Introduction

Arrhythmias are among the most common late complications in adults with congenital heart disease (ACHD) and a frequent reason for hospital admission. Both, supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias, not only cause debilitating symptoms, but may be life-threatening by increasing risk of stroke, causing or worsening heart failure and being associated with sudden death. Substrate and risk for arrhythmia differs widely between congenital defects with specific arrhythmias being much more common in some patients than others. Atrial macroreentrant arrhythmias are particularly frequent in patients with atrial septal defects and repair that involves atrial incisions including patients with transposition of the great arteries (TGA) and atrial switch. Accessory pathways and related arrhythmias are often associated with Ebstein’s anomaly and congenitally corrected TGA. Monomorphic ventricular arrhythmias occur in patients with ventricular incisions, namely patients with Tetralogy of Fallot. Changes in surgical repair techniques influence arrhythmia prevalence and substrate as well as anatomical access for catheter ablation procedures. In addition, epidemiologic changes associated with improved long-term survival will further increase the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in ACHD. This article summarizes current understanding of prevalence of specific arrhythmias, underlying mechanisms, medical and interventional treatment options and their outcome in ACHD.

Keywords: Adult congenital heart disease (ACHD); supraventricular tachycardia; ventricular tachycardia; antiarrhythmic drug therapy; catheter ablation
current surgical techniques are associated with a much lower rate of atrial arrhythmias compared to earlier repair types (3-5). Likewise, arterial switch as opposed to atrial switch in patients with transposition of the great arteries (TGA) has dramatically reduced the incidence of highly symptomatic and sometimes deadly atrial arrhythmias (6,7). Another example of changing arrhythmia substrate is the change in surgical repair technique and earlier repair in patients with Tetralogy of Fallot that has reduced the number of isthmuses that are the basis for monomorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) in these patients (8). Nevertheless, there remain isthmuses that are anatomically determined like the one between the ventricular septal defect (VSD) and the pulmonary valve that remains important substrate for VT in these patients (9,10). This article summarizes current understanding of prevalence of specific arrhythmias, underlying mechanisms, medical and interventional treatment options and their outcome in ACHD. We present the following article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-634).

Supraventricular arrhythmias

It is estimated that about 50% of ACHD develop supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) during their lifetime (11). Recognition and timely management of SVT in ACHD are extremely important as they can cause rapid hemodynamic deterioration, heart failure, and sudden cardiac death, particularly in patients with systemic right ventricle or Fontan circulation (12).

The most common SVT in ACHD is intra-atrial reentrant tachycardia, often also referred to as atrial flutter. Atrial fibrillation is increasingly recognized in ACHD as the population is growing and ageing. Patients with congenital heart disease can also develop paroxysmal SVT due to atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia (AVNRT) or atrioventricular tachycardia (AVRT) via an accessory pathway and focal atrial tachycardia (13). Accessory pathways and associated arrhythmias are most often seen in Ebstein’s anomaly and congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries (14-18). Twin AV-nodes are present most often in patients with congenitally corrected TGA (1,19,20) and can give rise to AVNRT in these patients.

Macoreentrant atrial arrhythmias (intra-atrial reentrant tachycardia, atrial flutter)

Intra-atrial reentrant tachycardia (IART) due to a macro-reentry in the right atrium is the most common arrhythmia mechanism in ACHD. One or more fixed macro-reentrant circuits related to surgical scars in the right atrium and anatomical obstacles constitute the substrate of this arrhythmia in a wide range of CHD. It is particularly common in patients with moderate and complex CHD, being the underlying mechanism in 86% of patients in a multicenter study (21).

Patients with atrial septal defect (ASD) and Tetralogy of Fallot have atrial incisions from corrective surgery. In addition, a septal suture or patch in ASD patients, intra-atrial tunnels in addition to atrial incisions in patients with atrial switch for transposition of the great arteries and in patients with earlier Fontan-surgical palliation provide the substrate for organized macro-reentrant arrhythmias. In addition to defined sutures and patch material, patients with CHD frequently develop fibrosis due to ischemia and stretch as consequence of volume and pressure overload. Incisions, patch material and fibrosis all lead to conduction slowing, the prerequisite for macro-reentrant arrhythmias. Well-recognized macro-reentrant atrial arrhythmias are isthmus-dependent right atrial flutter around the tricuspid valve and incisional tachycardias rotating around an atrial incision or a septal patch. Combinations of these circuits occur in a substantial number of patients. Among other factors, incidence of intra-atrial macro-reentrant tachycardias depend on timing of the corrective surgery and hemodynamic results (22). For example, patients with ASD who underwent corrective surgery in adulthood or no surgery at all, develop IART and atrial fibrillation with an incidence of 50% (23). If closure occurred prior to age 15, incidence is only 16% (24). In patients with TGA and atrial switch procedure, IART occurs with an incidence of 28-37%, while incidence is only 5% in patients with arterial switch surgery, which is the standard procedure since the early 1990s (7). Likewise, the incidence of atrial arrhythmias has decreased from 100% ≥26 years after atrio-pulmonary Fontan procedure to 13% after 10 years with a lateral tunnel to 0% after 10 years with extracardiac palliation in patients with single ventricle (3).

