end-systolic volume indexed on body surface area was associated with PH-related hospitalization, death, or lung surgery (lung transplantation or pulmonary endarterectomy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amsallem et al. Circulation: CV Imaging, 2017</td>
<td>228 PAH</td>
<td>RV Ejection Systolic Rigidity Index (RVESRI); RVLS; RVFAC; TAPSE</td>
<td>72% had a RVLS &gt;-20%; 88% had a RVFAC &lt;35%; 53% had a TAPSE &lt;18 mm</td>
<td>During the 5-year FU, RVESRI predicted clinical worsening (death, transplant or readmission for heart failure) in addition to NYHA class and NT-proBNP levels. RVESRI was more reproducible and prognostic than RVLS, and incremental to the REVEAL score.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift et al. AJRCCM, 2017</td>
<td>576 PAH</td>
<td>RVEF; RV Ejection Systolic Vi (RVESVi)</td>
<td>50% had RVEF &lt;39%</td>
<td>During the 42-month FU, RVESVi index was an independent predictor of mortality in addition to relative pulmonary arterial change (stiffness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burke et al. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2013</td>
<td>419 HFpEF</td>
<td>TAPSE; RVFAC; RV Ejection Systolic Vi (RVESVi); RV Ejection Diastolic Vi (RVEDVi)</td>
<td>28% had TAPSE &lt;16 mm; 15% had RVFAC &lt;35%</td>
<td>During an 18-month FU, TAPSE was a predictor of outcome independently of pulmonary pressures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed et al. Circulation, 2014</td>
<td>562 HFpEF</td>
<td>TAPSE; Semiquantitative RV function</td>
<td>50% had TAPSE &lt;17 mm</td>
<td>During the 8-year FU, semiquantitative RV dysfunction (or TAPSE) was associated with mortality, independently from pulmonary pressures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melenovsky et al. Eur Heart J, 2014</td>
<td>96 HFpEF</td>
<td>RVFAC</td>
<td>33% had RVFAC &lt;35%</td>
<td>During a 529-day FU, RVFAC &lt;35% was the strongest predictor of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aschauer et al. Eur J Heart Failure, 2015</td>
<td>171 HFpEF</td>
<td>RV Ejection Fraction by CMR</td>
<td>19% had RVEF &lt;45%</td>
<td>During a 573-day FU, RVEF &lt;45% was a predictor of cardiac death or admission for heart failure, independently from diabetes, 6-minute walk distance and systolic pulmonary arterial pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (continued)
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, journal, year</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>RV dysfunction criteria</th>
<th>Prevalence of RV dysfunction</th>
<th>Link with outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morris et al. Eur J CV Imaging, 2017</td>
<td>218 HFpEF</td>
<td>RV strain (global and free-wall); TAPSE; RVFAC; S'</td>
<td>50% had TAPSE &lt;19 mm</td>
<td>RV global and free wall systolic strain were significantly linked to the symptomatic status of the patient. No data on outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain et al. Circulation: Heart Failure, 2016</td>
<td>137 HFpEF (subgroup from RELAX trial)</td>
<td>TAPSE; TAPSE/RVSP</td>
<td>50% had TAPSE &lt;17 mm</td>
<td>No data on outcomes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; FU, follow-up; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PH, pulmonary hypertension; REVEAL, registry to evaluate early and long-term PAH disease management; RVEDAI, right ventricular end-diastolic area index; RVEF, right ventricular ejection fraction; RVESAI, right ventricular end-systolic area index; RVESRI, right ventricular end-systolic remodeling index; RVESVi, right ventricular end-systolic volume index; RVFAC, right ventricular fractional area change; RVLS, right ventricular longitudinal strain; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure estimated by echocardiography; SSc-PAH, systemic sclerosis-related PAH; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

Figure 6 Schematic comparison of RV mechanics in PAH vs. HFpEF-PH. HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; LA, left atrial; LV, left ventricular; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PV, pulmonary vein; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; RA, right atrial; RV, right ventricular.

interpretation of the Doppler signal (142).

