Epidemiology and pathophysiologic insights of coronary atherosclerosis relevant for contemporary non-invasive imaging
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Abstract: In the past few years significant changes have taken place in the diagnostic and therapeutic approach to patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) and/or ischemic heart disease (IHD). New discoveries about the development and progression of coronary atherosclerosis have changed the clinical landscape. At the same time a marked decrease in cardiovascular (CV) mortality and CAD incidence have been observed in many Countries but particularly in the most industrialized ones. This fall has been also observed in the incidence of stroke, sudden death, myocardial ischemia, myocardial infarction (MI), and prevalence of CAD. As a consequence, an increasing number of patients with chest pain exhibits non-significant stenosis at both invasive and non-invasive coronary angiography and the rate of coronary vessels revascularizations has greatly reduced. Coronary atherosclerosis and its characteristics have shown to be both diagnostic and therapeutic targets beyond obstructive CAD. The decreased prevalence of CAD in the general population has modified the pre-test probability (PTP) of disease. In this landscape the conventional stress imaging tests appear to have limited accuracy making the diagnosis of obstructive CAD very challenging. These diagnostic tests have been introduced and tested in a population with a much higher probability of disease and therefore the contemporary accuracy of these old tests appear much lower than in the past. In addition, in the past few years the relevance of the traditional ischemia guided coronary intervention strategy has been questioned. Given the low CV events granted by an optimal medical therapy in CAD the major attention has been directed on detecting coronary atherosclerosis. The earlier the better. At the same time, a growing number of data from clinical studies have shown a significant prognostic role for non-obstructive CAD and coronary atherosclerosis. All these facts have shifted the clinicians’ attention from the functional evaluation of the coronary circulation to the anatomic burden of disease.
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Introduction

Coronary atherosclerosis is the most common disease of the coronary arteries. Its natural history as well as the pathologic mechanisms by which the disease progresses and eventually translates in clinical events has been extensively studied in the past and recent years. The results of these efforts have greatly increased our knowledge and new concepts have been developed over the years and translated in clinical practice (1-7).

In the past few decades cardiovascular (CV) mortality, myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke incidence, severity of coronary atherosclerosis have greatly decreased thus changing the prognostic and diagnostic landscape of
patients with suspected or confirmed CAD (8-15). Coronary atherosclerosis is the main cause for ischemic heart disease (IHD), although not the only one. The main attention of the professional medical community has been directed to detect and treat the so called “significant coronary stenosis”. In the traditional model of care myocardial ischemia was the main diagnostic and therapeutic target. Obstructive CAD was considered the most important manifestation of atherosclerosis for prognostic purposes representing the main cause for ischemia. In the past few years, the above mentioned changes in CV epidemiology, have translated in a lower than expected probability of obstructive CAD (16,17). Traditional diagnostic tools such as the treadmill or bicycle stress test but even other imaging stress tests have shown to be less accurate than in the past in detecting ischemia and CAD (18,19). The need for a very accurate technique to detect obstructive CAD has thus emerged as an important need in clinical practice.

At the same time a growing evidence from large clinical trials indicate that an ischemia driven diagnostic and therapeutic strategy may be less relevant than the detection of the extent and quality of coronary atherosclerosis even when there are no flow-limiting coronary stenosis. Many evidences have shifted the attention to non-obstructive CAD and, more broadly speaking, to coronary atherosclerosis and its burden (20,21). This knowledge has boosted the attention to the detection of coronary atherosclerosis, its extent, but also to the plaque composition of the detected lesions (22-25).

**Coronary atherosclerosis**

Once viewed as the result of a degenerative disease of the arterial wall, atherosclerosis is nowadays considered inflammatory in its origin (1,26). Several pathways involved in both innate and adapted immunity have been related to atherosclerosis as well as the loss of regulatory mechanisms involved in cell growth and differentiation. Thus, a combination of factors involving the immunological system, inflammation and the modulation of cell growth and differentiation all play a significant role in the development of atherosclerosis, its progression and clinical manifestations (27-34).