Atrial fibrillation (AF)

AF is the second most common arrhythmia after intra-atrial reentrant tachycardia. It is seen more often in simple and moderately complex congenital heart disease as well as in older patients (21). It is the most common arrhythmia in ACHD beyond the age of 50 years. As life expectancy
increases in the population with CHD, an upsurge in the prevalence of AF is expected. Data regarding the interplay between IART and AF are inconclusive (25-27). It appears that there is no clear relation between both arrhythmias, they co-exist in about one third of ACHD patients, particularly complex CHD. But ACHD with AF after a documented episode of regular AT appear to develop AF at a younger age compared to patients with only AF. ACHD are assumed to be vulnerable to AF not only because of previously described hemodynamic consequences and scarring but also due to a higher prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, namely hypertension, obesity, diabetes, and dyslipidemia (28). A distinguishing feature of AF in ACHD is the suspected presence of AF that is of right atrial origin in contrast to typical adult onset AF that is of left atrial origin (29).

**Paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia: atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardias and accessory pathways**

Paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia due to AVNRT and AVRT are less common arrhythmia mechanisms in the general ACHD population. While the prevalence of AVNRT is less well studied (30), the presence of accessory pathways, and often multiple pathways, is well recognized. It is most often seen in patients with Ebstein’s anomaly, but also congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries (ccTGA) with and without associated malformations. The high prevalence of accessory pathways in these two congenital defects is thought to be a consequence of disturbance in embryological development of the atrioventricular (AV) valves (31). In both defects, accessory pathways are typically located at the inferior aspect of the tricuspid valve which is located on the right side in Ebstein’s anomaly and on the left in patients with AV discordance (i.e., ccTGA). In a retrospective study of patients with Ebstein’s anomaly, 91% of pathways were manifest (referring to antegrade conduction showing a delta-wave on ECG), 35% of patients had two accessory pathways and the majority was conducting rapidly to the ventricle putting the patients at increased risk for rapidly conducted AF that can degenerate to ventricular fibrillation leading to sudden cardiac death (15). Accessory pathways of the Mahaim type have also been observed in patients with Ebstein’s anomaly. Takeuchi and colleagues (17) recently reported their experience in patients with AV discordance. Thirteen accessory pathways were present in 11 patients, all of which were located at the anatomical left-sided tricuspid annulus, mainly posteriorly.

**Ventricular arrhythmias**

Ventricular arrhythmias can be monomorphic or polymorphic and each of these ECG defined arrhythmias is related to different substrates. Monomorphic VT develop in patients with a defined substrate, namely boundaries formed by dense scar due to patch material, sutures and anatomical obstacles like valves in combination with slowly conducting tissue being again the prerequisite for macro-reentrant arrhythmias. The best investigated congenital defect in this context is Tetralogy of Fallot where certain isthmuses have been related to monomorphic VT (9,10,32). Some of these isthmuses are also present in patients with ventricular septal defect (VSD) and patients with complex TGA, i.e., patients with TGA and VSD (2). These isthmuses are amenable to cathereter ablation and measurements of conduction properties during EP-study have been suggested to identify patients at risk (10,32). Polymorphic VT and ventricular fibrillation (VF), on the other hand, occur due to diffuse fibrosis and myocardial disarray. Patients with single ventricle, left ventricular outflow tract obstructive lesions, and patients with failing systemic ventricle are at highest risk (1,2).