The addition of right heart echocardiographic metrics (such as the RV/LV basal diameter ratio, LV eccentricity index, right atrial size) to the TR maximal velocity offers additional information to assess the level of probability of PH based on echocardiography (16,143). In patients with interpretable tricuspid signals, the area under the curve of the estimated RV systolic pressure for prediction of mPAP ≥25 mmHg is high [0.97, 95% CI (0.93–0.99), P<0.001]. However, a significant proportion of subjects (20% of
healthy individuals and up to 40% of patients with PAH) do not have an interpretable Doppler TR signal. In that case, the presence of right heart enlargement or dysfunction can indicate PH [with an area under the curve of 0.77, 95% CI (0.69–0.84), P<0.001] (142). Other markers of early remodeling of the right ventricle (such as RV apical sphericity) are currently being investigated for the early detection of PH in symptomatic patients.

Certain RV features are unique in patients with HFpEF-PH. Impaired RV transverse (radial) motion has been proposed as a potential screening parameter for PH in patients with HFpEF (39). In addition, by observing that patients with HFpEF-PH had smaller RV end-diastolic areas than patients with HFpEF without PH, an echocardiographic-based score has been described (relying on left atrial area, LV mass index, RV end-diastolic area, in addition to history of diabetes mellitus and presence of atrial fibrillation) predicting the probability of HFpEF-PH with an area under the curve of 0.93, 95% CI (0.92–0.94) (144).

**RV metrics for prediction of outcomes in PAH vs. HFpEF**

Many studies have reported the prognostic value of RV systolic dysfunction (based on altered RVEF, RVLS, TAPSE or RVFAC) or RV adverse remodeling (based on increased RV end-systolic size or remodeling index) to predict outcomes in PAH (Table 1) (31,32,145-148). A study showed that RVESRI (length of the RV free-wall in end-systole divided by the septal length) was a stronger predictor of 5-year clinical worsening, in association with functional class and natriuretic peptide levels, and more reproducible than RVLS (32). Furthermore, RVESRI was additionally incremental to the validated Registry to Evaluate Early and Long-term PAH Disease Management (REVEAL) score for risk prediction of clinical worsening. A large CMR study on 576 patients with PAH confirmed that RV ESV indexed to body surface area was the strongest predictor of death, independently of the relative area change of the pulmonary artery (148). See the review of Sanders et al. on RV dysfunction and risk of death within this special issue (149).

In HFpEF, several studies have reported the prognostic value of different RV functional metrics (8,132,133,150-152), such as TAPSE, RVFAC or RVLS by 2D echocardiography, or RVEF by CMR (Figure 2). RV dysfunction has been associated with mortality independent of the level of sPAP (10), which highlights the potential prognostic contribution of frequently associated comorbidities (such as coronary artery disease, hypertension, diabetes, sleep apnea or atrial fibrillation) of patients with HFpEF.

Fewer studies have correlated ventriculo-arterial coupling metrics and clinical outcomes in patients with PAH or HFpEF (Table 2). A coupling ratio Ees/Ea <0.70 (multibeat method) was associated with a higher incidence of clinical events (death, transplant or clinical failure) in patients with PAH (79). These findings were corroborated in a cohort of PAH patients with preserved RVEF, where Ees/Ea <0.65 (multibeat method) was an independent predictor of clinical worsening (153). The Ees/Ea ratio (single beat method) was reported to be lower in patients with HFpEF and combined pre- and post-capillary PH, as compared to HFpEF and isolated post-capillary PH (1.17±0.27 vs. 1.45±0.29, P<0.05) (17). A SV/ESV ratio ≥0.515 (volumetric method) was independently associated with survival in 50 individuals with different subtypes of PH (including Groups 1 and 2 PH) (76). These findings were later corroborated in a study of 84 patients with PAH, where a SV/ESV ratio >0.534 was associated with increased transplant-free survival (154). However, in neither of these two studies an association with survival was noticed when using the single beat method.

RV diastolic dysfunction has been shown to be associated with clinical outcomes in patients with PAH (Table 2). Increased Eed was associated with increased mortality [univariate hazard ratio 2.24, 95% CI (1.05–4.80), P=0.037], and a cut-off >0.53 was proposed as predictive of survival (105). The diastolic coefficient β is increased in patients with PAH (0.050±0.005 vs. 0.029±0.003 in controls, P=0.034) and correlated with disease severity (104). Both Eed and β decreased after therapy with parenteral treprostinil in treatment-naive patients with severe PAH (75).

