Vegetarian diets and glycemic control in diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Introduction: Previous studies have suggested an association between vegetarian diets and improvements in glycemic control in diabetes, although this relationship is not well established. No meta-analysis of these studies has been performed.

Methods: To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials examining the association between vegetarian diets and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. Data source: The electronic databases Medline, Web of Science, Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE), and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials were searched for articles published in any language through December 9, 2013. Study selection: The following criteria were used for study inclusion: (I) age of participants ≥20 years; (II) vegetarian diet as intervention; (III) mean difference in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) and/or fasting blood glucose levels used as outcomes; and (IV) controlled trials, duration ≥4 weeks. Exclusion criteria were: (I) not an original investigation; (II) duplicate samples; (III) diabetes other than type 2; (IV) multiple interventions; and (V) uncontrolled studies. Data extraction and synthesis: The data collected included study design, baseline population characteristics, dietary data, and outcomes. Data were pooled using a random-effects model. Main outcomes and measures: Differences in HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels associated with vegetarian diets were assessed.

Results: Of 477 studies identified, six met the inclusion criteria (n=255, mean age 42.5 years). Consumption of vegetarian diets was associated with a significant reduction in HbA1c [−0.39 percentage point; 95% confidence interval (CI), −0.62 to −0.15; P=0.001; I²=3.0; P for heterogeneity =0.389], and a non-significant reduction in fasting blood glucose concentration (−0.36 mmol/L; 95% CI, −1.04 to 0.32; P=0.301; I²=0; P for heterogeneity =0.710), compared with consumption of comparator diets.

Conclusions: Consumption of vegetarian diets is associated with improved glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. PROSPERO registration number is CRD42013004370.
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Introduction

Diabetes is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (1). Adults with diabetes have a 2-4 times higher risk for heart disease or stroke, compared with those without diabetes (2).

Among Seventh-day Adventists, the prevalence of type 2 diabetes among individuals consuming vegetarian diets was observed to be approximately half of that in those following an omnivorous dietary pattern (3,4). Other cohort studies have also suggested that meat consumption is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes (5,6). In clinical trials, glycemic control was found to improve following switch from an omnivorous diet to a vegetarian diet (7), suggesting that the latter may be useful in both the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes. However, many studies using vegetarian diets had short durations (e.g., <4 weeks) or small sample sizes, limiting the ability to identify effects of diet on type 2 diabetes. To our knowledge, no meta-analysis of studies on the association of vegetarian (ovo-lacto vegetarian or vegan) diets with hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) and blood glucose levels has been conducted. To provide a valid estimate of the effect size regarding the benefits of consumption of vegetarian diets in patients with type 2 diabetes, which could be useful for dietary recommendations, we conducted a meta-analysis of studies to examine associations between vegetarian diets and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes. This investigation was registered on PROSPERO (registration number CRD42013004370) on April 26, 2013.

Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines (8). The published scientific literature was searched for clinical trials in adults in which vegetarian diets (defined as those excluding meat, poultry, and fish) or vegan diets (defined as excluding animal-derived food products) were used as interventions for at least 4 weeks and changes in HbA1c and/or fasting blood glucose levels were reported. The primary measure of interest was the HbA1c level in patients consuming vegetarian or vegan diets, compared with levels in patients in the control group (omnivorous diet). A secondary endpoint was the change in the fasting blood glucose level.

Search strategy and article selection

Publications were identified by searches of PubMed (1946 through December 9, 2013), Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE) (1947 through December 9, 2013), Web of Science (1900 through December 9, 2013), and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (1966 through December 9, 2013). The following criteria were used for inclusion in the meta-analysis: (I) age of participants >20 years; (II) vegetarian diet as intervention; (III) HbA1c or blood glucose levels as outcomes; (IV) controlled trials with durations of ≥4 weeks. Exclusion criteria were: (I) not an original investigation; (II) duplicate samples; (III) diabetes other than type 2; (IV) multiple interventions; and (V) uncontrolled studies. There were no restrictions regarding sex, race, ethnicity, language, sample size, publication status, or publication date.

The strategy used for the PubMed search is shown in Table 1. Analogous terms were used for the EMBASE, Web of Science, and Cochrane searches. Two researchers (YY...
and SML) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of all citations retrieved. For citations appearing to meet the inclusion criteria, the same researchers independently reviewed the full-text articles to identify eligible studies. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. From the reference lists of reviewed articles and through contacts with research experts, additional articles were identified and reviewed for eligibility.