The atherosclerotic plaque forms in the intima layer of the vessels. In the early stage low-density lipoprotein (LDL) particles accumulate in the intima causing a pro-inflammatory and immunogenic stimulus. The subsequent immune response takes place within the arterial wall with multiple kind of cells involved. The atherosclerotic plaque is the result of opposing mechanisms involving both inflammatory and reparative processes. Once established, atherosclerotic plaques progress by continued accumulation of lipid and the appearance of foam cells. Resident smooth muscle cells participate in this process and elaborate extracellular matrix macromolecules that represent a major component of the atherosclerotic plaques. Accumulation of lipids, dead cells, proteoglycans and glycosaminoglycans produced by the resident and recruited smooth muscle cells participate to the plaque growth and finally to the necrotic core. Once established the disease progresses and the lesions expand outward radially maintaining the caliber of the vessel. Calcium deposits appear and are either associated with plaque instability and complications or stabilization. The coronary plaques may grow leading eventually to flow limiting stenosis or undergo to complications such as plaque rupture or erosion. Experimental data suggest that the complications of atherosclerotic plaques may contribute to their growth trough a process of healing (35,36).

With the advent of ICA and percutaneous coronary intervention by angioplasty (PCI) or by pass surgery (BPAC) coronary atherosclerosis has received major attention. The demonstration that treating obstructive CAD of the epicardial vessels could improve the prognosis both in STEMI and NSTEMI patients as well as in chronic angina boosted the widespread adoption of direct coronary interventions. Both PCI and BPAC nowadays represent the standard of care in many clinical settings.

**Definitions**

CAD should be clearly differentiated from IHD, the latter being the results of both a reversible or irreversible myocardial damage. Typically, AMI is a manifestation of CAD but a MI can be the result of many other conditions and can be observed even when CAD is totally absent. The same is true for myocardial ischemia. On the other hand CAD should not be a synonymous of coronary atherosclerosis. As a matter of fact the coronary arteries may be affected by several different conditions without any apparent atherosclerotic lesion. Finally, chronic IHD should be a clinical definition reserved to include patients who have IHD except for those with acute coronary syndromes (ACS). Patients with known manifestations of CAD of any type belong to this definition. Often unfortunately CAD, coronary atherosclerosis, IHD, are used interchangeably. This indistinct use of terms can determine some unwanted
The recent European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines have coined the term of chronic coronary syndromes (CCS) in the attempt to embrace several different conditions other than ACS (37). As a matter of fact CCS include six clinical scenario: (I) patients with suspected CAD and ‘stable’ anginal symptoms, and/or dyspnea; (II) patients with new onset of HF or LV dysfunction and suspected CAD; (III) asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with stabilized symptoms 1 year after initial diagnosis or revascularization; (V) patients with angina and suspected vasospastic or microvascular disease; (VI) asymptomatic subjects in whom CAD is detected at screening. This definition has the merit of offering a more complete classification of conditions than before. If this new definition will offer advantages have to prove its soundness in clinical practice.

Epidemiology of coronary artery disease (CAD)

An initial decline in CV mortality in the US was first reported in the early 1970s. However a well recognized negative trend was universally recognized only in 1978. This decline have continued and observed in all the regions of the world although more pronounced in the high income countries (8). In Netherlands the decline from 1980 to 2009 has been around 70% while in UK and Ireland more than 60% (13). Between 1990 and 2013 CVD death rates in England declined by 52%, coronary heart disease (CHD) by 60%, and stroke by 46% (38). Sudden death, a common first manifestation of CAD, acute myocardial infarction (AMI), stroke all have significantly decreased (13,15,39,40). The death rate for MI in US between 1970 and 2010 has fallen from 16% to 1.9% in patients <65 years and from 37.8% to 7.6% in those older than 65 (41). At the same time due to improved survival of patients with CV events, the prevalence of disease has been described either unchanged or increased (42). Therefore while patients live longer and the burden of new patients with atherosclerotic complications decrease it is increasingly common to face subjects with an history of stroke, MI or heart failure due to previous CV events.