**Significance of supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias**

Supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias are associated not only with debilitating symptoms, but substantial cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (33-37). Even patients with lower complexity CHD are at risk for hemodynamic deterioration due to fast heart rates, development or worsening of systemic ventricular dysfunction and heart failure as well as stroke. Sudden cardiac death is the most devastating event and occurs both due to ventricular arrhythmias and sometimes supraventricular arrhythmias. In a retrospective study on patients with TGA after atrial switch who had received an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD), 50% of patients had SVT prior to VT (12). 80% of sudden cardiac deaths in patients with TGA and systemic right ventricle occur during exercise as opposed to only 8–10% in the general ACHD population (38). It is thought that ischemia of the systemic right ventricular myocardium plays a critical role in pathophysiology of SCD in these patients (38,39).

Prevention and treatment of arrhythmias is of upmost importance in ACHD patient care to decrease morbidity
and mortality of these patients due to heart failure, stroke and sudden death. Prevention of arrhythmias includes early detection and treatment of CHD, implementation of early and less invasive surgical therapies, and management of cardiovascular risk factors (40). Prevention of complications includes stroke prevention in atrial arrhythmias, rate control, arrhythmia suppression by antiarrhythmic drugs and ideally curative therapy by catheter ablation. Prevention of sudden cardiac death includes monitoring and treatment of hemodynamic problems and systemic ventricular function as well as risk stratification for individual patients. A separate chapter in this issue deals with prevention of sudden cardiac death and ICD therapy in ACHD.

**Antiarrhythmic drug therapy**

Despite their well recognized side effects and limited efficacy, antiarrhythmic drugs are an important therapeutic option to prevent or treat arrhythmias in ACHD. There are only few data on antiarrhythmic drug therapy in ACHD patients and guideline recommendations are based on expert opinion and adopted from experiences in patients without congenital heart disease. Use of antiarrhythmic drugs in ACHD is limited by underlying structural heart disease, particularly ventricular dysfunction, but also coexisting brady-arrhythmias. Additional factors like concomitant hepatic and renal dysfunction can alter pharmacokinetics of antiarrhythmic drugs (41). Before terminating tachycardia, 12-lead ECG documentation should be achieved whenever possible. It is the most important diagnostic tool to guide acute management, evaluation of long-term treatment and prevention of recurrences (Figure 1).

**Acute management**

Guidelines on arrhythmia management in congenital heart disease (32,42) and on use of antiarrhythmic drugs (43) have recently been published. Acute cardioversion is indicated in unstable patients. In patients without hemodynamic compromise, decision regarding treatment options has to take into account the underlying arrhythmia mechanism, underlying heart defect, prevalence of arrhythmia, patient choice as well as availability of interventional electrophysiologists with expertise in catheter ablation in CHD.

Regular SVT that is thought to be dependent on AV-nodal conduction, i.e., AVNRT or AVRT, can acutely be terminated with adenosine. According to current guidelines, use of adenosine, though, is not recommended in patients with low ejection fraction who depend on a high heart rate to preserve cardiac function (32). The duration of AV block after adenosine administration, though, is only in the range of seconds. In our opinion, it should therefore not pose a problem based on its very brief slowing of heart rate. Anesthesia and electrical cardioversion can be avoided by adenosine administration. Nevertheless, adenosine should be given with a defibrillator at hand in all cases as it can provoke atrial fibrillation which could be rapidly conducted in patients with underlying manifest accessory pathways (43).

In patients with AF that is conducted via an accessory pathway (hallmark irregular, typically rapidly conducted rhythm with variable QRS width and morphology, so called FBI tachycardia, Fast Broad Irregular, Figure 1C), ajmaline can be administered to terminate AF and to block the accessory pathway. Ajmaline is a class Ia drug that is used to terminate and prevent wide complex tachycardias, but not included in guidelines for ACHD (1). We recommend electrical cardioversion in ACHD with AF and conduction via an accessory pathway as the safest option.

Beta-blockers can be used to facilitate spontaneous termination of regular SVT. As they have a negative inotropic effect and can worsen underlying sinus node dysfunction, they should be used with caution in patients with ventricular dysfunction. The same is true for verapamil, a nondihydropyridine calcium channel blocker that slows conduction through the AV-node but also has negative inotropic effects. Choice of antiarrhythmic drug or termination with electrical cardioversion depends on available information regarding CHD and systemic ventricular function as well as hospital setting and individual experience of the responsible physician. Despite need for sedation, electrical cardioversion may be the safest option in more complex CHD and the only option in patients who are hemodynamically unstable.