**Future perspectives in the field of RV mechanics**

RV mechanics will continue to be an area of intensive research and clinical interest. The differences in patterns of motion and ventricular adaptation have to be further delineated based on the different phenotypes of patients with RV dysfunction. Newer imaging modalities such as 4D flow and vortex analysis, as well as computational modeling of RV contraction and flow offer a unique assessment of RV function (155,156). Computational and integrative methods will contribute to deeper phenotyping of RV dysfunction.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, journal, year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>RV metric</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Link with outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vanderpool <em>et al.</em> Heart, 2015</td>
<td>50 PH</td>
<td>Ees/Ea (single beat method); SV/ESV (volumetric method)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>SV/ESV &gt;0.515 was associated with increased transplant-free survival. No association with survival was seen with Ees/Ea by the single beat method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewis <em>et al.</em> Int J Cardiol, 2016</td>
<td>84 PAH</td>
<td>Ees/Ea (single beat method); SV/ESV (volumetric method)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>SV/ESV &gt;0.534 was associated with increased transplant-free survival. No association with survival was seen with Ees/Ea by the single beat method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderpool <em>et al.</em> Eur Respir J, 2017</td>
<td>33 PAH</td>
<td>Ees/Ea (single beat method); SV/ESV (volumetric method)</td>
<td>Treatment-naïve patients with advanced PAH. Follow-up data after treatment with parenteral treprostinil</td>
<td>SV/ESV increased after therapy with parenteral treprostinil. No changes in Ees/Ea by the single beat method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tello <em>et al.</em> Circulation: CV Imaging, 2019</td>
<td>52 PAH</td>
<td>TAPSE/sPAP</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>TAPSE/sPAP &lt;0.31 mm/mm Hg associated with worse prognosis. This cut-off had 87.5% sensitivity and 75.9% specificity for prediction of Ees/Ea &lt;0.805 (single beat method)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richter <em>et al.</em> AJRCCM, 2020</td>
<td>38 pre-capillary PH</td>
<td>Ees/Ea (multibeat method)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>Ees/Ea ≥0.70 was associated with a lower incidence of clinical worsening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu <em>et al.</em> J Am Heart Assoc, 2020</td>
<td>26 PAH</td>
<td>Ees/Ea (multibeat method)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Ees/Ea &gt;0.65 was associated with a lower incidence of clinical worsening. Ees/Ea &gt;0.65 remained predictive of outcomes in the multivariate regression model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guazzi <em>et al.</em> Am J Physiol - Hear Circ Physiol, 2013</td>
<td>334 patients with heart failure (13.4% with HFpEF)</td>
<td>TAPSE/sPAP</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>TAPSE/sPAP &lt;0.36 was associated with increased cardiac-related mortality. Study not specific for PH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerges <em>et al.</em> AJRCCM, 2015</td>
<td>451 Group 2 PH</td>
<td>Ees/Ea (single beat method)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Ees/Ea was lower in patients with HFpEF and combined pre- and post-capillary PH, as compared with isolated post-capillary PH (1.17±0.27 vs. 1.45±0.29, P&lt;0.05). No clinical outcomes related to coupling reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorter <em>et al.</em> Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2018</td>
<td>102 HFpEF (76 with HFpEF-PH)</td>
<td>TAPSE/sPAP</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>TAPSE/sPAP &lt;0.36 was associated with increased all-cause mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trip <em>et al.</em> Eur Respir J, 2015</td>
<td>63 PAH</td>
<td>Eed (single beat method)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Univariate hazard ratio for Eed was 2.24 [95% CI (1.05–4.80), P=0.037]. Eed &gt;0.53 predictive of survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderpool <em>et al.</em> Eur Respir J, 2017</td>
<td>33 PAH</td>
<td>Eed (single beat method); l (single beat method)</td>
<td>Treatment-naïve patients with advanced PAH. Follow-up data after treatment with parenteral treprostinil</td>
<td>Therapy with parenteral treprostinil was associated with significant reductions in both Eed and l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

β, diastolic coefficient l; Ea, arterial elastance; Eed, end-diastolic elastance; Ees, end-systolic elastance; ESV, end-systolic volume; HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PH, pulmonary hypertension; sPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; SV, stroke volume; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.
and contribute to automation of image analysis. Finally, artificial intelligence, knowledge acquisition and machine-learning algorithms using clinical and cardiac imaging data are expected to add value in the process of proper RV phenotyping, as well as its association with clinical outcomes (157,158).

**Conclusions**

RV dysfunction is an independent determinant on survival in patients with all forms of PH. An understanding of RV mechanics allows us to not only understand the change in contraction and cardiac filling but also its load-independent determinants, energetics and flow dynamics. Computational approaches will enable in the future an integrated analysis of RV mechanics for clinical practice, therapeutics and research.
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