The observed decrease in CV events in the general population has been recorded almost universally and applies to all the most common complications of atherosclerotic vessels disease. Initially observed for ST elevation -MI (whose incidence is decreasing by 10% yearly) more recently has been also observed for NST elevation- MI (14). The hospitalizations for acute MI has also fallen from 53 to 25 cases × 10,000 individuals in US between 1970 and 2010 (41). This decrease in new cases of AMI has been so sharp that some Authors have wondered if this condition is going to disappear (43).

Interestingly the burden of coronary atherosclerosis also seems to ease. In the Copenhagen City Heart Study 11223 Pts were investigated by ICA from 1998 to 2009 for suspected CAD. Obstructive CAD became less common in men but particularly in woman over time. In 2008, around 70% of women and 40% of men who were referred to ICA for stable angina did not have a flow limiting stenosis compared to 55 and 20% in the year 2000 (44). The study showed that the change was progressive and was continuing. Not only the angiographic findings seem to have changed (at least for patients with stable angina referred for ICA) but also there have been changes in the histologic pattern of those lesions causing ACS. Clinical and experimental studies have shown that the most common morphology of a culprit lesion causing ACS is a plaque rupture with exposure of thrombogenic debris. However, another cause for thrombosis complicating an atherosclerotic lesion is represented by a plaque erosion without rupture. The first once considered far more common than the last (36). The most recent studies indicate that the culprit lesion determining ACS underlying a coronary occlusion less commonly is a ruptured plaque (45) while the main mechanism nowadays is represented by a plaque erosion.

Serial studies have shown that statin treatment reduce lipid content and increase the collagen content of the atherosclerotic lesions both related to plaque stabilization and decreased risk of acute events (46). Also dietary habits influence the plaque composition and the same is believed for smoking (47). The improvements in risk factors control may therefore cause detectable morphologic changes that may translate in different clinical manifestations of the disease. A significant modification in the risk profile of patients including the use of medications to treat hypertension or dyslipidemia is paralleled by fewer events. The underlying mechanisms is a reduction in the presence of atherosclerosis and a more favorable composition of the plaques. The Athero Express data collected by the Dutch biobank have shown a clear change in the carotid plaque composition from patients with CV events since 2002 (48).
The morphology of the new plaques collected from patients undergoing endarterectomy showed in time progressively less hemorrhagic complications, calcifications, and evidence for inflammation. The lipid core of the plaques also decreased significantly. These changes have been observed in both patients with or without symptoms indicating a generalized temporal shift from the expression of unstable to more stable plaque characteristics.

What are the causes for the decline in CV disease burden?

As above reported the observed decline in CV mortality and CV events has been observed in all the high-income countries and some regions of the world. This positive trend started several decades ago and it is still ongoing (8,13). It is generally accepted that these benefits should be largely due to improved control of risk factors (smoke, unhealthy diet, physical activity, etc.) and pharmacological interventions (particularly statins, and anti-hypertensive drugs) (49-51). However, a significant role may be related to the policies directed to decrease the unnecessary use of alimentary additive such as trans-saturated fatty acids that have been linked to higher CV mortality (52).

By using the IMPACT mortality model it has been shown that that 50–75% of the decrease in cardiac deaths can be attributed to improvements in the major risk factors (53). The remaining percentage of the decreased mortality is usually explained by the widespread use of drug therapy to lower blood pressure and cholesterol levels. Although improvement in medical care appear to be of great importance to explain the sharp decrease in CV death and events the relevance of PCI or BPAC therapies are considered to contribute marginally to the global CV risk reduction (54).

Practical effects of the observed changes

The observed change in epidemiology of CAD has several important consequences in clinical practice.