**Data extraction**

For each study, data were collected on the following: HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels; study methodology and sample size; baseline characteristics of the study population, including mean age, sex (proportion of men), body mass index (BMI), and type of diabetes; and outcomes, including adjustment factors used for each analytic model. Mean values for baseline age, proportion of male subjects, BMI, and HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels were calculated.

**Quality measures**

Using the criteria outlined in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (9), the quality of the studies included in the statistical analysis was assessed. These criteria included measures to minimize selection bias (random sequence generation and allocation concealment), performance, detection, attrition (incomplete outcome data), and reporting. Masking of dietary assignment was not used as a quality criterion, because it is impracticable in studies on prescribed diets.

**Data synthesis and analysis**

Mean differences in HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels between patients assigned to receive the vegetarian and comparator diets were calculated. The pooled standard error (SE) for the net difference in the HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels associated with the consumption of a vegetarian diet was obtained or, when not given, estimated using the method of Follmann *et al.* (10), assuming a correlation of 0.50 between the baseline and final HbA1c values (parallel design) or between HbA1c values during the intervention and control periods (cross-over design).

Estimates of net changes in HbA1c levels, fasting blood glucose levels, energy, and selected dietary components (energy, carbohydrate, protein, fat, cholesterol, and fiber) associated with the consumption of vegetarian diets were combined using a random effects model, which assigns a weight to each study on the basis of the study’s inverse variance. Overall estimates were derived separately for controlled trials using the study as the unit of analysis. Estimates of differences in HbA1c levels were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Two-sided P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Sensitivity analysis was carried out by assessing the effects of removal of each study on the combined effect. Calculation of I² was performed with subgroups using the study as the unit of analysis to assess heterogeneity among studies (11).

Funnel plots were developed to identify publication bias, and Egger’s test (12) was performed to assess the relationship between sample size and effect size. The “trim and fill” method (13) was used to adjust for publication bias. This method determines where missing studies are likely to fall, adds them to the analysis, and then re-computes the combined effect (13). All analyses were performed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis, version 2 software (Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA) (14).

**Results**

**Results of literature search**

The PubMed search yielded 132 citations; those of the Web of Science, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials retrieved 95, 229 and 35 publications, respectively (*Figure 1*). After removing duplicates, 477 unique publications were identified. Of these, six met the inclusion criteria (15-20). These had been carried out in Brazil, the Czech Republic, and the United States, and included six intervention groups using lacto-ovo vegetarian or vegan diets with a total of 255 participants (17 lacto-ovo vegetarian and 238 vegan) (*Table 2*). All studies included patients with type 2 diabetes.

All were open (non-masked) controlled trials lasting for ≥4 weeks (mean duration 23.7 weeks; range, 4-74 weeks). Vegan diets were examined in five studies (15,17-20), and a lacto-ovo vegetarian diet in one (16). Five studies used parallel designs, (15,17-20) and one used a cross-over design (16). The mean age of participants was 52.5 years (range, 44.4-59.0 years).

**Quality of studies**

Of the six studies included, three were randomized,
two were nonrandomized comparisons at two separate corporate sites (17), and one was a cluster randomized trial (19). Allocation concealment was not reported in the randomized trials and was not relevant to the remaining two trials. Because these studies were dietary intervention trials, none included blinding of participants. The outcome of interest was the objective measure of HbA1c level, and thus blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) was unlikely to be an issue.

**Pooled effects of vegetarian diets on HbA1c and fasting blood glucose levels**

In the pooled analysis, consumption of vegetarian diets was associated with a significant mean reduction in HbA1c (–0.39 percentage point; 95% CI, –0.62 to –0.15; P=0.001; I²=3.0; P for heterogeneity =0.389), compared with omnivorous diets. The corresponding mean reduction in fasting blood glucose levels was not significant (–0.36 mmol/L; 95% CI, –1.04 to 0.32; P=0.301; I²=0; P for heterogeneity =0.710) (Figures 2, 3).

Removal of one study at a time from the analysis did not substantially change the results, with significant differences in HbA1c levels between vegetarian and comparison groups ranging from –0.31 to –0.53 percentage point (P<0.05 for all).