Macro-reentrant atrial arrhythmias are amenable to catheter ablation and are best investigated while ongoing to determine the exact mechanism (see section on catheter ablation). Hemodynamically unstable patients have to be cardioverted, if possible after ECG documentation. In patients who are hemodynamically stable, beta-blocker therapy is first choice to slow heart rate because of its rapid onset of action and effectiveness at high sympathetic tone. Digoxin can be used as well, if sufficient rate control cannot be achieved by beta-blockers or nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers alone. The 2014 guidelines discuss controversy regarding possible mortality increase with digoxin (1). The most recent ESC guidelines on
Figure 1 12-lead ECG of different SVT: (A) atriotomy-dependent atrial flutter in a 54 years old patient with ASD patch closure; (B) isthmus dependent right atrial flutter in a patient with Elstein’s anomaly; (C) atrial fibrillation with conduction via an accessory pathway in a patient with ccTGA; (D) AVNRT in a patient with TGA and Mustard repair.
treatment of atrial fibrillation (44) have qualified this perception as being due to patient selection. The DIGIT-AF trial, an ongoing randomized controlled trial, is currently investigating this issue in patients with heart failure and reduced EF. There are no such trials in patients with CHD. In current ESC guidelines, digoxin has a IIa recommendation for long term rate control.

Rate control in the setting of macroreentrant tachycardias can be difficult to achieve, as atrial activation is organized and often sufficiently slow in the diseased atrial myocardium to allow rapid AV-nodal conduction in these young patients with uncompromised AV-nodes. Particular caution is required in patients with systemic right ventricle as they can rapidly deteriorate hemodynamically, and are at increased risk of heart failure and sudden death due to ventricular arrhythmias. Exclusion of left atrial appendage thrombus is essential prior to elective cardioversion, catheter ablation or antiarrhythmic drug initiation. Anticoagulation has to be established for 4 weeks minimum and long-term in patients perceived at increased stroke risk (see anticoagulation section). If catheter ablation is not possible/available or not desired by the patient, antiarrhythmic drugs can be initiated after electrical cardioversion to prevent arrhythmia recurrences. Choice of antiarrhythmic drug is determined by underlying heart disease. Class Ic drugs can be used in patients with simple CHD without ventricular incisions/patch material, no relevant ventricular hypertrophy, preserved ventricular function and no severe coronary artery disease. This prerequisite is e.g., present in ASD patients. For all other patients, class III antiarrhythmic drugs, namely amiodarone, are the preferred option.

Antiarrhythmic drugs deliver their effects by slowing conduction in the atrial myocardium and increasing refractoriness. Reentry may subsequently terminate and re-induction can be prevented. However, when using class Ic drugs, atrial activation may be slowed, ultimately allowing 1:1 conduction via the AV-node with rapid heart rates at rest but even more so during exercise. Concomitant AV-node slowing with a beta-blocker is therefore of utmost importance. Flecainide and propafenone are both available for treatment of atrial arrhythmias. While flecainide has no beta-blocking effect, this is variable for propafenone (it is higher in cytochrome PD6-deficient patients) (41). Combination of an AV-node slowing drug with flecainide is therefore generally recommended. Other differences between these two commonly used class Ic drugs include need for dose adjustment in patients with renal dysfunction in patients taking flecainide and interactions with warfarin (increase in INR levels) and digoxin (dose reduction of digoxin required). An advantage of flecainide is the possibility of trough level measurements to evaluate adequate dosing in case of clinical inefficacy. This is not possible for propafenone.

Pre-existing sinus node disease may be unmasked or worsened by antiarrhythmic drugs, particularly class Ic drugs. ECG monitoring should be established until arrhythmia terminates to document any associated bradycardia that requires urgent intervention.

Atrial fibrillation is typically cardioverted electrically after left atrial appendage thrombus exclusion. Antiarrhythmic drugs may terminate arrhythmia or convert atrial fibrillation to atrial flutter. Choice of drug and precautions follow the same rules as for macro-reentrant atrial arrhythmias.

Ventricular arrhythmias require immediate cardioversion or defibrillation, ideally after 12-lead ECG documentation. Catheter ablation may prevent recurrence in monomorphic VT, and an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) is indicated for secondary prevention.

Pharmacologic prevention of arrhythmia recurrence

Overall, long-term freedom from arrhythmia with antiarrhythmic drugs is low and side effects limit their long-term use (32). Catheter ablation is therefore first line treatment for patients with AVNRT, AVRT, atrial arrhythmias, and monomorphic VT. Nevertheless, antiarrhythmic drugs are frequently required, particularly if catheter ablation is not successful or not desired by the patient.