Cardiovascular Risk Prediction

In the past years in order to establish a preventive program to limit CV diseases and their consequences several scores have been used to guide the clinical choices. An interesting example are the SCORE risk charts recommended by the ESC for identifying patients at different CV risk (55). These charts have been developed to assess the individual risk of CV death of individuals apparently free from CV diseases and are based upon age, sex, blood cholesterol, blood pressure, and smoking habits. As underlined by Mortensen and Falk (56) the SCORE mortality from CV disease is now so low in many countries that the SCORE-based statin therapy soon might be history in these countries despite high CV morbidity and treatment costs. In spite of the availability of different charts for different geographic areas and efforts to recalibrate the SCORE-prediction model by using administrative data of mortality it is likely that new approaches will be necessary to help Physicians to guide primary prevention.

This is a classical example of how difficult may be to predict CV events in this era of low probability of disease. The mortality risk for CV causes predicted for the general population is currently very close to that predicted for patients who recovered from a CV event. This makes very complicate to differentiate primary from secondary prevention and as a matter of fact the most recent European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Guidelines on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice treat cardiovascular risk as a continuum rather than dealing with two different conditions of risk. The clinical focus nowadays is being shifted more and more on the reduction and prevention of new CV events rather on mortality reduction. Yet the SCORE charts are still recommended to establish the individual CV risk.

Diagnosis of obstructive CAD

There have been significant changes in time in the approach to coronary atherosclerosis. The clinicians’ attention has been mainly focused on significant CAD (i.e., obstructive disease), its detection and treatment. Although many CV events will be determined by the complications of plaques determining significant coronary stenosis, a large number (apparently the majority) of events can be attributed to non-significant lesions that rapidly progress and promote an acute thrombosis (57-59). Recent in-vivo data confirmed that the progression of coronary plaques participate in the growth of the lesions through a healing process that may or not precede a new clinically meaningful event (60,61). In this view, the presence of one or more flow limiting stenosis in a patient represents the result of a progression of a disease that is not confined to a specific vascular territory. A specific attention only to these lesions may decrease the attention to less significant and nonobstructive plaques.
yet able to promote a catastrophic complication if not adequately treated.

The speed of progression and probability of complication of any lesion are the most likely drivers for the appearance of symptoms and development of an ACS. The major difference between an arterial tree with or without flow limiting stenosis is the probability of a lesion to complicate and cause a coronary thrombosis. Thus, the description of any plaque burden rather than the simple search for a flow limiting stenosis represents a more meaningful approach.

Traditionally an ischemia driven approach in detecting a significant CAD has been recommended and implemented in clinical practice. The assumption is that the presence of a flow limiting stenosis causes myocardial ischemia and this is prognostically important. The presence and severity of ischemia detected by any diagnostic tool has therefore guided the therapeutic choices to improve symptoms and prognosis. This premise has been challenged by several observations and it is currently underway an international investigation that will hopefully establish whether an ischemia driven strategy may or not improve the outcome of CAD patients. Interestingly the enrolment of this study acknowledges that in the contemporary era these patients are less common as in the past.

Data from the landmark COURAGE study have shown that a conservative approach is not inferior to an invasive approach in CAD patients with evidence of even large ischemic areas. If the diagnostic goal is to detect obstructive CAD, and if the demonstration of ischemia should remain the standard of care, we may note that in the contemporary era the probability of a lesion to complicate and cause a coronary thrombosis suggests a significant decrease in patients with or without a previous MI. Not surprisingly also the temporal trend in the use of coronary angiography and coronary revascularization rate paralleled this change.

The contemporary landscape

A large amount of evidence describes a significant change in the contemporary prevalence of obstructive CAD in the general population. As a consequence, the burden of disease is often overestimated by old evidences. The recent 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes have recognized this observation. The previous 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable CAD posed a major attention to prognosis rather than to the correct diagnosis. The first step of the strategy was directed to the determination of the pre-test probability (PTP) of CAD. Patients with an intermediate PTP of disease could then be evaluated for prognosis while those with a low PTP (<15%) could even be excluded by further investigations. This group could nevertheless harbor patients with obstructive disease but no other test was recommended. A major diagnostic role was instead attributed to the ergometric/treadmill stress test although its sensitivity is known to be very low in detecting CAD. In 2013 the PTP of obstructive coronary disease was recommended by using an updated version of the old Diamond and Forrester table. Data from was subsequent investigations have highlighted a lower than expected probability of disease in these patients. The very recent 2019 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes have introduced some major changes. As the observed prevalence of obstructive CAD is nowadays much lower than in the past a new version of the PTP of disease based on the description of symptoms, age and sex has been updated and incorporated in the guidelines. As an example, a 65-year-old man with typical angina in this probability frame has a PTP of disease of 46% compared to the 94% suggested by the old original Diamond and Forrester chart.