**Pooled effects of vegetarian diets on nutrient intakes**

In the pooled analysis, consumption of vegetarian diets was associated with significant mean differences in energy intake (–139.8 kcal; 95% CI, –232.8 to –46.7; P=0.003; I²=0; P for heterogeneity =0.437), carbohydrate (13.8% energy; 95% CI, 3.7 to 23.9; P=0.008; I²=93.9; P for heterogeneity <0.001), protein (–6.4% energy; 95% CI, –9.8 to –3.0; P<0.001; I²=90.2; P for heterogeneity <0.001), total fat
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, year, reference (NO)</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Duration (weeks)</th>
<th>N (V/C)</th>
<th>Mean age (years) (V/C)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Mean BMI (kg/m²) (V/C)</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Fasting glucose (mmol/L) (V/C)</th>
<th>HbA1c (%) (V/C)</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Adjusted factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mishra et al., 2013 (19)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>43*</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Low-fat vegan</td>
<td>Omnivorous</td>
<td>Sex, cluster, medication change and baseline value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Vegan</td>
<td>Conventional diabetic diet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11†</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Low-fat vegan</td>
<td>Omnivorous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>RCT (Cross-over)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Lacto-ovo low-protein</td>
<td>Omnivorous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholson et al., 1999 (20)</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11 (7/4)</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Low-fat vegan</td>
<td>Conventional low fat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C, control diets; V, vegetarian diets; *, number of patients with HbA1c data available. For all other characteristic variables and nutrient intake, the N is 291 (142/149). †, number of patients with HbA1c data available. For all other characteristic variables and nutrient intake, the N is 113 (68/45); BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.
Figure 2 Pooled mean changes in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level in response to a vegetarian diet. Differences in HbA1c levels following intervention with a vegetarian diet in clinical trials. Effects on HbA1c levels are depicted as squares; error bars indicate 95% CIs. Meta-analysis yielded a pooled estimate of −0.39% (−0.62 to −0.15), depicted as a black diamond. ADA, diets followed American Diabetic Association; CI, confidence interval.

Figure 3 Pooled changes in fasting blood glucose level in response to a vegetarian diet. Changes in fasting blood glucose level following intervention with a vegetarian diet in clinical trials. Effects on fasting blood glucose levels are depicted as squares; error bars indicate 95% CIs. Meta-analysis yielded a pooled estimate of −0.36 mmol/L (−1.04 to 0.32), depicted as a black diamond. ADA, diets followed American Diabetic Association; CIs, confidence intervals.

Table 3 Pooled mean differences in energy and selected dietary components in the six studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Difference in means</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>I²</th>
<th>P for heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>−139.75</td>
<td>−232.77</td>
<td>−46.73</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (% energy)</td>
<td>13.78</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>93.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% energy)</td>
<td>−6.35</td>
<td>−9.76</td>
<td>−2.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>90.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (% energy)</td>
<td>−11.57</td>
<td>−17.61</td>
<td>−5.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>93.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg)</td>
<td>−172.5</td>
<td>−221.34</td>
<td>−123.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>71.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (g)</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>56.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval.

(−11.6% energy; 95% CI, −17.6 to −5.5; P<0.001, I²=93.2, P for heterogeneity <0.001), cholesterol (−172.5 mg; 95% CI, −221.3 to −123.6; P<0.001; I²=71.9; P for heterogeneity =0.003) and fiber (7.0 g; 95% CI, 4.2 to 9.7; P<0.001; I²=56.9; P for heterogeneity =0.041) (Table 3).

Publication bias
The funnel plot revealed that the smaller trials reporting reductions in HbA1c levels were possibly overrepresented (Figure 4). In the absence of publication bias, the study results would symmetrically represent the mean effect size; these findings suggested that some studies were missing in the bottom right side. However, this visual impression was not confirmed by Egger’s test (P=0.554). The results of the trim-and-fill method, which must be interpreted with substantial caution, suggested that two trials may have been missing and that their addition would change the overall effect on HbA1c to −0.26 percentage point (95% CI, −0.53 to 0.003).
Vegetarian (including vegan) diets have benefits for cardiovascular health (24), hypertension (25), body weight (26), and plasma lipids (27), and also provide nutritional advantages compared with omnivorous diets (28). The evidence presented herein demonstrates that these diets also reduce HbA1c. These findings are consistent with those reported in a previous review of observational and clinical studies, namely that vegetarian diets improve glycemic control (7). Moreover, clinical data have also indicated that regular consumption of meat is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes (29,30). These results are in agreement with observations from Adventist Health Study 2. This large cohort study reported that the odds ratio for developing diabetes compared with non-vegetarians was 0.38 (95% CI, 0.24 to 0.62) for vegans and 0.62 (95% CI, 0.50 to 0.76) for lacto-ovo vegetarians (3,4).