Recurrence of paroxysmal SVT including AVNRT and AVRT can be prevented by AV-nodal blocking drugs, predominantly beta-blockers. Class Ic and III drugs are also effective, the same limitations apply as for acute antiarrhythmic drug initiation, namely pro-arrhythmic effects and bradycardia. Rate control in organized atrial arrhythmias can be achieved with beta-blockers, but arrhythmia prevention will typically require initiation antiarrhythmic drugs. Although very effective, side effects are well documented for amiodarone (43). Sotalol can be an alternative despite its inherent risk for proarrhythmia and bradycardia (45).

In patients with symptomatic atrial fibrillation and preserved LV function and no ventricular incision/patch material/hypertrophy, class Ic antiarrhythmic drugs can be prescribed (43). They have to be combined with AV-nodal blocking agents, typically beta-blockers, to control heart
rate, particularly if atrial flutter develops.

In patients with VT and ICD, concomitant catheter ablation and/or antiarrhythmic drugs may support freedom from arrhythmia recurrence. Amiodarone is most commonly used, but its use is limited by severe side effects. Sotalol may be an alternative. Higher doses (≥240 mg/d) are required to achieve class III effects and QT prolongation and Torsade de pointes are feared complications. Care must be taken in patients with renal dysfunction and dose reduction is recommended in kidney disease (43).

**Anticoagulation**

Stroke prevention is of upmost importance in patients with atrial fibrillation and atrial macro-reentrant tachycardias, particularly since stroke risk is already increased in ACHD (46). Routine anticoagulation is generally recommended in patients with atrial fibrillation and moderate or complex CHD. In addition, guidelines recommend oral anticoagulation (OAK) in patients with atrial fibrillation and cyanotic defects, Fontan palliation, and systemic right ventricle (1,32,47). In adults with non-valvar simple forms of CHD and AF, it appears reasonable to rely on established risk scores. A retrospective study of 229 ACHD patients evaluated CHA2DS2-VASc score and HAS-BLED score in patients with atrial arrhythmias (48). A score of ≥2 predicted thromboembolic and bleeding risk, respectively, and can therefore be adopted for ACHD.

In the past, vitamin K antagonists were the mainstay for OAK and there are no comparative studies in ACHD to guide the choice of anticoagulant. Several observational studies now provide reassuring safety data on the use of direct oral anticoagulants (DOAK) in ACHD (49-52). The largest is the NOTE registry that included 530 ACHD patients including 150 with previous vitamin K antagonist use. Thromboembolic event rate was 1.2% per year and major bleeding event rate was 1.1% per year, and not different between DOAK and Vitamin K antagonists. Based on these studies, DOAK appear appropriate for anticoagulation of ACHD and no mechanical valve.

**Catheter ablation**

Supraventricular and monomorphic ventricular tachycardia are amenable to catheter ablation with varying success depending on the underlying defect and type of repair. Although success rate is lower compared to patients without complex heart disease, efficacy is higher compared to pharmacological therapy and prevents patients from side effects associated with antiarrhythmic drug therapy. Complex anatomy and vascular abnormalities may prevent or impede access to the chamber of interest, displaced valves and difficulties in allocation of the AV-node in addition to complex arrhythmia mechanisms and poorly defined circuits are among the challenges of catheter ablation in ACHD. Three-dimensional (3-D) mapping systems (Figure 2), sometimes combined with image integration of MRI or CT scan, are used to identify the underlying mechanism during ongoing or induced arrhythmia and long, steerable sheaths and irrigated catheters with or without contact force measurement to ensure appropriate lesion size and depth are prerequisite for successful ablation in thick and fibrous tissue.

To be sure to address the clinically relevant arrhythmia, we prefer to perform the ablation during ongoing tachycardia. Patients presenting with recurrent IART should therefore not be cardioverted unless hemodynamically unstable but urgently referred to an experienced center for catheter ablation. Nevertheless, induction of atrial arrhythmias at the time of EP-study and ablation of all inducible atrial tachycardias is advocated by some centers, but patients may be non-inducible. Right atrial isthmus ablation is reasonable in these cases, as it is frequently involved in IART. In contrast, patients with AVNRT, AVRT, pre-excitation on 12-lead ECG, atrial fibrillation and monomorphic ventricular tachycardia are studied in sinus rhythm and arrhythmia may be induced and addressed during electrophysiology study.