The following step recommended by the guidelines is to use the most appropriate test based upon the clinical likelihood of significant disease. The clinical likelihood enrich the PTP incorporating a constellation of aspects that the simple PTP tables do not include (i.e., CV risk...
The modern diagnostic challenges

Several diagnostic tools are currently used to identify chest pain patients with significant CAD and to detect a flow limiting stenosis. It is intuitive that a significant fall in the target of any test of ischemia is a direct consequence of a marked decrease in the probability of disease (i.e., presence of flow limiting stenosis). The already revised Diamond and Forrester classification of CAD probability proposed by the 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of chronic angina have shown to overestimate the probability of disease. New probability scores for the prediction of significant CAD have been proposed (73-76). As a matter of fact a contemporary male patient 65-year-old with typical angina has a 44% probability of significant CAD while the probability drops below 20% for a female patient with the same clinical features.

It is well known that the diagnostic accuracy of any diagnostic test is strictly dependent on the population studied. The Bayes' rule implies that the result of any test is influenced by the PTP of the disease studied. The accuracy may thus greatly vary depending on the likelihood of the abnormality the test is investigating.

As an example, tests such as a stress SPECT-MPI or stress ECHO typically offer a diagnostic accuracy around 70–80%. However, these tests were introduced and implemented in clinical practice when the probability of obstructive CAD in the population with atypical chest pain ranged depending on age, from 30% to 70%. In the contemporary era the same population has a probability ranging from 4–34%. When the prevalence falls it is unavoidable that the accuracy of any test falls due to a loss of both sensitivity and specificity. Therefore, by applying the stress imaging tests tested in the past panorama one should expect a significant increase of false negative, and perhaps also of false positive, tests.

In the EVINCI trial which compared the accuracy of stress SPECT-MPI, stress-ECHO, stress-CMR and Computed Tomography Coronary Angiography (CTCA) vs. ICA the best technique to detect a flow limiting stenosis resulted to be CTCA (19). In this study the population enrolled that was planned to be at intermediate probability of disease showed only a 29% prevalence of significant CAD. In this condition CTCA has shown to have a superior accuracy (91%) with an almost 100% NPV and a 83% of PPV, much superior to any other technique.

In spite of these results in the clinical arena stress imaging tests, particularly MPI SPECT (dipyridamole, adenosine, exercise) and stress echo are commonly still used all over the world and often interchangeably.

Recent efforts have been spent to identify the best non invasive test to detect a significant CAD in the contemporary landscape. In a large metanalysis including 28 664 patients from 132 studies that used ICA as a reference and 4,131 from 23 studies using FFR, Knuuti et al. have estimated the PTP when a positive or negative test result can confidently rule-in or rule-out a significant CAD (77). They assembled a model incorporating for each test the reliability of a positive or negative test for any pre - test probability. Interestingly they showed that even ICA does not perform optimally when ICA-FFR is used as a reference standard. However, when ICA is used to evaluate CAD, stress CMR, PET, and CTCA more reliably detect or rule out a significant disease.

By changing either the probability of disease, and its severity in causing ischemia, two major issues have been raised:

- The need for new evidences to support the use of any diagnostic test in the contemporary era;
- A progressive loss of importance of ischemia for prognostic stratification.