To provide insight into the possible mechanisms associated with the HbA1c-lowering effect of a vegetarian diet, we extended this meta-analysis to analyze changes in energy and dietary components due to vegetarian diet interventions. Importantly, decreases in energy (−139.8 kcal), protein (−6.4% energy), total fat (−11.6% energy), and cholesterol intakes (−172.5 mg) were observed, along with increases in carbohydrate (13.8% energy) and fiber intakes (7.0 g). These observations suggest several possible mechanisms that may explain the effect of a vegetarian diet on improved glycemic control. First, a reduction in energy intake is likely to be associated with weight loss, which is known to improve glycemic control (31,32). A recent review indicated that even in the absence of specific limits on energy intake or portion sizes, vegetarian diets are associated with lower body weight compared to an untreated control group (26). However, weight loss may not be the only factor responsible for the HbA1c-lowering effects of vegetarian diets. In a study by Anderson and Ward, a low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet was associated with improved glycemic control in the absence of weight loss (33).

Intramyocellular lipid accumulation is strongly associated with insulin resistance (34,35). High-fat diets appear to downregulate the expression of genes needed for mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in skeletal muscle, thereby contributing to an increase in intramyocellular lipid concentration (36). Switching to a vegetarian diet provides roughly 10% less fat (as a percentage of total energy intake), according to our analysis, which would be expected to reduce the concentration of intramyocellular lipid. In a case-control study, intramyocellular lipid concentrations in soleus muscle were approximately 30% lower in a group of 21 vegans than those in 25 individuals on an omnivorous diet matched for sex, age, and body weight (P=0.01) (37). Also, other study suggested that intramyocellular lipids were increased after 7 days high-fat diet (38). These studies suggest that a reduction in fat intake reduces intracellular fat accumulation and leads to improved insulin sensitivity.

Dietary fiber can reduce the risk of type 2 diabetes.
by several mechanisms. Dietary fiber may slow glucose absorption from the intestine, which lowers the glycemic index of carbohydrates (39). Some types of dietary fiber have been pointed out to increase bile acid excretion and increase pool size of bile acids (40). Those increased bile acid increase secretion of glucagon-like peptide 1 via activation of TGR5 and improve glycemic control (41-44). In addition, increased production of short-chain fatty acids by bacterial fermentation of fiber is also thought to have beneficial effects on glucose and energy homeostasis through regulation of intestinal gluconeogenesis (45-47). Previous randomized crossover trials have shown that, compared with a moderate-fiber diet, a high-fiber diet reduced mean daily preprandial plasma glucose concentrations by 13 mg per deciliter (48).

It is also possible that different amino acids have distinct effects on glucose metabolism and insulin resistance (49). In this regard, a randomized crossover trial suggested that partial replacement of meat protein with soy protein improved insulin sensitivity and both total and LDL cholesterol concentrations in humans (50).

The present meta-analysis has several strengths. First, the focus was on clinical trials conducted in patients with type 2 diabetes for at least 4 weeks, which were the minimal time needed to observe meaningful changes in HbA1c levels. Therefore, the beneficial effects of a vegetarian diet on glycemic control seen in this meta-analysis could indicate its utility as a treatment alternative for type 2 diabetes. Second, by focusing on dietary patterns, rather than on isolated nutrients, the major findings are easily applicable to both general and clinical populations (51,52). Third, there was no significant heterogeneity among the controlled trials included in the analysis.

Notwithstanding, the study also has several limitations. First, this meta-analysis used studies with inherent design limitations, particularly small sample sizes. Despite this, changes in HbA1c reached statistical significance. Second, some of the studies were not randomized; even with randomization, baseline between-group differences may have influenced the results, particularly in small trials. Third, the strongest effects on HbA1c were observed in the two nonrandomized studies (17,19). These studies had younger mean ages and higher percentages of women. Perhaps more importantly, the comparison groups in these two studies were not asked to make diet changes and presumably continued their habitual diets. In contrast, three other studies compared vegetarian diets with therapeutic diets specifically designed to improve glycemic control for people with diabetes (15,18,20). Since those comparison diets may have improved diabetes more than typical omnivorous diets would be expected to do, the pooled effect size may have been affected as a result. Fourth, in two trials, less than 20% of the participants had HbA1c levels available. However, the results of removal of one study at a time from the analysis still shows a significant effect. Finally, the designs of the included studies did not permit comparisons of the effects of various types of carbohydrate or of animal versus plant protein. Further studies are needed to explore the relationships between specific foods and glycemic control.

Conclusions

Evidence from clinical trials has shown that vegetarid diets reduce HbA1c levels, suggesting that they may be beneficial in the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes.
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