The more complex the underlying heart defect and type of repair, the more difficult is the procedure and the lower the success rate. Overall acute success rate over the spectrum of supraventricular arrhythmias is 80%, but may be much lower in more complex defects or repair. While different mechanisms of IART in patients with ASD can be readily identified and ablated with a high acute success rate, access to the tricuspid valve in patients with atrial switch procedure is extremely difficult resulting in a lower success rate. Catheter ablation of accessory pathways in patients with Ebstein’s anomaly is difficult due to the anatomy and presence of multiple pathways. Long, steerable sheaths and irrigated catheters increase procedural success, which is around 80% (53).

Monomorphic ventricular arrhythmias occur predominantly in patients with tetrology of Fallot and VSD (2). In both, anatomical isthmuses underlying VT have been identified and described in detail (9,10,31); (Figure 3). Acute success rate is about 75%, and may be as high as 100 % (2). In some patients, retrograde access and ablation in the aorta may be necessary to achieve complete block in the critical isthmus between VSD
patch and pulmonary valve (9), as hypertrophy, a homograft or stent material may prevent access to the critical isthmus from the right side. Electrophysiology study and ablation prior to interventional valve replacement and intraoperative surgical treatment of the isthmus is therefore recommended by current guidelines (32). Most patients will be implanted with a defibrillator even after successful catheter ablation to prevent SCD although at least in theory catheter ablation may be curative in this patient cohort (54).

Catheter ablation of SVT and VT can be complicated by AV-block requiring pacemaker implantation and places ACHD at particular risk. In patients with intra-atrial baffles, pacemaker leads may worsen pre-existing baffle-obstruction, in patients with single ventricle and patients with Eisenmenger syndrome or lesions with right-to-left shunt, only epicardial pacemaker leads can be implanted with their inherent problems including increased risk during surgical placement in patients with previous heart surgery and lower lead longevity. Adverse outcome of long-term pacing and risk of endocarditis apply to all patients with CHD. Displacement of the AV-node and need for retrograde access in various CHD and arrhythmias increases risk for AV-block in this patient population and requires particular caution.

Atrial fibrillation in ACHD can be treated by catheter ablation as well, but success is limited by underlying substrate. Even in patients with rather simple congenital heart disease like atrial septal defect repair, success rate of pulmonary vein isolation remains low due to the underlying fibrosis. Several small observational series that included between 36 and 84 ACHD with relatively simple CHD in the majority of patients have been published (55-58). Success rates ranged between 32% and 63% at one year. Two studies reported success rates at 4 years (27%) and 5 years (25%), respectively. Concomitant use of antiarrhythmic drugs increased success rate to 84% in one small study with 61% ASD patients and paroxysmal AF in 72%. To achieve this success rate, between 1 and 6 procedures were performed, aiming at pulmonary vein isolation as baseline approach and application of additional lesions either during the first procedure or during re- ablation. The limitations of catheter ablation for AF in ACHD also apply to the surgical MAZE procedure (59,60). Prophylactic arrhythmia surgery has been advocated to be incorporated into reparative open-heart surgery, but data of efficacy are lacking (32). Incomplete surgical lesions as well as incomplete linear ablation lesions may place patients at risk for organized left atrial arrhythmias which are

Figure 2 Rhythmia HDx™ Map of isthmus dependent right atrial flutter in a patient with Ross-procedure for congenital aortic stenosis. Red is earliest activation and purple/blue is latest activation. LAO view at the tricuspid valve. The activation is counterclockwise around the tricuspid valve. Catheter ablation was successfully performed at the right atrial isthmus between the tricuspid valve and the inferior caval vein.
often much more symptomatic and challenging to achieve appropriate rate control. Nevertheless, before opting for a rate control strategy and prior to committing a young adult with CHD to long-term amiodarone therapy, catheter ablation should be thoughtfully considered. Guidelines recommend consideration of AF ablation in selected patients with simple CHD (32) corresponding to a class IIa recommendation in previous guidelines (1,61).

**Conclusions**

Understanding of underlying arrhythmia mechanism in
specific CHD and surgical repair technique are essential to guide acute and long-term treatment of SVT and VT in ACHD. Catheter ablation is associated with lower acute success rate and higher recurrence rate compared to patients without congenital heart disease but efficacy is still high for many arrhythmias and certainly higher than pharmacological therapy. It should therefore be evaluated for every patient with arrhythmias. Physicians with expertise in treatment of ACHD and clinical electrophysiologists with experience in catheter ablation of ACHD should collaborate to achieve optimal treatment strategy for each individual ACHD patient.
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