New evidences

A consequence of the above described picture raises the need for new evidences to support the use of any diagnostic test to detect ischemia and significant CAD. Myocardial ischemia may be caused by several different mechanisms (78) and should not be considered as the only manifestation of a flow limiting stenosis. The most recent investigations designed to establish the role of the different methods to detect obstructive CAD in patients with chest pain or equivalent symptoms have all pointed out the best accuracy of CCT over other noninvasive tests. The best
demonstration of the translation into clinical practice of these data are the new recommendations for the diagnosis of the Chronic Coronary Syndromes of the ESC (37). The bicycle/treadmill stress test is now suggested as a tool to evaluate the functional capacity while has disappeared as a diagnostic tool. Functional test based on inducible ischemia still have a significant role in spite of the sobering results offered by some studies. It is nevertheless very important that the new ESC guidelines stress the need for a new diagnostic and prognostic framework due the relevant secular trend for CAD observed in the population.

The new landscape: anatomy is more important than ischemia

All the best evidences and results of trial and tests using any ischemia - driven target for diagnosis and prognosis are old and outdated. It is hard to think that, given all the major changes observed, the result of an imaging test may preserve its prognostic meaning. The relevance of ischemia itself as a major component of a prognostic model has been questioned in the past few years and is under investigation (62,79).

The PROMISE trial and the SCOTHeart trial, although different in design and organization both have showed that by applying an anatomy based prognostic model the short term results can even be better than an ischemia based approach (80,81). By prolonging the follow up of these studies the information offered by CCT about coronary anatomy have shown the superiority over other approaches (21,82).

It is now recognized that by detecting coronary atherosclerosis it is possible to significantly improve the survival and decrease the events of patients with chest pain and suspected CAD. By implementing the preventive measures known to influence the progression of atherosclerotic lesions it has been observed a significantly lower rate of death from coronary heart disease or nonfatal MI at 5 years (21). This result is the best demonstration of previous observational data showing a prognostic gain by the information obtained by using coronary anatomy as described by CTCA to select those patients that may most benefit from the use of the preventive drugs (83-87).

The fractional flow reserve (FFR)

Several studies have shown that FFR is superior to the conventional angiographic assessment of coronary lesions to evaluate their ability to cause ischemia (94,95). The use of FFR to guide coronary revascularization has been shown to improve clinical outcomes (96). In recent years this parameter can be derived also by using conventional CTCA, computational fluid dynamics algorithms and simulated maximal coronary hyperemia (97). FFR-CT has been validated against invasively measured FFR as a reference standard (98,99) and shown to be clinically useful (100). Thus, FFR can be also evaluated by non-invasive means.

The clinical use of this parameter is however recommended by the ESC guidelines on coronary revascularization to evaluate intermediate lesions (typically around 40–90% stenosis) to establish the need of a PCI when evidence of ischemia is not available (Class I, level of evidence A) or in multivessel disease undergoing ICA to guide PCI (Class IIa, level of evidence B) (101).

Conclusions

Several changes have taken place in the conceptual framework of Physicians involved in the care of patients with suspected or recognized CAD. CV mortality has significantly dropped in the past years. A major decrease in the incidence of obstructive CAD has paralleled this survival benefit but has determined some major diagnostic challenges. The decreased probability of disease has made difficult to both predict and diagnose CAD. The diagnostic panorama that was once mainly dominated by the search for ischemia has been replaced by the quest for the presence and extent of coronary atherosclerosis.
Significant prognostic improvements have been obtained in patients presenting with chest pain by focusing the attention to atherosclerosis rather than (or at least together) to significant coronary stenosis. While the prognostic role of myocardial ischemia has been challenged, the prompt initiation of preventive measures in patients with documented coronary atherosclerosis has determined in fewer CV events.

In the current era the most effective diagnostic technique for obtaining a survival and/or an uneventful course in patients with suspected CAD appear to be CTCA. Stress imaging tests may be nonetheless very useful for a further prognostic assessment. The detection of intermediate to significant obstructive lesions may prompt further investigations to establish their ability to determine ischemia. These lesions carry a significant risk of events well beyond those of lesser severity although even small amount of coronary atherosclerosis confers a risk of developing CV events. The depiction of the presence, extent, severity, and quality of coronary atherosclerosis appear to be the main diagnostic target of contemporary imaging in patients with suspected or confirmed CAD or IHD.
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